

Alternative Development of Semigroups

Consider the abstract initial value problem

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0$$

where A denotes an unbounded but closed and densely defined linear operator on a Banach space X . We recall from the previous development that if we approximate this problem by

$$u(t+h) - u(t) \approx -hAu(t+h),$$

then

$$(I + hA)u(t+h) \approx u(t) \text{ for } h \geq 0,$$

or

$$u(t+h) \approx (I + hA)^{-1}u(t)$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} u(h) &\approx (I + hA)^{-1}u_0 \\ u(2h) &\approx (I + hA)^{-1}u(h) \approx (I + hA)^{-2}u_0 \\ &\vdots \\ u(nh) &\approx (I + hA)^{-n}u_0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$u(t) \approx \left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n}u_0 \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

This suggests that the solution of the abstract IVP is given by

$$u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n}u_0 \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

and raises the question of whether this limit exists under appropriate conditions on A .

Theorem (Hille-Yosida) In order that the limit in (1) exist it is sufficient that

- i) A is closed and densely defined
- ii) $(\lambda I + A)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded for $\lambda \geq 0$
- iii) $\|(\lambda I + A)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ for $\lambda > 0$.

Proof- It follows from iii) that for $\mu \geq 0$,

$$\|(I + \mu A)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \leq 1 \quad (2)$$

Now for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ define $S_n(t) = \left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n}$. Then

$$\|S_n(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq 1 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, t \geq 0.$$

i.e., the family $\{S_n(t)\}$ is uniformly bounded in the operator norm on $L(X)$. Now write

$$u - (I + \mu A)^{-1}u = \mu(I + \mu A)^{-1}Au \quad \forall u \in D_A.$$

Then it follows from (2) that

$$\|u - (I + \mu A)^{-1}u\|_X \leq \mu \|Au\|_X \quad \forall u \in D_A,$$

and this implies that $\forall u \in D_A$, we have

$$\|u - (I + \mu A)^{-1}u\|_X \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow 0;$$

i.e., $(I + \mu A)^{-1}u \rightarrow u$ in X as $\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall u \in D_A$.

Since D_A is dense in X and $(I + \mu A)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded for $\mu \geq 0$, it follows that $(I + \mu A)^{-1}$ converges to I (in the norm topology on X) as $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and $S_n(t)$ converges to I (in the norm topology on X) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Now suppose for the moment that we can show

$$\|S_n(t)u - S_m(t)u\|_X \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \right) \|A^2u\|_X \quad \forall u \in D_2 = D(A^2). \quad (3)$$

Then (3) implies $\{S_n(t)u\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X for every u in D_2 . But $D_2 = (\lambda I + A)^{-1}D_A = (\lambda I + A)^{-2}X$, and since D_A is dense in X , it follows that D_2 is also dense in X . Then, $\{S_n(t)\}$ is a uniformly bounded family of bounded operators on X with $\{S_n(t)u\}$ converging strongly for all u in a dense subset of X . It follows that for all $t \geq 0$, $S_n(t)$ converges strongly to a bounded linear operator, $S(t)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains only to prove (3). Write

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t)u - S_m(t)u &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{t-\epsilon} \frac{d}{ds} (S_m(t-s)S_n(s)u) ds \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{t-\epsilon} [S_m(t-s)S'_n(s)u - S'_m(t-s)S_n(s)u] ds. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$S'_n(t) = A \left(I + \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-n-1}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(t-s)S'_n(s)u - S'_m(t-s)S_n(s)u &= \\ &= A \left(I + \frac{t-s}{m}A \right)^{-m-1} \left(I + \frac{s}{n}A \right)^{-n} - A \left(I + \frac{t-s}{m}A \right)^{-m} \left(I + \frac{s}{n}A \right)^{-n-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{t-s}{m} - \frac{s}{n} \right) A^2 \left(I + \frac{t-s}{m}A \right)^{-m-1} \left(I + \frac{s}{n}A \right)^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now A commutes with its resolvent and the family $\{S_n(t)\}$ is uniformly bounded which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n(t)u - S_m(t)u\|_X &\leq \|A^2u\|_X \int_0^t \left(\frac{t-s}{m} + \frac{s}{n} \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \right) \|A^2u\|_X \quad \forall u \in D_2 = D(A^2) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Recall that in the previous approach we began with the assumption that the IVP had a unique solution, which we expressed as $u(t) = S(t)u_0$, and we then proceeded to prove that $S(t)$ had certain properties. In particular, we showed that there was an operator B , called the generator, associated with $S(t)$ and we showed that B was an extension of the operator $-A$ from the IVP. Finally we proved the Hille-Yosida theorem which specified conditions on A sufficient to imply that $-A$ was the generator of a semigroup. Here we have begun with A and showed that under certain conditions on A , the limit (1) must exist. We must now show that the operator $S(t)$ obtained in this limit has properties that are useful for solving the IVP.

Properties of $S(t)$

Let A denote a linear operator on X satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem and let

$$S(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I + \frac{t}{n} A \right)^{-n}.$$

Then

- 1) $S(t)$ is a strongly continuous function of $t \geq 0$; i.e., $\forall u \in X$

$$\|S(t)u - S(t_0)u\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0.$$

- 2) $S(0) = I$

- 3) $\|S(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq 1$.

- 4) $S(t) : D_A \rightarrow D_A$ and $AS(t)u = S(t)Au \quad \forall u \in D_A$

- 5) $S'(t)u = -AS(t)u = -S(t)Au \quad \forall u \in D_A$

- 6) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(t) - I}{t} u = -Au \quad \forall u \in D_A$

To prove 1) we can write

$$\|S(t)u - S(t_0)u\|_X = \|S(t)u - S_m(t)u + S_m(t)u - S_m(t_0)u + S_m(t_0)u - S(t_0)u\|_X$$

and use (3) to write

$$\|S(t)u - S_m(t)u\|_X \leq \frac{t^2}{2m} \|A^2u\|_X \quad \forall u \in D_2 = D(A^2).$$

Since $S_m(t)$ is strongly continuous in t for every m ,

$$\|S_m(t)u - S_m(t_0)u\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0,$$

and the result follows. The results 2) and 3) are proved similarly. To prove 4) note that since A commutes with its resolvent $(I + \mu A)^{-1}$, it follows that for all $u \in D_A$

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}u &= AS_n(t)\left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-1}u \rightarrow AS(t)u = AS(t)u \\ \text{and } A\left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}u &= \left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}Au \\ &= S_n(t)\left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-1}Au \rightarrow S(t)IAu = S(t)Au. \end{aligned}$$

This proves 4) and since $S'_n(t) = -\left(I + \frac{t}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}A$, we have

$$S_n(t)u - u = -\int_0^t \left(I + \frac{\tau}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}A u d\tau \quad \forall u \in D_A.$$

Letting n tend to ∞ we find

$$7) \quad S(t)u - u = -\int_0^t S(\tau)A u d\tau \quad \forall u \in D_A.$$

Note that passing to the limit under the integral is valid since the convergence

$$\left(I + \frac{\tau}{n}A\right)^{-n-1}A u \rightarrow S(\tau)A u$$

is uniform on any finite time interval. The result 7) is also of interest. To prove 6), use 7) to write

$$(S(t) - I)u = -\int_0^t S(\tau)A u d\tau \quad \forall u \in D_A.$$

Now the MVT for integrals implies

$$\int_0^t S(\tau)A u d\tau = S(t_0)tAu \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t_0 \leq t,$$

and

$$\frac{S(t) - I}{t}u = -S(t_0)Au \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t_0 \leq t.$$

Now let t tend to zero and use 2) to get 6).

It is now possible to show that for all $u_0 \in D_A$, the unique solution of

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0$$

is given by

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0.$$

That $u(t) = S(t)u_0$ solves the IVP follows from 5) and 2). To see that the solution is unique, let $v(t)$ satisfy

$$v \in C([0, T] : D_A) \cap C^1((0, T) : D_A)$$

$$v'(t) + Av(t) = 0, \quad v(0) = 0.$$

Let $F(s) = S(t-s)v(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t$, $t > 0$ fixed.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F'(s) &= -S'(t-s)v(s) + S(t-s)v'(s) \\ &= AS(t-s)v(s) - S(t-s)Av(s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

i.e., F is constant. But,

$$F(t) = S(0)v(t) = v(t) \quad \text{and} \quad F(0) = S(t)v(0)$$

hence

$$v(t) = S(t)v(0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Since the difference of any two solutions to the IVP must satisfy the IVP with a zero initial state, the uniqueness follows.

Finally consider $F(s) = S(t-s)v(s)$ in the special case that $v(s) = S(s)u_0$. Then

$$F(s) = S(t-s)S(s)u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(0) = S(t)u_0.$$

But F is constant even in this case so

$$F(s) = S(t-s)S(s)u_0 = S(t)u_0 = F(0). \quad \forall u_0 \in D_A.$$

Since D_A is dense in X we have

$$S(t-s)S(s) = S(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t$$

or

$$8) \quad S(\tau + s) = S(\tau)S(s) \quad \text{for } s, \tau \geq 0.$$

Note that if we let $J(t)u = e^{-\lambda t}S(t)u$ for $\lambda, t \geq 0$ and $u \in X$, then

$$J'(t)u = -\lambda e^{-\lambda t}S(t)u + e^{-\lambda t}S'(t)u = -e^{-\lambda t}S(t)(\lambda I + A)u \quad \forall u \in D_A$$

i.e.,

$$J'(t)u = -J(t)(\lambda I + A)u \quad \forall u \in D_A$$

and we see that $J(t)$ is a contraction semigroup generated by $(\lambda I + A)$. Let $v = (\lambda I + A)u$. Then

$$J(t)u - u = -\int_0^t J(\tau)(\lambda I + A)u d\tau \quad \forall u \in D_A$$

and if we apply $(\lambda I + A)$ to both sides of this equation, then we get

$$J(t)v - v = -(\lambda I + A) \int_0^t J(\tau)v d\tau \quad \forall v \in X.$$

Let t tend to infinity and use the fact that

$$\|J(t)u\|_X = \|e^{-\lambda t}S(t)u\|_X \leq e^{-\lambda t}\|u\|_X$$

to conclude that

$$u = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau}S(\tau)(\lambda I + A)u d\tau \quad \forall u \in D_A.$$

Note that this implies $(\lambda I + A)$ is one to one. Moreover,

$$v = (\lambda I + A) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau}S(\tau)v d\tau \quad \forall v \in X.$$

implies that $(\lambda I + A)$ is onto and

$$(\lambda I + A)^{-1}v = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau}S(\tau)v d\tau \quad \forall v \in X,$$

with

$$\|(\lambda I + A)^{-1}v\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|_X. \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Together, these properties of $S(t)$ show that the Hille Yosida conditions on A are not only sufficient for the generation of $S(t)$, they are also necessary.