Inverse source problem for the wave equation with reduced data: an explicit solution

Ngoc Do University of Arizona (joint with L. Kunyansky)

Fort Collins, May 25 2018

Direct problem: solve a given PDE.

Inverse problem: given solution on the boundary, determine coefficient(s) in PDE.

An example: X-rays - send a narrow beams of X-rays with initial intensity  $I_{in}$  through the body, measure  $I_{out}$ .



•  $I_{out} = I_{in} \exp(-\int_L f(x) dx)$ 

• Radon transform  

$$\mathcal{R}f(t,\omega) := \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} f(x) dx$$

• 
$$\mathcal{R}f(-t,-\omega) = \mathcal{R}f(t,\omega)$$

Inverse problem for X-rays:

given  $\mathcal{R}f(t,\omega)$  for  $(t,\omega)\in\mathbb{R} imes S$ , reconstruct atten. coef. f(x)

Inversion of the Radon transform is a well-solved problem by now.

$$f(x) = rac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{R}^* \mathcal{H} rac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{R} f(t,\omega),$$

where the adjoint (backprojection) operator  $R^*$  is

$$(\mathcal{R}^*h)(x)\equiv\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^1}h(w,x\cdot\omega)dw,$$

and  ${\mathcal H}$  is the Hilbert transform

$$(\mathcal{H}u)(p) \equiv \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(s)}{p-s} ds.$$

This is the famous filtered backprojection inversion formula.

- Acoustic waves yield high resolution but the contrast is low.
- Conductivity in tumors is much higher than that in healthy tissues ⇒ EM waves or currents yield high contrast. Electrical impedance tomography, optical and microwave tomography lead to strongly non-linear and ill-posed inverse problems

Idea: Use hybrid techniques: couple ultrasound with EM field: Thermo-Acoustic and Photo-Acoustic Tomography (TAT/PAT) Ultrasound Modulated Optical Tomography (UMOT) Acousto-Electric Tomography (AET) Magneto-Acousto-Electric Tomography (MAET) Magneto-Acoustic Tomography with Magnetic Induction (MAT-MI)

# Thermo- and photo-acoustic tomography (TAT/PAT)

Send a short EM pulse  $\Rightarrow$ EM energy will be absorbed $\Rightarrow$ Tissues will heat and expand  $\Rightarrow$ Acoustic wave will propagate  $\Rightarrow$ Detectors will measure acoustic pressure



Inverse source problem of TAT/PAT:

given pressure on the boundary, find the initial pressure

Detectors do not reflect or distort the waves Waves propagate to infinity In theory, surface  $\Gamma$  may be closed or open — but in reality, should be open.



# Formulation of the problem

We consider the homogeneous case: constant speed of sound  $c(x) \equiv 1$  (acceptable!) Acoustic pressure u(t, x) satisfies the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = \Delta u, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ u_t(0, x) = 0 \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \end{cases}$$



Initial pressure f(x) is supported within bounded region  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ . The boundary of  $\Omega$  is a closed surface  $\Gamma$ . Measurements  $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$  are done on the subset  $S \subset \Gamma$ .

#### Inverse source problem:

Given  $g(t, y), (t, y) \in [0, diam(\Omega)] \times S$ , reconstruct f(x)

This problem was studied intensively. We are interested in **explicit**, theoretically **exact** inversion formulas with *S* being a **proper subset** of  $\Gamma$ .

# Direct problem for TAT/PAT

Acoustic pressure u(t, x) satisfies the wave equation

$$\left\{egin{aligned} u_{tt} &= \Delta u, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ u_t(0,x) &= 0 \ u(0,x) &= f(x) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Solution of this equation is

$$u(t,y) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega_0} f(x) \Phi_n(t,x-y) dx,$$

where the  $\Phi_n(t,x)$  is Green function for free wave equation

$$\Phi_2(t,x) = rac{H(t-|x|)}{2\pi\sqrt{t^2-|x|^2}}, \Phi_3(t,x) = rac{\delta(t-|x|)}{4\pi|x|}$$

In particular, measurements  $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$  on S:

$$g(t,y) = rac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t,y), G(t,y) \equiv \int\limits_{\Omega_0} f(x)\Phi_n(t,x-y)dx, y \in S \subset \Gamma.$$

# Integral geometry formulation

Circular/Spherical Radon transform I(t, y):

$$I(t,y) \equiv t^{n-1} \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(y+t\hat{\nu}) d\hat{\nu}$$

Data g(t, y) is directly related to the circular Radon transform. In 3D, the relation is very simple

$$g(t,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{I(t,y)}{4\pi t} \right), y \in \mathbb{S}_2.$$

In 2D, the connection is through the Abel transform:

$$g(t,y) = rac{\partial}{\partial t} \int\limits_0^t rac{l(r,y)}{2\pi\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} dr, y \in \mathbb{S}_1.$$

#### Inverse source problem:

Given spherical data  $I(t, y), t \in [0, diam(\Omega)], y \in S$ , reconstruct f(x)

S is a plane: multiple works

"Universal formula" in 3D: a sphere, a plane, a cylinder (Xu & Wang)

Spheres (multiple works by Finch et al; Kunyansky; Nguyen) Ellipsoids and paraboloids (Natterer; Haltmeier;

Palamodov;Salman)

Limiting cases of ellipsoids and paraboloids (Haltmeier & Pereverzyev Jr.)

More complicated curves and surfaces (Palamodov)

Triangles, squares, cubes, and some tetrahedra (Kunyansky) Corner-like domains in 3D, a segment of Coxeter cross in 2D (Kunyansky)

Less explicit: series techniques (Kunyansky; Haltmeier et al) In all of these works either S is closed ( $S = \Gamma$ ), or  $S = \Gamma$  is **unbounded** 

### Why reduced data?

- A body part can't be surrounded by detectors from all sides
- An unbounded surface needs to be truncated
- S Acoustic waves deteriorate during propagation

### Theoretical standpoint:

- Uniqueness and observation time: If S is a smooth and closed surface bounding domain Ω, then the TAT/PAT data on S collected for time 0 ≤ t ≤ 0.5diam(Ω) uniquely determines f.
- Visibility condition: x<sub>0</sub> is in the visible region iff any line passing through x<sub>0</sub> intersects S at least once.

### Our goal

given  $g(t,y) = u(t, y), t \in [0, a], a < diam(\Omega), y \in open bounded S, reconstruct <math>Rf(t, \omega)$ .

## Representing a plane wave by a single layer potential

Suppose  $\delta(t - x \cdot \omega)$  enters  $\Omega$  at  $T_0(\omega)$  and leaves at  $T_1(\omega)$ . Define interval  $\mathcal{T}(\omega) \equiv (T_0(\omega), T_1(\omega))$ . We want to represent  $\delta(t - \omega \cdot x), x \in \Omega, t \in (T_0(\omega), 0]$  as

$$\delta(\tau - x \cdot \omega) = \int_{T_0(\omega)}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma} \Phi_n(\tau - t, x - y) \varphi_\omega(t, y) dy dt,$$

where density  $\varphi_{\omega}(t, y)$  is a distribution supported on  $\mathcal{T}(\omega) \times \Gamma$ . General scattering theory: this problem is uniquely solvable in the sense of distributions.

More important,  $\varphi_{\omega}(t, y) = 0$  for  $t < x \cdot \omega$ .



## Inverse source problem

Measurements  $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$  on S are given by:

$$g(t,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t,y), G(t,y) \equiv \int_{\Omega_0} f(x)\Phi_n(t,x-y)dx, y \in S \subset \Gamma.$$

We want to recover the Radon projections of f(x) defined as

$$\mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega)\equiv\int\limits_{x\cdot\omega= au}f(x)dx=\int\limits_{\Omega_0}f(x)\delta( au-\omega\cdot x)dx.$$

Let us multiply G(t, y) by  $\varphi_{\omega}(\tau - t, y)$  and integrate over  $(0, \tau - T_0(\omega)] \times \Gamma$ :

$$\int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma} G(t,y)\varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t,y)dydt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma} \left[ \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x)\Phi_{n}(t,x-y)dx \right] \varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t,y)dydt$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \left[ \int_{T_{0}(\omega)}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma} \Phi_{n}(\tau-s,x-y)\varphi_{\omega}(s,y)dyds \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x)\delta(-\omega \cdot x+\tau)dx = \mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\omega).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega) = \int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma} g(t,y)\varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t,y)dydt, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\omega).$$

#### Theorem (Half-time data)

For n=2, 3, given  $\Omega_0 \equiv B_n(0,1)$ ,  $S \equiv \Gamma \equiv S_{n-1}$ , Radon projections  $\mathcal{R}f(t,\omega)$  can be fully reconstructed from halftime data  $g(t,y), t \in [0,1], y \in S$ .

#### Theorem (Open observation surface with temporally reduced data)

For n=2, 3, given  $\Omega_0$  to be the lower half of the unit ball  $B_n(0,1)$ , Radon projections  $\mathcal{R}f(t,\omega)$  can be fully reconstructed from reduced data  $g(t,y), t \in [0, 2-1/\sqrt{2}] \approx [0,1.3], y \in S_n$ , where  $S_2 = \{(x,y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1, |y| \le 1/\sqrt{2}\}$ ,  $S_3 = \{(x,y,z) : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, |z| \le 1/\sqrt{2}\}$ .

# Fast algorithm for the circular geometry

1. Expand  $\widetilde{g}(t, \widehat{y})$  in the Fourier series and Fourier-transform the result to obtain  $\widehat{\widetilde{g}}_k(\rho)$  for each  $\rho \ge 0$ ; 2. For each grid value of  $\rho \ge 0$ , compute coefficients  $b_k(\rho) \equiv \frac{4}{i} \frac{i^{|k|}}{H_{|k|}^{(1)}(\rho)} \widehat{\widetilde{g}}_k(\rho)$  and extend them to negative  $\rho$ 's by complex conjugation;

**3.** For each grid value of  $\rho$ , sum up series  $\sum_{k} b_k(\rho) e^{ik\varpi}$ , and apply

the inverse Fourier transform in  $\rho$  to find  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)$ ;

**4.** Anti-differentiate  $\underbrace{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega)$  to find  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega)$ ;

**5.** Compute  $\mathcal{R}f(\omega, \tau)$  by extracting the correct values of  $\mathcal{R}f(\omega, \tau)$  within the intervals prescribed by the theorem presented above. **This is fast**: all steps are either FFT's or multiplications; the total complexity is  $O(m^2 \log m)$  flops for an  $m \times m$  grid (vs.  $O(m^3)$  for filtration/backprojection).

# Simulation, circular geometry, 2D

Our phantom is a collection of slightly smoothed characteristic functions of circles. *S* is the acquisition surface.



Solve wave equation find  $g(t, \omega(\theta + \pi))$ 

Truncated  $g(t, \omega(\theta + \pi))$ 



## Reconstruction results, truncated circular geometry



Exact  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega(\theta))$ 

Reconstructed  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega(\theta))$  on step 5



Number of "detectors" = 512, reconstruction time = 0.4 sec., Reconstruction error after step 6

number of time samples = 257, relative  $L^{\infty}$  error  $\approx$  5.E-4.

Ngoc Do University of Arizona

TAT/PAT with reduced data

# Reconstructing f(x), truncated circular geometry



Phantom Reconstruction Error(not to scale) Relative error in f(x) measured in  $L^2(\Omega) \approx 0.6$  %.

# Next simulation, circular geometry with 50% noise (in $L^2$ )



Noisy data  $g(t, \omega(0))$  vs exact Reconstructed  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega(0))$  vs exact Relative  $L^2$  error in the reconstructed  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega)$  is  $\approx 7\%$ .

# Reconstructing f(x) from data with 50% noise



Phantom Reconstruction Error(not to scale) Relative error in f(x) measured in  $L^2(\Omega) \approx 28\%$ 

# Fast algorithm for the spherical geometry

**1.** Expand  $\widetilde{g}(\rho, \hat{y})$  in spherical harmonics in  $\hat{y}$  and compute the Fourier transform in t to obtain  $\widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{m,k}(\rho)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \cdots, m = \overline{-k, k}$ ; **2.** For  $\rho \ge 0$ , compute  $b_k(\rho) \equiv \frac{4\pi}{i\rho} \frac{i^k}{h^{(1)}(\rho)} \widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{m,k}(\rho)$ , and extend to  $\rho < 0$  by complex conjugation; **3.** For each  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  sum up series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m,k=1}^{k} b_{m,k}(\rho) \overline{Y_{m}^{k}(\omega)}$  and compute the inverse Fourier transform to get  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R}f(\tau, \omega)$ ; **4.** Anti-differentiate  $\overbrace{\partial_{\tau}}^{\widetilde{\partial_{\tau}}} \mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega)$  to find  $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}f}(\tau,\omega)$ ; **5.** Compute  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega)$  by extracting the correct values of  $\mathcal{R}f(\tau,\omega)$ within the intervals prescribed by the theorem presented above. This algorithm is fast: the total complexity is  $O(m^4)$  flops for an  $m \times m \times m$  grid (vs.  $O(m^5)$  for filtration/backprojection)

## Simulation, spherical geometry, 3D



The proposed technique is somewhat sub-optimal: generally,  $\Omega_0$  could have larger support, still with injectivity/stability.

Good news: our approach is quite general. And this is the only explicit result for open and bounded acquisition surfaces.

More good news: we rely on the scattering problem by **closed** surfaces. For such surfaces there is a significant body of work on finding the density of singular layers and/or solving the scattering problem.

Bad news: our technique is only as explicit as the densities we find.

Fortunately, for certain surfaces this can be done analytically as in the circular/spherical cases.

Thank you!