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## Inverse problems and an example

Direct problem: solve a given PDE.
Inverse problem: given solution on the boundary, determine coefficient(s) in PDE.
An example: X-rays - send a narrow beams of X-rays with initial intensity $I_{\text {in }}$ through the body, measure $I_{\text {out }}$.

Detector


- $I_{\text {out }}=l_{\text {in }} \exp \left(-\int_{L} f(x) d x\right)$
- Radon transform

$$
\mathcal{R} f(t, \omega):=\int_{x \cdot \omega=t} f(x) d x
$$

- $\mathcal{R} f(-t,-\omega)=\mathcal{R} f(t, \omega)$

Inverse problem for X -rays:
given $\mathcal{R} f(t, \omega)$ for $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times S$, reconstruct atten. coef. $f(x)$

## Inverting the Radon transform

Inversion of the Radon transform is a well-solved problem by now.

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \mathcal{R}^{*} \mathcal{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{R} f(t, \omega)
$$

where the adjoint (backprojection) operator $R^{*}$ is

$$
\left(\mathcal{R}^{*} h\right)(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} h(w, x \cdot \omega) d w
$$

and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform

$$
(\mathcal{H} u)(p) \equiv \text { p.v. } \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(s)}{p-s} d s
$$

This is the famous filtered backprojection inversion formula.

## Hybrid methods: motivation

- Acoustic waves yield high resolution but the contrast is low.
- Conductivity in tumors is much higher than that in healthy tissues $\Longrightarrow E M$ waves or currents yield high contrast.
Electrical impedance tomography, optical and microwave tomography lead to strongly non-linear and ill-posed inverse problems

Idea: Use hybrid techniques: couple ultrasound with EM field:
Thermo-Acoustic and Photo-Acoustic Tomography (TAT/PAT) Ultrasound Modulated Optical Tomography (UMOT) Acousto-Electric Tomography (AET) Magneto-Acousto-Electric Tomography (MAET) Magneto-Acoustic Tomography with Magnetic Induction (MAT-MI)

## Thermo- and photo-acoustic tomography (TAT/PAT)

Send a short EM pulse $\Rightarrow$
EM energy will be absorbed $\Rightarrow$
Tissues will heat and expand $\Rightarrow$
Acoustic wave will propagate $\Rightarrow$
Detectors will measure acoustic pressure


Inverse source problem of TAT/PAT:
given pressure on the boundary, find the initial pressure

## Open space TAT

Detectors do not reflect or distort the waves
Waves propagate to infinity
In theory, surface 「 may be closed or open - but in reality, should be open.


## Formulation of the problem

We consider the homogeneous case: constant speed of sound $c(x) \equiv 1$ (acceptable!)
Acoustic pressure $u(t, x)$ satisfies the wave equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}=\Delta u, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
u_{t}(0, x)=0 \\
u(0, x)=f(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$



Initial pressure $f(x)$ is supported within bounded region $\Omega_{0} \subseteq \Omega$.
The boundary of $\Omega$ is a closed surface $\Gamma$.
Measurements $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$ are done on the subset $S \subset \Gamma$.
Inverse source problem:
Given $g(t, y),(t, y) \in[0, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)] \times S$, reconstruct $f(x)$
This problem was studied intensively.
We are interested in explicit, theoretically exact inversion formulas with $S$ being a proper subset of $\Gamma$.

## Direct problem for TAT/PAT

Acoustic pressure $u(t, x)$ satisfies the wave equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}=\Delta u, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
u_{t}(0, x)=0 \\
u(0, x)=f(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solution of this equation is

$$
u(t, y) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \Phi_{n}(t, x-y) d x
$$

where the $\Phi_{n}(t, x)$ is Green function for free wave equation

$$
\Phi_{2}(t, x)=\frac{H(t-|x|)}{2 \pi \sqrt{t^{2}-|x|^{2}}}, \Phi_{3}(t, x)=\frac{\delta(t-|x|)}{4 \pi|x|}
$$

In particular, measurements $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$ on $S$ :

$$
g(t, y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, y), G(t, y) \equiv \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \Phi_{n}(t, x-y) d x, y \in S \subset \Gamma
$$

## Integral geometry formulation

Circular/Spherical Radon transform $I(t, y)$ :

$$
I(t, y) \equiv t^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(y+t \hat{\nu}) d \hat{\nu}
$$

Data $g(t, y)$ is directly related to the circular Radon transform. In 3D, the relation is very simple

$$
g(t, y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{I(t, y)}{4 \pi t}\right), y \in \mathbb{S}_{2}
$$

In 2D, the connection is through the Abel transform:

$$
g(t, y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{I(r, y)}{2 \pi \sqrt{t^{2}-r^{2}}} d r, y \in \mathbb{S}_{1}
$$

## Inverse source problem:

Given spherical data $I(t, y), t \in[0, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)], y \in S$, reconstruct $f(x)$

## Known inversion formulas for various surfaces $S$

$S$ is a plane: multiple works
"Universal formula" in 3D: a sphere, a plane, a cylinder (Xu \& Wang)
Spheres (multiple works by Finch et al; Kunyansky; Nguyen)
Ellipsoids and paraboloids (Natterer; Haltmeier;
Palamodov;Salman)
Limiting cases of ellipsoids and paraboloids (Haltmeier \&
Pereverzyev Jr.)
More complicated curves and surfaces (Palamodov)
Triangles, squares, cubes, and some tetrahedra (Kunyansky)
Corner-like domains in 3D, a segment of Coxeter cross in 2D (Kunyansky)
Less explicit: series techniques (Kunyansky; Haltmeier et al) In all of these works either $S$ is closed $(S=\Gamma)$, or $S=\Gamma$ is unbounded

## Motivation, theoretical standpoint, and our goal

## Why reduced data?

(1) A body part can't be surrounded by detectors from all sides
(2) An unbounded surface needs to be truncated
(3) Acoustic waves deteriorate during propagation

Theoretical standpoint:
(1) Uniqueness and observation time: If $S$ is a smooth and closed surface bounding domain $\Omega$, then the TAT/PAT data on $S$ collected for time $0 \leq t \leq 0.5 \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ uniquely determines $f$.
(2) Visibility condition: $x_{0}$ is in the visible region iff any line passing through $x_{0}$ intersects $S$ at least once.

## Our goal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { given } \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y})=u(t, y), t \in[0, a], a<\operatorname{diam}(\Omega), y \in \text { open bounded } \\
& S \text {, reconstruct } \operatorname{Rf}(t, \omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Representing a plane wave by a single layer potential

Suppose $\delta(t-x \cdot \omega)$ enters $\Omega$ at $T_{0}(\omega)$ and leaves at $T_{1}(\omega)$. Define interval $\mathcal{T}(\omega) \equiv\left(T_{0}(\omega), T_{1}(\omega)\right)$.
We want to represent $\delta(t-\omega \cdot x), x \in \Omega, t \in\left(T_{0}(\omega), 0\right]$ as

$$
\delta(\tau-x \cdot \omega)=\int_{T_{0}(\omega)}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma} \Phi_{n}(\tau-t, x-y) \varphi_{\omega}(t, y) d y d t
$$

where density $\varphi_{\omega}(t, y)$ is a distribution supported on $\mathcal{T}(\omega) \times \Gamma$. General scattering theory: this problem is uniquely solvable in the sense of distributions.

More important, $\varphi_{\omega}(t, y)=0$ for $t<x \cdot \omega$.


## Inverse source problem

Measurements $g(t, y) \equiv u(t, y)$ on $S$ are given by:

$$
g(t, y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, y), G(t, y) \equiv \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \Phi_{n}(t, x-y) d x, y \in S \subset \Gamma
$$

We want to recover the Radon projections of $f(x)$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega) \equiv \int_{x \cdot \omega=\tau} f(x) d x=\int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \delta(\tau-\omega \cdot x) d x
$$

Let us multiply $G(t, y)$ by $\varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t, y)$ and integrate over $\left(0, \tau-T_{0}(\omega)\right] \times \Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma} G(t, y) \varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t, y) d y d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma}\left[\int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \Phi_{n}(t, x-y) d x\right] \varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t, y) d y d t \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x)\left[\int_{T_{0}(\omega)}^{\tau} \int_{\Gamma}^{\tau} \Phi_{n}(\tau-s, x-y) \varphi_{\omega}(s, y) d y d s\right] d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) \delta(-\omega \cdot x+\tau) d x=\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)=\int_{0}^{\tau-T_{0}(\omega)} \int_{\Gamma} g(t, y) \varphi_{\omega}(\tau-t, y) d y d t, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\omega)
$$

## For circular and spherical geometry

## Theorem (Half-time data)

For $n=2$, 3, given $\Omega_{0} \equiv B_{n}(0,1), S \equiv \Gamma \equiv \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$, Radon projections $\mathcal{R} f(t, \omega)$ can be fully reconstructed from halftime data $g(t, y), t \in[0,1], y \in S$.

## Theorem (Open observation surface with temporally reduced data)

For $n=2,3$, given $\Omega_{0}$ to be the lower half of the unit ball $B_{n}(0,1)$, Radon projections $\mathcal{R} f(t, \omega)$ can be fully reconstructed from reduced data $g(t, y), t \in[0,2-1 / \sqrt{2}] \approx[0,1.3], y \in S_{n}$, where $S_{2}=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2}=1,|y| \leq 1 / \sqrt{2}\right\}$,

$$
S_{3}=\left\{(x, y, z): x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1,|z| \leq 1 / \sqrt{2}\right\} .
$$

## Fast algorithm for the circular geometry

1. Expand $\widetilde{g}(t, \hat{y})$ in the Fourier series and Fourier-transform the result to obtain $\widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{k}(\rho)$ for each $\rho \geq 0$;
2. For each grid value of $\rho \geq 0$, compute coefficients
$b_{k}(\rho) \equiv \frac{4}{i} \frac{i^{|k|}}{H_{|k|}^{(1)}(\rho)} \widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{k}(\rho)$ and extend them to negative $\rho$ 's by complex conjugation;
3. For each grid value of $\rho$, sum up series $\sum_{k} b_{k}(\rho) e^{i k \varpi}$, and apply the inverse Fourier transform in $\rho$ to find $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)$;
4. Anti-differentiate $\widehat{\widehat{\partial} \mathcal{\partial} \mathcal{R} f}(\tau, \omega)$ to find $\widehat{\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)}$;
5. Compute $\mathcal{R} f(\omega, \tau)$ by extracting the correct values of $\widehat{\mathcal{R} f(\omega, \tau)}$ within the intervals prescribed by the theorem presented above.
This is fast: all steps are either FFT's or multiplications; the total complexity is $O\left(m^{2} \log m\right)$ flops for an $m \times m$ grid (vs. $O\left(m^{3}\right)$ for filtration/backprojection).

Our phantom is a collection of slightly smoothed characteristic functions of circles.
$S$ is the acquisition surface.


Solve wave equation find $g(t, \omega(\theta+\pi))$


## Reconstruction results, truncated circular geometry



Exact $\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega(\theta))$


Reconstruction error after step 5

Number of "detectors" $=512$, reconstruction time $=0.4 \mathrm{sec}$.,


Reconstructed $\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega(\theta))$ on step 5
number of time samples $=257$, relative $L^{\infty}$ error $\approx 5$.E-4.


Phantom


Reconstruction Error(not to scale)
Relative error in $f(x)$ measured in $L^{2}(\Omega) \approx 0.6 \%$.

## Next simulation, circular geometry with $50 \%$ noise (in $L^{2}$ )



Noisy data $g(t, \omega(\theta+\pi))$


Reconstruction from noisy data


Reconstructed $\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega(0))$ vs exact Relative $L^{2}$ error in the reconstructed $\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)$ is $\approx 7 \%$.

## Reconstructing $f(x)$ from data with $50 \%$ noise



Phantom
Relative error in $f(x)$ measured in $L^{2}(\Omega) \approx 28 \%$

## Fast algorithm for the spherical geometry

1. Expand $\widehat{\widetilde{g}}(\rho, \hat{y})$ in spherical harmonics in $\hat{y}$ and compute the Fourier transform in $t$ to obtain $\widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{m, k}(\rho), k=0,1, \cdots, m=\overline{-k, k}$;
2. For $\rho \geq 0$, compute $b_{k}(\rho) \equiv \frac{4 \pi}{i \rho} \frac{i^{k}}{h_{k}^{(1)}(\rho)} \widehat{\widetilde{g}}_{m, k}(\rho)$, and extend to
$\rho<0$ by complex conjugation;
3. For each $\rho$ and $\omega$ sum up series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-k}^{k} b_{m, k}(\rho) \overline{Y_{m}^{k}(\omega)}$ and compute the inverse Fourier transform to get $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)$;
4. Anti-differentiate $\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{R} f}(\tau, \omega)$ to find $\widetilde{\mathcal{R} f}(\tau, \omega)$;
5. Compute $\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)$ by extracting the correct values of $\widehat{\mathcal{R} f(\tau, \omega)}$ within the intervals prescribed by the theorem presented above. This algorithm is fast: the total complexity is $O\left(m^{4}\right)$ flops for an $m \times m \times m$ grid (vs. $O\left(m^{5}\right)$ for filtration/backprojection)

## Simulation, spherical geometry, 3D



Data $g\left(t, \hat{y}\left(\theta_{0}, \varphi\right)\right), \theta_{0} \approx 69^{\circ}$ Reduced data $\widetilde{g}\left(t, \hat{y}\left(\theta_{0}, \varphi\right)\right)$
Reduced noisy data $\tilde{g}(\ldots)$


Exact $\mathcal{R} f\left(\tau, \omega\left(\theta_{0}, \varphi\right)\right), \theta_{0} \approx 69^{\circ}$ Step 4: $\widetilde{\mathcal{R} f}\left(\tau, \omega\left(\theta_{0}, \varphi\right)\right) \quad$ Step 5: error in $\mathcal{R} f(\ldots)$
Relative $L^{\infty}$ error is $3 . \mathrm{E}-4$; with $50 \%$ noisy data relative $L^{2}$ error is $0.8 \%$.
Reconstruction of $f(x)$ from $50 \%$ noisy data has relative $L^{2}$ error of $9 \%$.

The proposed technique is somewhat sub-optimal: generally, $\Omega_{0}$ could have larger support, still with injectivity/stability.

Good news: our approach is quite general. And this is the only explicit result for open and bounded acquisition surfaces.

More good news: we rely on the scattering problem by closed surfaces. For such surfaces there is a significant body of work on finding the density of singular layers and/or solving the scattering problem.

Bad news: our technique is only as explicit as the densities we find.
Fortunately, for certain surfaces this can be done analytically as in the circular/spherical cases.

## Thank you!

