

# BOUNDS ON THE MORDELL-WEIL RANK OF ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS

ANTONELLA GRASSI<sup>1</sup>, RICK MIRANDA<sup>2</sup>, KAPIL PARANJAPE<sup>3</sup>,  
VASUDEVAN SRINIVAS<sup>4</sup>, AND TIMO WEIGAND<sup>5</sup>

ABSTRACT. We present two proofs for a bound on the rank of the Mordell-Weil group of some elliptic fibrations. The bounds apply to Calabi-Yau varieties, which are also of interest to the physics of string theory. We prove explicit bounds for Calabi-Yau threefolds, as predicted by physics, and give new explicit bounds for fourfolds under mild assumptions. These results motivate a conjecture for bounds in any dimensions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We prove bounds on the rank of the Mordell-Weil group  $\text{MW}(X/B)$  of an elliptically fibered variety  $X$  over a base  $B$ . We present two types of arguments, which have parallels, but differ in some details and emphasis. Each method leads to possible generalizations in different directions.

For  $X$  a smooth K3 surface, it is known that the rank of the Mordell-Weil group can take any value  $0 \leq \text{rkMW}(X/B) \leq 18$  [30, 28]. In higher dimensions, the existence of a bound on  $\text{rkMW}(X/B)$  is guaranteed, for Calabi-Yau varieties, by the effective boundedness of families of elliptic fibrations [12, 3]. The currently highest known Mordell-Weil rank for elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds is obtained for special Schoen manifolds with  $\text{rkMW}(X/B) = 10$  [20, 11], but based on expectations from physics, higher ranks should be possible.

In this work, we prove three structure theorems for bounds on elliptic fibrations: Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.16 and Theorem 4.18..

Based on these, we furthermore prove the following explicit bounds:

**Theorem.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold with sections and singular fibers. Then the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  satisfies  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 28$ .*

**Theorem.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfold with sections and singular fibers. Then under mild assumptions the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  satisfies  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 38$ .*

The precise statements and hypotheses are given in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.3. These theorems and their proofs formalise and generalise previous results from physics [35, 38, 33].

---

*Date:* March 25, 2026.

The same reasoning, with the same assumptions, provides bounds on the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic threefolds fourfolds with  $\text{kod}(X) \leq 0$ .

**1.1. Bounds from physics.** To every elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $X$  one can associate a six-dimensional  $N = 1$  supergravity theory obtained as the low-energy limit of F-theory [52, 43, 44] compactified on  $X$ . In this context, the free and torsion part of the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  encode the continuous and, respectively, discrete abelian gauge symmetries in the effective action (see, for example, the reviews [54, 7] for details and references). Bounds on the rank of the Mordell-Weil group, or in physics terms on the rank  $r_{\text{U}(1)}$  of the abelian gauge group, are motivated by general arguments suggesting that the number of light degrees of freedom and their interactions in any consistent quantum gravitational theory are severely constrained. While gauge and gravitational anomalies alone do admit infinite families of theories with arbitrarily high abelian gauge rank [47], taking into consideration constraints from quantum consistency of probe strings [26] sets tight bounds on the ranks [35, 38, 33, 27].

In [35] bounds on  $r_{\text{U}(1)}$  have been obtained for general  $N = 1$  supergravity theories in six dimensions by analysing probe consistency of certain solitonic strings coupling to gravity. These bounds are given by  $r_{\text{U}(1)} \leq 22$  for theories with  $T \geq 1$  tensor multiplets (subsequently improved to  $r_{\text{U}(1)} \leq 20$  in [27]) and  $r_{\text{U}(1)} \leq 32$  for  $T = 0$ . In explicit realisations of the supergravity via F-theory, the solitonic strings arise from D3-branes wrapping suitable curves on  $B$ . If the curve  $C$  is rational, movable and without base points on  $B$ , the associated string couples to all abelian gauge groups because  $C$  has a non-zero intersection number with the height pairing divisor associated with the Shioda map. This follows by extending arguments in [6] to Calabi-Yau threefolds [34] and led, for smooth base  $B$ , to the conservative bounds  $\text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 32$  for  $B = \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 20$  otherwise in [35]. In [38] these bounds were sharpened to

$$(1) \quad \text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 10C \cdot (-K_B) - 2,$$

which also holds for elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfolds with a smooth base  $B$  and  $C$  rational, smooth and in a movable class without base points. For elliptic threefolds, (1) implies the stronger bound  $\text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 28$  if  $B = \mathbb{P}^2$  (leading to  $T = 0$ ) and  $\text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 18$  otherwise. The physics derivation of (1) relies on a specific interpretation of the zero modes on the probe strings. For  $X$  a threefold and  $B$  a rational fibration, [33] argues for the bound  $\text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq 18$  geometrically by relating  $\text{MW}(X/B)$  to the Mordell-Weil group of the K3 surface obtained by restricting the elliptic fibration to the rational fiber of  $B$ .

**1.2. Outline of our paper.** We now give an overview of the main contents of the present work.

In Section 2 we collect the necessary background for our analysis, including results from the Minimal Model Problem applied to elliptic fibrations

and properties of the Shioda pairing for rational sections. We also revisit Noether's formula (in all characteristics).

In Section 3, we prove the structure theorem 3.1. While philosophically similar to the procedure of Section 4, the proof presented in this section differs in two aspects. It uses that the Mordell-Weil rank of an elliptic curve over a field only increases on base change to a larger field, and that an elliptic curve over a function field of higher transcendence degree may sometimes be viewed as the generic fiber of an elliptic fibration on a smooth proper surface  $S_K$ , which is itself defined over some function field  $K$ . Then Noether's formula directly yields a bound for the Picard rank of this surface (and hence our desired elliptic Mordell-Weil rank), without considering degenerate fibers of the elliptic fibration, the Shioda pairing, or heights. This approach uses only elements of the classical minimal model theory for rational surfaces, and avoids difficult results in higher dimensional birational geometry. It is formulated in the scheme language. At the current state this method does not provide an explicit effective bound for fourfolds.

In Section 4 we develop and prove the two structure theorems 4.16 and 4.18 for elliptic fibrations (not necessarily Calabi-Yau). The proof formalises and generalises the physics approach of [34, 35, 38, 33] by proving an injection from  $\text{MW}(X/B)$  to  $\text{MW}(Z)$  for a suitable surface  $Z$  (smooth or birationally a K3 surface) obtained by restricting the elliptic fibration to a smooth curve  $C$  in the smooth locus of the base  $B$ ; in particular, if the Picard rank of  $B$  exceeds one,  $C$  must be movable. The result uses key properties of the Shioda map of rational sections and its height-pairing (see in particular Lemma 4.2).

We present the two different approaches, as developed in Section 3 and in Section 4, to bound the Mordell-Weil rank since each of them has the possibility of generalization in different ways, which could be useful for future work.

In Section 5, we apply Theorems 3.1 and 4.16 to prove a universal bound, formulated as Theorem 5.1, on the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds.

In Section 6, we apply the structure theorems 4.16 and 4.18 to obtain an explicit bound for the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfolds, Theorem 6.3. The proof makes use of general results from the Minimal Model Program (in particular Theorem 2.11). For the proof we have to make the assumption that the base of the fourfold, in case its rank is one, can be taken to be smooth. Under this hypothesis, we can use the classification of smooth rank-one Fano threefolds [22] to establish the bound by proving the existence of a curve  $C$  as required by Theorems 4.16 and 4.18. Note that unlike for Calabi-Yau threefolds, in some of the cases the curves giving the bound are not movable and are not rational.

Our results naturally motivate a generalisation to any dimension, which we present in the form of a conjecture in Section 7.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Seung-Joo Lee, Luca Martucci and S. Tanimoto for discussions. TW especially thanks Seung-Joo Lee for collaboration on the related work [34, 35] and Luca Martucci and Nicolo Risso for collaboration on the related work [38]. TW thanks the University of Bologna for its hospitality. KHP wishes to thank VS and the University of Buffalo for hospitality during July-Aug 2024 when VS introduced him to the problems studied here that led to this paper. RM and VS wish to thank the organisers of the Summer Research Institute in Algebraic Geometry held in July 2025 at CSU, where we started discussing this together. VS thanks the UB Research Foundation for support to attend that event.

The work of TW is supported in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2121 Quantum Universe 390833306, by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through a German-Israeli Project Cooperation (DIP) grant "Holography and the Swampland" and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the Collaborative Research Center 1624 "Higher Structures, Moduli Spaces and Integrability." The work of AG is supported in part by the European Union- NextGenerationEU under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (PNRR)- Mission 4 Education and research- Component 2 From research to business- Investment 1.1, Prin 2022 "Geometry of algebraic structures: moduli, invariants, deformations", DD N. 104, 2/2/2022, proposal code 2022BTA242- CUP J53D23003720006. AG is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM.

## 2. BACKGROUND ON ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS AND THEIR MORDELL-WEIL GROUP

We work over the complex numbers; unless otherwise specified, all the varieties are assumed to be normal, projective and irreducible.

**Definition 2.1.** A complex variety  $X$  with canonical bundle  $\omega_X$  is called Calabi-Yau if  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for  $0 < i < \dim(X)$ .

**2.1. Movable curves and effective divisors.** Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety; let  $B^{sm} \subset B$  be the non singular locus of  $B$ . Let  $N_1(B)_{\mathbb{R}}$  be the set of numerical equivalence classes of real 1-cycles and  $N^1(B)_{\mathbb{R}}$  the set of numerical equivalence classes of real divisors.

**Definition 2.2.** (1) A curve  $C \subset B$  is movable iff there is an irreducible subvariety  $\mathcal{C} \subset B \times T$ , with  $T$  irreducible, such that all fibers  $C_t$ ,  $t \in T$ , of the projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$  are irreducible curves in  $B$ ,  $C = C_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in T$  and the projection  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  has dense image.

- (2)  $\overline{\text{NM}}(B) = \overline{\{\sum a_i \gamma_i, a_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in N_1(B)_{\mathbb{R}}, \gamma_i \text{ movable}\}}$ .  
(3)  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(B) = \overline{\{\sum a_i D_i, a_i \geq 0, D_i \in N^1(B)_{\mathbb{R}}, D_i \text{ effective}\}}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** [4] *Let  $B$  be projective and irreducible. Then:*

$$\overline{\text{NM}}(B) = \{\gamma \in N_1(B)_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ such that } D \cdot \gamma \geq 0, \forall D \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(B)\}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** [See for example, [8, Section 2.4]] Let  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow B$  be a non constant immersion such that  $f(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset B^{sm} \subseteq B$ .

$C = f(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is said to be free (very free) if  $f^*T_B$  is nef ( $f^*T_B$  is ample), or equivalently  $N_{C/B}$  is the direct sum of non-negative (positive) summands.

## 2.2. Elliptic fibrations.

**Definition 2.5.** An elliptic fibration is a morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow V$  whose fibers over a dense set in  $V$  are elliptic curves. The complement of this dense locus in  $V$ , the discriminant of the fibration, is denoted by  $\Sigma_{Y/V}$ .

*Remark 2.6.* For  $X$  a variety, we indicate by  $K_X$  the canonical divisor, interpreted as the first Chern class of the canonical bundle  $\omega_X$ , and whenever a divisor  $\Lambda$  is associated with a line bundle, we call the latter  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\tilde{\pi} : Y \rightarrow V$  be an elliptic fibration. A birationally equivalent elliptic fibration  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  is a fibration which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & X \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ V & \overset{\psi}{\dashrightarrow} & B \end{array}$$

commutative, where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are birational maps.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow V$  be an elliptic fibration between smooth varieties,  $\Lambda_V$  be the discriminant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $\text{kod}(Y) = \text{kod}(V + \Lambda_V)$ .
- (2) If  $\Lambda_V \neq 0$ , then  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_Y) = h^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ .
- (3) If either  $\dim(Y) \leq 5$  or  $\dim(Y) > 5$  and klt flips exist and terminate in  $\dim Y - 1$ , there exists a birationally equivalent elliptic fibration  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ ,  $X$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities,  $(B, \Lambda)$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial klt singularities, such that  $\Lambda$  is supported on  $\Sigma_{X/B}$ , the discriminant of the fibration, and  $K_X \equiv \pi^*(K_B + \Lambda)$ .
- (4) If  $\text{kod}(Y) \geq 0$  and either  $\dim(Y) \leq 5$  or  $\dim(Y) > 5$  and klt flips exist and terminate in  $\dim Y - 1$ ,  $X$  can be taken to be minimal.
- (5) If  $\dim(Y) \leq 5$ ,  $\pi$  can be assumed to be equidimensional over an open set  $U \subset B$  with  $\text{codim}(B \setminus U) \geq 3$ .

Furthermore:

- (6) If  $\dim Y = 3$ ,  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$  and there are no multiple fibers, then  $B$  is smooth.
- (7) If  $\dim Y = 3$ ,  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , and there are no multiple fibers, then  $B$  is either  $\mathbb{P}^2$  or the blow up of the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_n$ ,  $n \leq 12$ .
- (8) If  $\text{kod}(Y) = 0$ ,  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and either  $\dim(Y) \leq 5$  or  $\dim(Y) > 5$  and klt flips exist and terminate in  $\dim Y - 1$ , then  $B$  is birationally a Mori fiber space (see Definition 2.10).

*Proof.* (2), (6), (7) are proved in [18] and [19]; the other statements are found in [21], which generalizes to higher dimensions the results for threefolds in [18, 17].  $\square$

*Remark 2.9.* Note that the Minimal Model Program is known to hold in general when  $\dim Y \leq 4$  ( $Y$  is elliptically fibered and  $K_Y$  cannot be a big divisor).

**Definition 2.10.** A Mori fiber space is a dominant projective morphism  $f : B' \rightarrow U$  such that

- (a)  $B'$  is a normal projective variety with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal (klt) singularities,
- (b)  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_{B'}) = \mathcal{O}_U$  and  $\dim B' > \dim U$ ,
- (c)  $\rho(B') - \rho(U) = 1$ ,
- (d)  $-K_{B'}$  is  $f$ -ample, that is the general fiber is a Fano variety.

The general fiber  $F$  also has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal (klt) singularities, since  $B'$  does. By the classical results on the Minimal Model Program for threefolds, see for example [39], we have the following more precise structure theorem:

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  an elliptic fibration as in Theorem 2.8,  $\dim(X) \leq 5$ , and  $K_X = \pi^*(K_B + \Lambda)$ . Assume also that  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . Then there is a birational transformation  $\mu : B \dashrightarrow B'$ , where  $B'$  has the structure of a Mori fiber space.*

*Furthermore, if  $B$  has terminal singularities, the following possibilities can occur:*

- (1) *Any component of a fiber of  $f$  is a smooth rational curve. The general fiber is a smooth rational curve.*
- (2) *The general fiber of  $f$  is a smooth del Pezzo surface.*
- (3)  *$B'$  is a Fano variety with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities,  $\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Pic}(B') = 1$ .*
- (4) *If  $\dim B' = 4$ , the general fiber  $F$  is a Fano threefold with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities.*

### 2.3. Noether's Formula.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $\pi : Z \rightarrow C$ , where  $Z$  is a smooth proper surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0,  $C$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g(C)$  and  $\omega_Z = \pi^*(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}_C)$ , for some line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_C$  of degree  $> 0$ . Then*

$$(2) \quad \rho = \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{NS}(Z) \leq 10 \deg \mathcal{L}_C + 2g(C).$$

*In particular, if  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  is as in Theorem 2.8,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $B$ ,  $C \subset B$  a smooth projective curve and  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$ , so that  $\deg \mathcal{L}_C = \mathcal{L} \cdot C > 0$ , then*

$$(3) \quad \rho = \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{NS}(Z) \leq 10\mathcal{L} \cdot C + 2g(C).$$

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a smooth proper surface over any algebraically closed field (of any characteristic). Recall from [48] that Noether's formula also applies in this case:

$$(4) \quad \chi_{\text{top}}(Z) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) - K_Z^2,$$

where  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1 - h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) + p_g$  and  $p_g = h^{2,0}(Z)$ .

On the other hand, in characteristic zero, even if the field is not the complex numbers [10, Theorem 5.5]:

$$(5) \quad \chi_{\text{top}}(Z) = \sum (-1)^i b_i(Z) = 2 - 4h^{0,1}(Z) + 2p_g + h^{1,1}(Z).$$

By combining (4) and (5) we have:

$$(6) \quad h^{1,1}(Z) = 10 - 8h^{0,1}(Z) + 10p_g - K_Z^2.$$

Now assume that  $Z$  admits an elliptic fibration

$$\pi: Z \rightarrow C,$$

where  $C$  is a smooth curve and  $\omega_Z = \pi^*(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}_C)$ . By Riemann–Roch and using

$$p_g = h^0(Z, \omega_Z) = h^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}_C)$$

one finds

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} p_g &= h^1(C, \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}) + 2g - 2 + \deg \mathcal{L}_C + 1 - g(C) \\ &= h^0(C, \mathcal{L}_C^{-1}) + g(C) - 1 + \deg \mathcal{L}_C = g(C) - 1 + \deg \mathcal{L}_C \end{aligned}$$

(since  $h^0(C, \mathcal{L}_C^{-1}) = 0$ , as  $\mathcal{L}_C^{-1}$  has negative degree).

With (7) and observing that  $K_Z^2 = 0$ , we deduce from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1,1}(Z) &= 10 + 10p_g - 8h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 10 + 10[g(C) - 1 + \deg \mathcal{L}_C] - 8g(C) \\ &= 10 \deg \mathcal{L}_C + 2g(C). \end{aligned}$$

With  $\text{rk NS}(Z) \leq h^{1,1}(Z)$  this yields (2.13).  $\square$

A slightly modified proof of Proposition 2.12 gives the following weaker bounds:

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow C$ , where  $Z$  is a smooth proper surface over an algebraically closed field of any characteristic,  $C$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g(C)$  and  $\omega_Z = \pi^*(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}_C)$ , for some line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_C$  of degree  $> 0$ . Then*

$$\rho(Z) \leq b_2(Z) \leq 12 \deg \mathcal{L}_C + 4g(C) - 2$$

and

$$\rho(Z) \leq b_2(Z) \leq 12\mathcal{L} \cdot C + 4g(C) - 2,$$

respectively.

**2.4. The Shioda map and the Shioda pairing.** Given an elliptic fibration  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ , we study the Mordell-Weil group  $\text{MW}(X/B)$ , defined as the group of rational sections of the elliptic fibration. Equivalently, we can write the Mordell-Weil group as  $E(K)$  for  $K = K(B)$  the function field of  $B$ .

The zero section (the zero element of the group) will be denoted by  $S_0$ . In particular the existence of a zero section implies that there are no multiple fibers. Also, there is a canonical identification of groups between  $\text{Pic}^0(E_K)$  and the Mordell-Weil group  $E(K)$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow V$  and  $X \rightarrow B$  be birationally equivalent fibrations as in Definition 2.7. Then  $\text{MW}(Y/V) \simeq \text{MW}(X/B)$ .*

*Proof.* For two birationally equivalent fibrations as in Definition 2.7, the generic elliptic fibers over the (common) function field of the two bases are isomorphic, and therefore have the same Mordell-Weil groups.  $\square$

Therefore, to derive bounds on the rank of the Mordell-Weil group, we can, without loss of generality, assume that  $X$ ,  $B$  and  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  are as in Theorem 2.8.

In the sequel, we assume that the rank of  $\text{MW}(X/B)$  is non-vanishing so that there are non-torsion rational sections, i.e.  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \neq 0$ .

**Definition 2.15.** [51, 42] For an elliptic fibration  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  as above, if  $\dim B \leq 3$ , there is a Shioda map from the Mordell-Weil group to the Neron-Severi group  $\text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\sigma : \text{MW}(X/B) \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

which associates to a section  $S_a$  the class

$$(8) \quad \sigma(S_a) = S_a - S_0 - \pi^*(\pi_*((S_a - S_0) \cdot S_0)) + \sum_i \sum_A \ell_A^i(S_a) E_i^A.$$

Here

- $S_a$  denotes the divisor (section) corresponding to the section  $a$ ;
- $S_0$  is the zero section;
- $E_i^A$  is a rationally fibered divisor such that  $\pi(E_i^A)$  is an irreducible component  $\Sigma_A \subseteq \Sigma_{X/B}$  and  $E_i^A$  is the  $i$ -th irreducible component of  $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma_A)$ ;
- $\ell_A^i(S_a)$  are rational coefficients which measure how  $S_a$  meets the reducible fibers (they vanish if  $S_a$  meets the same component of a singular fiber as the zero-section).

*Remark 2.16.* (a) The Shioda map is in fact a group homomorphism. The term  $\ell_A^i(S_a)$  contains as a factor the intersection multiplicity of  $S_a$  with the general fibre of the divisors  $E_i^A$ . In particular, if  $S_a$  intersects the singular fibres in the same component as the zero-section, then all  $\ell_A^i(S_a) = 0$ .

- (b) The restriction on  $\dim B$  is not needed in Definition 2.15, though this is not explicitly done in the literature. This can be deduced from the Hard Lefschetz theorem and the Hodge index theorem (the pure Hodge structure  $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  carries a polarization, determined using Hodge theory).
- (c) Theorem 2.8 (1) implies that there is a surjection

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(E_K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q},$$

and the kernel of the induced projection onto  $\mathrm{MW}(X/B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a non-degenerate subspace for the polarization, because of the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations (which is the Hodge index theorem, for  $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ ), hence is a split surjection of rational vector spaces. This also accounts for the fact that the Shioda map is a group homomorphism, whose kernel is the torsion subgroup.

- (d) Finally, the Shioda map is injective after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 2.17.** For a section  $S_a$  we define the class

$$b_{S_a} := -\pi_*(\sigma(S_a) \cdot \sigma(S_a)) = \langle \sigma(S_a), \sigma(S_a) \rangle,$$

which is viewed as an element of  $N^1(B)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This is the usual height pairing associated to the section, if  $B$  is a smooth projective curve, so that  $N^1(B)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $S_a$  be any section distinct from the zero section  $S_0$ . Then there exists an integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the multiple  $mS_a$  (viewed in the Mordell–Weil group) intersects the singular fibres in the same component as  $S_0$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from an extension of the proof of Lemma (1.5) in [6] (or standard results on the behaviour of sections under multiplication by integers). (See [34] for details.) The multiple  $mS_a$  does not intersect the non-identity components of singular fibers for suitable  $m$ , hence lies in the same component as  $S_0$  there.  $\square$

*Remark 2.19.* We note that the smooth locus of any fibre of  $\pi$  has a group structure, which is a semidirect product of the subgroup given by the 0-component, and a finite abelian group (the group of connected components). Proposition 2.18 is a consequence.

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let  $S_a$  be any section distinct from the zero section  $S_0$ . Then there exists an integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$(9) \quad m\sigma(S_a) = mS_a - S_0 - \pi^*(\pi_*((mS_a - S_0) \cdot S_0)).$$

*Proof.* The statement follows from Proposition 2.18 and Remark 2.16.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.21.** *Assume  $\omega_X \simeq \pi^*(\omega_B \otimes \mathcal{L}_C)$ , with  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $B$  with associated divisor  $\Lambda$ . Then for all  $S_a$ ,  $-\pi_*(S_a \cdot S_a) = \Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from an argument in [13, (7.30)].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.22.** *Assume that  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then for every section  $S_a$  we have*

$$-\pi_*(S_a \cdot S_a) = -K_B.$$

**Proposition 2.23.** *Assume  $\omega_X \simeq \pi^*(\omega_B \otimes \mathcal{L})$ , with  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $B$  with associated divisor  $\Lambda$ . Let  $S_a$  be any section distinct from the zero section  $S_0$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$b_{S_a} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left( 2\Lambda + 2\pi_*((mS_a) \cdot S_0) \right).$$

Furthermore  $b_{S_a} \in \overline{\text{Eff}(B)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be as in Proposition 2.18 so that  $mS_a$  meets singular fibers in the  $S_0$ -component. The statement follows from Propositions 2.20 and 2.21.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.24.** *Let  $S_a$  be any section distinct from the zero section  $S_0$ . Assume that  $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$b_{S_a} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left( -2K_B + 2\pi_*((mS_a) \cdot S_0) \right).$$

Furthermore  $b_{S_a} \in \overline{\text{Eff}(B)}$ .

Finally, we recall the following:

**Theorem 2.25** (Shioda-Tate-Wazir formula). *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be as in Theorem 2.8 with  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . Then the rank of the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  is bounded by the Neron-Severi group of  $X$  as follows:*

$$(10) \quad \text{rk}(\text{MW}(X/B)) \leq \text{rk}(\text{NS}(X)) - \text{rk}(\text{NS}(B)) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Terminal and klt singularities are rational and the statement follows from [46, Theorem 1.1], which extends [51, 53].  $\square$

### 3. BOUNDS FOR THE MORDELL-WEIL RANK WITH FUNCTIONS FIELDS

In this section we present an arithmetic proof of the following structure theorem bounding the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic fibrations:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism between projective, normal complex algebraic varieties, such that*

- (i)  *$X$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and its singular locus has codimension at least 3;*
- (ii)  *$\pi$  is an elliptic fibration with a section;*
- (iii) *the canonical sheaf of  $X$  is trivial ( $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ ), and  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .*

*Suppose that there is an (irreducible) nonsingular complex variety  $T$ , together with a smooth morphism  $\varphi : T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow B$  with dense image, contained in the smooth locus of  $B$ . Regarding this as a family of morphisms  $\varphi_t : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow B$ , assume further that these are all embeddings, giving a family  $C_t \hookrightarrow B$  of smooth rational curves, which has no base points (i.e., for any  $b \in B$  there exists  $t \in T$  such that the corresponding rational curve  $C_t$  does not contain  $b$ ).*

Then the elliptic curve  $E_F$  over the function field  $F = \mathbb{C}(B)$  has Mordell-Weil group  $E_F(F)$  with

$$(11) \quad \text{rk} E_F(F) \leq 18 + 10d = 10(-C_t) \cdot K_B - 2,$$

where  $d$  is the degree of the normal bundle of  $C_t$  for any  $t \in T$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Because of our hypothesis that the family of rational curves  $C_t$  has no base points, the normal bundle of any such  $C_t$  must be generated by its global sections, and so  $d \geq 0$ .

*Remark 3.3.* Movable curves are not necessarily base point free. However, the movable curves we consider in Section 5 are base point free.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Recall that for an elliptic curve  $E$  over a field  $K$ , which has a given rational point  $P \in E(K)$ , the Mordell-Weil group  $E(K)$  of  $K$ -rational points is naturally identified with the group  $\text{Pic}^0(E)$  of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $E$  of degree 0, via the map

$$Q(\in E(K)) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_E(Q - P)(\in \text{Pic}^0(E)).$$

Hence if  $K \subset L$  is any extension of fields, then  $\text{Pic}^0(E) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E_L)$  is injective, since  $E(K) \subset E_L(L)$  in an obvious way.

With the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 3.1, consider the ‘‘incidence variety’’

$$Z = (T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1) \times_B X := \{(t, u, x) \in T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times X \mid \varphi(t, u) = \pi(x)\}.$$

The image  $\pi(X_{\text{sing}})$  in  $B$  of the singular locus of  $X$  has codimension at least 2 in  $B$ , since  $X_{\text{sing}}$  has codimension at least 3, by assumption. Since  $\varphi$  is smooth,  $\varphi^{-1}(\pi(X_{\text{sing}}))$  is also of codimension at least 2 in  $T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ . Hence, if we replace  $T$  by a nonempty Zariski open subset, we may assume  $\varphi(T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$  does not intersect  $\pi(X_{\text{sing}})$ . Now since  $\varphi$  is a smooth morphism, so is the morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  induced by the projection, and its image is disjoint from  $X_{\text{sing}}$ . Hence  $Z$  is nonsingular. It is also clear, since it is a pull-back, that the morphism  $Z \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  is an elliptic fibration with a cross section, since  $X \rightarrow B$  has this structure.

The generic fibre of  $f : Z \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  is  $E_K = E \times_F K$ , where  $F = \mathbb{C}(B)$  is the function field of  $B$ ,  $K = \mathbb{C}(T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$  is the function field of  $T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , and  $F \hookrightarrow K$  is the inclusion of fields induced by  $\varphi$ . Hence it suffices to prove the stated bounds on the Mordell-Weil rank for the elliptic curve  $E_K$ , since that rank can only increase after a base change of fields.

We next note that, if  $L = \mathbb{C}(T)$  is the function field of  $T$ , we may consider the elliptic surface  $Z_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_L^1$  obtained as the generic fiber of the composition

$$g : Z \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow T$$

where the second map is the projection to  $T$ . Then  $Z_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_L^1$  is a nonsingular projective surface defined over the field  $L$ , which has an elliptic fibration (the map to  $\mathbb{P}_L^1$ ) with a section, and whose generic fiber is the *same* elliptic curve  $E_K$ .

We also note that for a Zariski open dense subset of  $t \in T$ , the fiber  $Z_t = g^{-1}(t) = \pi^{-1}(C_t) \subset X$  is a nonsingular projective complex surface.

Consider an algebraic closure  $\bar{L}$  of  $L$ , and the corresponding surface  $Z_{\bar{L}}$ . This surface comes equipped with an elliptic fibration

$$h : Z_{\bar{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\bar{L}}^1$$

with a cross section, and if  $M = \bar{L}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  is the function field, then there are field extensions

$$K \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow \bar{L} \hookrightarrow M,$$

which allows us to identify the generic fiber of  $h$  with the elliptic curve

$$E_M = E_F \times_F M,$$

so that

$$E(F) \subset E(M)$$

is a subgroup. It clearly suffices to prove the bounds stated in Theorem 3.1 for this “new” elliptic curve  $E_M$ , which is the generic fiber of  $h : Z_{\bar{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\bar{L}}^1$ .

This elliptic surface  $Z_{\bar{L}}$  has trivial  $H^1(\mathcal{O})$ , since it is an elliptic surface over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (see [40]). Hence the Picard group is discrete, and equal to its Neron-Severi group; by application of Theorem 2.25 we therefore have the estimate

$$\mathrm{rk} E(M) \leq \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{NS}(Z_{\bar{L}}) - 2.$$

The bound stated in Theorem 3.1 follows immediately from the lemma below.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, and with the above notations, if  $d$  is the degree of the normal bundle of  $C_t \subset B$ , where  $t \in T$ ,*

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{NS}(Z_{\bar{L}})) \leq 20 + 10d.$$

*Proof.* We note that if  $t \in T$ , and  $Z_t = \pi^{-1}(C_t) \subset X$  is such that  $Z_t$  is a nonsingular complex surface, with its induced elliptic fibration  $\pi_t : Z_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , then (using adjunction on  $X$ , which has trivial canonical bundle) we see that  $Z_t$  has canonical line bundle

$$\omega_{Z_t} = \det \mathcal{N}_{Z_t} = \pi_t^*(\det \mathcal{N}_{C_t}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{Z_t}$  is the normal bundle of  $Z_t \subset X$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_{C_t}$  is the normal bundle of  $C_t$  in  $B$ . Since  $C_t \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , we have that  $\det \mathcal{N}_{C_t} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$  where  $d$  is the degree of the normal bundle of  $C_t$ . Similar reasoning shows that

$$\omega_{Z_{\bar{L}}} \cong h^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\bar{L}}^1}(d).$$

Hence the surface  $Z_{\bar{L}}$  has geometric genus

$$p_g(Z_{\bar{L}}) = \dim H^0(Z_{\bar{L}}, \omega_{Z_{\bar{L}}}) = d + 1,$$

and

$$q(Z_{\bar{L}}) = \dim H^1(Z_{\bar{L}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\bar{L}}}) = 0.$$

Noether’s formula 2.12 then implies that

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{NS}(Z_{\bar{L}})) \leq 12(1 + p_g) - 2 - 2p_g = 12(d + 2) - 2 - 2(d + 1) = 10d + 20.$$

□

*Remark 3.5.* Recall from Proposition 2.12 that Noether's formula is also valid for a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field, when interpreted suitably [48]; thus the resulting inequality on the rank of the Neron-Severi group is valid in characteristic 0 as given in Lemma 3.4 and a slightly weaker upper bound holds in any characteristic. Since  $\bar{L}$  has characteristic 0, this can in fact be deduced from the case of complex surfaces, together with the assertion that for a surface  $S$  over an algebraically closed field  $K$ , and an extension of algebraically closed fields  $K \subset L$ , the Neron-Severi groups of  $S$  and of  $S_L$  are canonically isomorphic.

#### 4. BOUNDS FOR THE MORDELL-WEIL RANK VIA BIRATIONAL GEOMETRY

In the following we assume  $X$  as in Theorem 2.8,  $K_X = \pi^*(K_B + \Lambda)$  and  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . The results in this section hold for any dimension, unless the dimension is specified. In particular, we will prove the structure theorems 4.16 and 4.18.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $S_a$  be a section. Then  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every curve class  $C \in \overline{\text{NM}}(B)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.23,  $b_{S_a} \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(B)$  and the cone  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(B)$  is dual to  $\overline{\text{NM}}(B)$ , by Theorem 2.3. □

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $S_a \in \text{MW}(X/B)$ ,  $C \subset B$  be a smooth curve contained in  $B^{sm}$ , the smooth locus of  $B$ , but such that  $C \not\subset \Sigma_{X/B}$ , and  $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi^{-1}(C)$ . Then*

$$b_{S_a} \cdot C = -\pi_{*|\hat{Z}}(\sigma(S_a|_{\hat{Z}}) \cdot \sigma(S_a|_{\hat{Z}})) = \langle \sigma(S_a|_{\hat{Z}}), \sigma(S_a|_{\hat{Z}}) \rangle_{|\hat{Z}}$$

is well-defined and gives the height pairing  $\langle, \rangle_{|\hat{Z}}$  for  $S_a|_{\hat{Z}} \in \text{MW}(\hat{Z}/C)$ , where  $\tau: \hat{Z} \rightarrow Z$  is a minimal resolution of  $Z$  relative to the morphism  $Z \rightarrow C$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $b_{S_a} = -\pi_*(\sigma(S_a) \cdot \sigma(S_a)) = \langle \sigma(S_a), \sigma(S_a) \rangle$ . By Corollary 2.24 there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $mS_a$  intersects the singular fibers in the same component as  $S_0$  and then  $b_{S_a} = \frac{1}{m^2} \left( 2\Lambda + 2\pi_*((mS_a) \cdot S_0) \right)$ . There exists a Weierstrass model  $W_X$  of  $X|_{\pi^{-1}(B^{sm})} \rightarrow B^{sm}$  and a Weierstrass model  $W_Z$  of  $Z \rightarrow C$ . Now the birational map between  $X$  and  $W_X$  is an isomorphism in an open set which includes  $(mS_a) \cdot S_0$  for a suitable choice of  $m$ . Likewise, the birational maps between  $Z$ ,  $\hat{Z}$  and  $W_Z$  are isomorphisms in an open set of  $((mS_a) \cdot S_0)|_{\pi^{-1}(C)}$ . Then  $\pi_*((mS_a) \cdot S_0) \cdot C = (\pi|_{\hat{Z}})_*((mS_a)|_{\hat{Z}} \cdot S_0|_{\hat{Z}})$ , where  $(mS_a)|_{\hat{Z}}$  is also the addition in

$\widehat{Z}$ , by base change. We also have  $K_{\widehat{Z}} = \pi_{|\widehat{Z}}^*(K_C + \Lambda \cdot C)$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} b_{S_a} \cdot C &= \frac{1}{m^2} \left( 2\Lambda + 2\pi_*((mS_a) \cdot S_0) \right) \cdot C \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \left( 2\Lambda \cdot C + 2(\pi_{|\widehat{Z}})_*((mS_a)_{|\widehat{Z}} \cdot S_{0|\widehat{Z}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b_{S_a} \cdot C = -\pi_{*|\widehat{Z}}(\sigma(S_{a|\widehat{Z}}) \cdot \sigma(S_{a|\widehat{Z}})) = \langle \sigma(S_{a|\widehat{Z}}), \sigma(S_{a|\widehat{Z}}) \rangle_{|\widehat{Z}}.$$

□

*Remark 4.3.* The compatibility of the height pairing with appropriate base changes, as recorded in Lemma 4.2, may be viewed as a special case of a formula in intersection theory, [15], Theorem 6.2 (a).

*Remark 4.4.* If  $\dim X = 3$ , we can assume without loss of generality  $B = B^{sm}$ , while in Section 6 we restrict to  $B = B^{sm}$ .

**Notation 4.5.** In the hypothesis of the previous Lemma 4.2, from now on we will write  $\text{MW}(Z/C)$  in place of  $\text{MW}(\widehat{Z}/C)$ . In many cases indeed  $Z = \widehat{Z}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $C \subset B^{sm} \subseteq B$  be a smooth curve,  $C \not\subset \Sigma_{X/B}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(C) = Z$ . Assume that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$  and that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $b_{S_a} = 0$ , i.e. if  $S_a$  is torsion. Then*

- (1)  $S_a|_Z$  is torsion in  $\text{MW}(Z/C) \iff S_a$  is torsion in  $\text{MW}(X/B)$ ,
- (2)  $S_a \neq S_b \in \text{MW}(X/B)/\text{MW}(X/B)_{tors} \implies S_a|_Z \neq S_b|_Z \in \text{MW}(Z/C)/\text{MW}(Z/C)_{tors}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $b_{S_a} = \langle S_a, S_a \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $S_a$  is torsion (see also Remark 2.16, (d)). The first statement follows then from the assumptions and Lemma 4.2. The same lemma and the Shioda homomorphism imply the second part. □

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $C \subset B^{sm} \subseteq B$  be a smooth curve,  $C \not\subset \Sigma_{X/B}$ . Assume that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$  and that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $S_a$  is a torsion section. Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 4.6. □

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $C \subset B^{sm} \subseteq B$  be a smooth curve such that  $\pi^{-1}(C) = Z$  is a smooth surface. Assume that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$  and that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $S_a$  is a torsion section. Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq \text{rk NS}(Z) - 2.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality again follows from Proposition 4.6. The second inequality is an application of Theorem 2.25 to the elliptic surface  $Z$ . □

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $C \in \overline{\text{NM}}(B)$  be a curve. Assume also that  $\Lambda \cdot C > 0$ . Then  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$ , and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $S_a$  is torsion.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda \cdot C > 0$ , Propositions 2.23 and 4.1 imply that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $b_{S_a} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $C \subset B$  be a curve. Assume also that  $\Lambda \cdot C > 0$  and  $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ . Then  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$ , and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $b_{S_a} = 0$ , that is  $S_a$  is torsion.*

*Proof.* The hypotheses imply that  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(B)$  coincides with the closure in  $\mathbb{R}$  of the ample cone.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $C \subset B$  be a smooth curve. Assume that  $\Lambda = -K_B$ . Assume also that either  $C \in \overline{\text{NM}}(B)$  is rational or that  $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$  with  $B$  Fano. Then  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$ , and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $S_a$  is torsion.*

*Proof.* We only need to check the first case: if  $C \in \overline{\text{NM}}(B)$  is smooth and rational the adjunction formula gives  $-K_B \cdot C = 2 + \deg N_{C/X} > 0$ . The statements follow from Propositions 4.9 and 4.10.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $C \subset B^{\text{sm}} \subseteq B$  be a smooth rational curve. Assume that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$ , and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $b_{S_a} = 0$ , that is,  $S_a$  is torsion. Assume in addition that  $\Lambda \cdot C = 2$ . Then  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is (birationally) a K3 surface and*

$$(12) \quad \text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq 18.$$

*Proof.* By construction,  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is an elliptic surface with  $12\Lambda \cdot C = 24$  singular fibers and is therefore birationally a K3 surface. The first part of the inequality follows from Theorem 4.7. The second part of the inequality follows from the known bound  $\text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq 18$  for  $Z$  a K3 surface (recalling Notation 4.5).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let  $C \subset B^{\text{sm}} \subseteq B$  be a smooth rational curve with normal bundle  $N_{C/B} \simeq \oplus^{\dim B-1} \mathcal{O}_C$ . Assume that  $b_{S_a} \cdot C \geq 0$  for every  $S_a$ , and  $b_{S_a} \cdot C = 0$  if and only if  $b_{S_a} = 0$ , that is,  $S_a$  is torsion. In addition assume that  $\Lambda = -K_B$ . Then  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is birationally a K3 surface and*

$$(13) \quad \text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq 18.$$

*Proof.* By adjunction for the rational curve  $C$ ,  $\Lambda \cdot C = -K_B \cdot C = 2 + \deg N_{C/B} = 2$ . The claim then follows from Proposition 4.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $C \in \overline{\text{NM}}(B)$  be a smooth curve such that  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is smooth with  $\Lambda \cdot C > 0$ . Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq \text{rk NS}(Z) - 2.$$

*Proof.* Corollary 4.11 implies that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.8 are satisfied.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.** *Let  $C \subset B$  be a smooth curve such that  $\pi^{-1}(C) = Z$  is smooth. Assume also that  $\Lambda \cdot C > 0$  and  $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ . Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq \text{rk MW}(Z/C) \leq \text{rk NS}(Z) - 2.$$

*Proof.* Corollary 4.11 implies that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.8 are satisfied.  $\square$

We then find a bound on  $\text{rk MW}(X/B)$ :

**Theorem 4.16.** *Assume there exists a curve  $C \subset B^{sm}$  which is smooth, movable with  $C \cdot \Lambda > 0$  and such that either  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is smooth or (birationally) a K3 surface. Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(C \cdot \Lambda) + 2g(C) - 2.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorems 4.14 and 4.15 together with Proposition 2.12 as well as Proposition 4.12.  $\square$

*Remark 4.17.* For  $X$  Calabi-Yau (in particular  $K_X = 0$  and hence  $\Lambda = -K_B \neq 0$ ) and  $C$  rational, the bound in Theorem (4.16) agrees with the physics bound

$$(14) \quad \text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot C) - 2$$

argued for in [38] for  $\dim X = 3, 4$  by sharpening and generalising to Calabi-Yau 4-folds the conservative bounds of [35]. See also the discussion around (1) in the Introduction.

**Theorem 4.18.** *Let  $C \subset B^{sm}$  be a smooth curve such that either  $\pi^{-1}(C) = Z$  is a smooth surface or  $Z$  is (birationally) a K3 surface. Assume also that  $C \cdot \Lambda > 0$  and  $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ . Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(C \cdot \Lambda) + 2g(C) - 2.$$

*In particular if  $Z$  is birationally a K3 surface*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18.$$

## 5. EXPLICIT BOUNDS FOR THE MORDELL-WEIL RANK OF ELLIPTIC CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-fold as in Theorem 2.8, with  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . Then the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  satisfies:*

- (i)  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$  if  $B \neq \mathbb{P}^2$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 28$  if  $B = \mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Proof.* We present two different proofs, the first one, (a), follows the arithmetic proof of Section 3, the second, (b), the geometric proofs of Section 4.

- (a) In case i), by Theorem 2.8  $B$  is the blow up of a Hirzebruch surface and hence a rational fibration. There is therefore a morphism  $\theta : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  whose general fiber is a smooth rational curve with the property that, for some Zariski open  $T \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , we have that  $\theta^{-1}(T) \cong T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ . We may then take the family of rational curves  $C_t$  as in Theorem 3.1 to be the map  $T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  obtained from the fibres of  $\theta$ . Here  $d = 0$ .

In case ii), take  $T$  to be an affine open set in the dual projective plane of lines in  $B = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , where we get a family of rational curves  $C_t$  as in Theorem 3.1 with the degree  $d = 1$ .

- (b) In case i), the general rational fiber  $C$  of the Hirzebruch surface  $B$  is movable and since  $C \cdot \Lambda = C \cdot (-K_B) = 2$ ,  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is (birationally) a K3 surface. Then by Theorem 4.16,  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 18$ .

In case ii) we can take  $C$  to be a general hyperplane class. Hence  $C$  is movable and  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is smooth and  $C \cdot (-K_B) = 3$ . Theorem 4.16 implies  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 28$ .

□

Since for  $X$  a threefold as in Theorem 2.8,  $B$  is birationally a Mori fiber space, and more precisely by Theorem 2.11, even the following more general statement holds:

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an elliptic threefold, as in Theorem 2.8, with  $K_X = 0$  and  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . Then the Mordell-Weil group of  $X$  satisfies:*

- (i)  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 18$  if  $B \neq \mathbb{P}^2$ ;  
(ii)  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 28$  if  $B = \mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Remark 5.3.* For  $X$  Calabi-Yau threefold and  $B$  a ruled surface, the bound  $\text{rank MW}(X/B) \leq 18$  appeared, with additional hypothesis, in [33]. See also the discussion around (1) in the Introduction.

In addition to Calabi-Yau threefolds in the sense of Definition 2.1, the Theorems in Sections 3 and 4 provide bounds for other classes of threefolds  $X$  with  $\text{kod}(X) = 0$ :

*Example 5.4.* Let  $X = (E \times T)/G$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve,  $T$  an elliptic K3 surface with section and  $G$  a finite group acting faithfully on  $E$  as a subgroup of translations and the action on  $T$  leaves the elliptic fibration with section and whole  $H^{2,0}$  space invariant. Such  $G$  and  $T$  exist, see for example [16]. This type of threefolds (without the assumption of  $T$  being elliptically fibered) are, together with Calabi-Yau, one of the 9 classes of threefolds with  $K_X \equiv 0$  [25, 41].

Similarly, one can obtain explicit bounds on the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic threefolds  $X$  with  $\text{kod}(X) < 0$ :

*Example 5.5.*  $X$  is the resolution of a Weierstrass model on  $B$ , where  $B$  is a ruled surface and  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_B^{-1}$ ,  $r > 1$ .

In the remaining two sections, we are going to extend these bounds beyond elliptic threefolds.

## 6. EXPLICIT BOUNDS FOR THE MORDELL-WEIL RANK OF ELLIPTIC CALABI-YAU FOURFOLDS

In this section, we prove bounds for elliptic fourfolds with  $K_X = 0$  under the following

**Assumption 6.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  as in Theorem 2.8, with  $K_X = 0$  such that  $\Lambda = -K_B \neq 0$ .*

*If  $\rho(B') := \text{rk Pic}(B') = 1$ , we assume that  $B'$  is smooth and furthermore that it is general in its deformation family if in addition  $i(B) = 1$ , where  $i(B)$  is the index of  $K_B$ , i.e.  $-K_B = i(B)H$  with  $H$  the generator of  $\text{Pic}(B)$ .*

*Remark 6.2.* We assume  $B'$  smooth if  $\rho(B') = 1$  because our proof builds on the classification of smooth Fano threefolds. If  $B'$  has Gorenstein terminal singularities then  $B$  is smoothable [45]. There exists a classification of some factorial Fano threefolds  $B'$  with terminal singularities in [32]. See also [2] and [31]. Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial Gorenstein is factorial. There are also some results on the existence of free curves on Fano klt varieties [23].

We prove the following:

**Theorem 6.3.** *Under the hypothesis as in Assumption 6.1,*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 38.$$

*In addition, if  $B' \rightarrow U$  is a Mori fiber space with general fiber  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 28,$$

*and otherwise*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18.$$

**Corollary 6.4.** *In particular, the bounds of Theorem 6.3 apply to elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfolds with the additional Assumption 6.1.*

*Remark 6.5.* In addition to Calabi-Yau fourfolds in the sense of Definition 2.1, the bounds of Theorem 6.3 apply also to other fourfolds, for example fourfolds of the form  $(E \times T)/G$ , where one of the following holds (such examples exist, as in Example 5.4):

- (1)  $E$  is an elliptic curve,  $T$  an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold with section and  $G$  a finite group acting faithfully on  $E$  as a subgroup of translations and the action on  $T$  preserves the elliptic fibration with section and leaves  $H^{3,0}$  invariant;
- (2)  $T$  is an abelian surface and  $E$  is an elliptic K3 surface with section and  $G$  a finite group acting faithfully on  $T$  as a subgroup of translations and the action on  $E$  leaves invariant the elliptic fibration with section and  $H^{2,0}$ .

*Remark 6.6.* The same reasoning, with Assumption 6.1, provides bounds on the Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic fourfolds with  $\text{kod}(X) < 0$ , as in Example 5.5.

To prove Theorem 6.3, we need the following result:

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $B'$  as in Theorem 2.11,  $B'$  smooth. Then there exists a smooth curve  $C$  in  $B'$  such that*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_{B'} \cdot C) + 2g(C) - 2.$$

*Outline of the proof.* The structure theorem 2.11 implies that in cases (1) and (2) there exists a curve  $C$  smooth, movable and rational such that  $Z$  is smooth, and Theorems 3.1 and 4.16 both apply. Otherwise, in case (3),  $\text{rk Pic}(B') = 1$  and we show that there exists a smooth curve  $C$  such that either  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is smooth or  $Z$  is birationally a K3 surface and Theorem 4.18 applies.

We will prove the existence of such a curve  $C$  in the following sections.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.8.** *Let  $B, B'$  and  $\mu : B \rightarrow B'$  be as in Assumption 6.1. Then there exists a smooth curve  $C$  in  $B$  such that*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot C) + 2g(C) - 2.$$

*Proof.* By the structure Theorem 2.11 if  $\rho(B) > 1$ , either cases (1) and (2) apply and the curve  $C$  can be taken in the open set where  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. If  $\rho(B) = 1$ , then  $B = B'$ .  $\square$

We then prove Theorem 6.3 by combining the optimal bounds obtained via Theorem 6.7 for all possible  $B$  under the stated assumptions.

**Proposition 6.9.** *In case (1) of Theorem 2.11*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18.$$

*Proof.* The general fiber of  $f : B' \rightarrow U$  is in fact a smooth rational movable curve with  $Z$  smooth and if  $\Lambda \neq 0$ , Theorem 4.16 and adjunction imply:  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq -10(C \cdot K_B) - 2 = 18$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.10.** *In case (2) of Theorem 2.11,  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 28$  if the fiber is  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* The general fiber of  $f : B' \rightarrow Z$  is a smooth del Pezzo surface and we conclude as in the three-dimensional case.  $\square$

In case (3) of Theorem 2.11  $B$  is a Fano threefold, which we assume to be smooth, as in Assumption 6.1 with  $\rho(B) := \text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ . As in the proof of Corollary 6.8, we can set  $B = B'$ .

Let  $i(B)$  be the index of  $K_B$ , i.e.  $-K_B = i(B)H$  with  $H$  the generator of  $\text{Pic}(B)$ . Recall the following, by now classic, result:

**Theorem 6.11.** [22, Table 6.5] *Let  $B$  be a smooth Fano threefold with  $\rho(B) = 1$  and index  $i(B)$ .*

- (i) *If  $i(B) = 3, 4$ , then  $B = \mathbb{P}^3$  or a quadric  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ .*
- (ii) *If  $i(B) = 2$ , then there are 5 deformation types and  $B$  is called a del Pezzo threefold.*
- (iii) *If  $i(B) = 1$ , then  $H^3 = -K_B^3$  and there are 10 deformation types.*  
*Furthermore  $4 \leq H^3 \leq 18$  unless:*
  - *$H^3 = 2$  and  $B$  is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with branch locus a divisor of degree 6,*

- $H^3 = 22$  and  $B$  is the zero locus of  $(\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}^v)^{\oplus 3}$  on  $Gr(3, 7)$ .

In addition  $-K_B$  is very ample unless

- (a)  $H^3 = 2$  and  $B$  is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with branch locus a divisor of degree 6,
- (b)  $H^3 = 4$  and  $B$  is the double cover of a quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  branched along an octic,
- (c)  $H^3 = 10$  and  $B$  is the double cover of a section of Plücker embedding of  $Gr(2, 5)$ .

We now analyze the cases in turn.

6.1. **Case (i):**  $\rho(B) = 1$ ,  $i(B) = 3, 4$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** *Let  $B$  be a smooth Fano threefold with  $\rho(B) = 1$ ,  $i(B) = 3, 4$ . Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 38.$$

*Proof.* Each such Fano contains a movable line  $\ell$ , that is a smooth rational curve with  $H \cdot \ell = 1$ , for  $H$  the ample generator of  $\text{Pic}(B)$ , such that  $Z = \pi^{-1}(\ell)$  is smooth. Then  $-K_B \cdot \ell = i(B)$ , and

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot \ell) - 2 \leq 38.$$

□

6.2. **Case (ii):**  $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ ,  $i(B) = 2$ .

In the following Propositions 6.13 and 6.14 we recall properties of a del Pezzo threefold  $B$  (that is  $B$  Fano, such that  $i(B) = 2$  and  $\rho(B) = 1$ ) up to deformation type:

**Proposition 6.13.** [49, Proposition 3.21] *Let  $B$  be a smooth del Pezzo threefold such that  $(-K_B)^3 \geq 32$ . Then*

- (1) *there exists a line  $\ell \subset B$  through any point in  $B$ ,*
- (2)  *$F_1(X)$ , the Hilbert scheme parametrizing lines on  $X$ , is reduced, non-singular, and each of its components is two-dimensional,*
- (3) *a line  $\ell$  corresponding to the general point of a component of  $F_1(X)$  has normal bundle  $N_{\ell/B} = \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ .*

**Proposition 6.14.** [49, Table 1] *Let  $B$  be a smooth del Pezzo threefold such that  $(-K_B)^3 < 32$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $(-K_B)^3 = 24$ ,  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is a cubic,*
- (ii) *if  $(-K_B)^3 = 16$  and  $B$  is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  branched along a smooth quartic,*
- (iii) *if  $(-K_B)^3 = 8$  and  $B$  is the double cover of the cone over the Veronese surface (or equivalently a hypersurface of degree 6 in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ .*

**Corollary 6.15.** *Let  $B$  be a del Pezzo threefold, then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 38.$$

*If  $\Sigma \subset B$  is general,  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(-K_B)^3 \geq 32$  by Proposition 6.13 there exists a line  $\ell$  with  $-K_B \cdot \ell = 2$ ,  $\ell \not\subset \Sigma$ . Hence  $Z$  is (birationally) a K3 and  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$ , by Theorem 4.18.

Assume now that  $(-K_B)^3 < 32$ , and let us analyze the three cases of Proposition 6.14.

In case (i),  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is any smooth cubic, the Fano variety of lines is two dimensional, the general line has normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ ; by direct computation for every point  $p \in B$  there are six lines. Then there exists a line  $\ell \not\subset \Sigma$  with  $-K_B \cdot \ell = 2$ . Hence  $Z$  is (birationally) a K3 and  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$ , by Theorem 4.18.

In case (ii), the double cover of a conic with 4 (distinct) bitangent points is a conic  $C$  (at the ramification points the local equation is  $y^2 = x^2$ ). The family of such conics dominates  $B$ , it is base point free, the general conic is smooth (the general such conic  $C$  has either normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(1)$  or  $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(2)$  [37, Lemma 2.2]). We then get the bound:  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 40 - 2 = 38$ . The lines in  $B$  are the inverse image of bitangent lines to the quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . The lines do not cover  $B$ . If  $C \subset B$  is a general line, for *general*  $(B, \Sigma)$ ,  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 18$  by Proposition 4.12 (and  $N_{C/X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  see also [37, Lemma 2.1]).

In case (iii), the conics are dominant very free movable curves [50, Proposition 3.2]. Then,  $\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 40 - 2 = 38$ .  $\square$

### 6.3. $B$ smooth Fano threefold with $\text{rk Pic}(B) = 1$ , $i(B) = 1$ .

#### 6.3.1. $-K_B$ is very ample.

We start with the following:

**Proposition 6.16.** *Let  $-K_B$  be very ample with  $4 \leq (-K_B)^3 \leq 18$ . Assume in addition that if  $4 \leq (-K_B)^3 \leq 8$  then  $B$  is general in moduli. Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 38.$$

*Proof.* The results in [36, 24, Theorem 1 and Lemma 8.1] imply that there exists a dominant family of smooth very free rational curve  $C$  of degree 4 on  $B$ ; it follows that there exists a smooth surface  $Z$  with  $Z \rightarrow C$ .  $\square$

Next we consider the cases of  $(-K_B)^3 = 22$  and the three cases when  $-K_B$  is not very ample.

**Proposition 6.17.** *If  $(-K_B)^3 = 22$ , then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot C) - 2 \leq 18.$$

*Proof.* There exists a 1-dimensional family of lines and a 2-dimensional family of smooth conics [1, Lemma 3.2]. Through any point  $p \in B$  there exists at least a conic  $C$ . Since  $-K_B \cdot C = 2$ , Proposition 4.12 and Theorem 4.18 apply.  $\square$

6.3.2.  $-K_B$  is not very ample.

Then  $B$  is one of the cases treated in the following three propositions:

**Proposition 6.18.** *Let  $B$  be a hypersurface of degree 6 in  $\mathbb{P}(1^4, 3)$  and  $(-K_B)^3 = 2$ , equivalently  $B$  is the double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  branched over a surface of degree 6. Then*

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) = 20 + 2 \leq 22.$$

*Proof.* The double cover of the family of lines is a movable family of curves of genus 2, with general smooth member  $C$ . Then  $Z$  can be chosen to be smooth and  $\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot \ell) + 2g - 2 = 20 + 2 \leq 22$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.19.** *Let  $B$  be the double cover of a general quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  branched along an octic. Then*

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 24.$$

*Proof.* The double covers of the family of lines, is a movable base point free family of curves of genus 3, with general smooth member  $C$ . Then  $Z$  can be chosen to be smooth and

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-K_B \cdot C) + 6 - 2 \leq 24.$$

$\square$

**Proposition 6.20.**  *$B$  is a special Gushel-Mukai threefold (the general double cover of a section of a Plücker embedding of  $Gr(2, 5)$  by a codimension 3 subspace) and  $(-K_B)^3 = 10$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 18.$$

*Proof.* By construction ( $B$  is the double cover of a  $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle) there exists a family of conics which dominates  $B$ ; the family has dimension two [9, Theorem 7.3, Remark 7.4]. We conclude by Proposition 4.12 and Theorem 4.18.  $\square$

## 7. BOUNDS FOR ELLIPTIC CALABI-YAU OF ANY DIMENSION

Theorems 4.2, 5.1 and Theorem 6.3 with the Assumption 6.1 can be stated as

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be as in Theorem 2.11,  $X$  Calabi-Yau,  $\dim X = 3, 4$ . Assume that Assumption 6.1 is satisfied if  $\dim X = 4$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 10 \cdot (\dim B + 1) - 2 \leq 10 \cdot \dim X - 2.$$

*In addition, if  $B$  is birationally a Mori fiber space  $B' \rightarrow U$  with  $\dim U > 0$  and fiber  $F$ ,*

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{MW}(X/B) \leq 10 \cdot i(F) - 2 \leq 10 \cdot (\dim F + 1) - 2 \leq 10 \cdot \dim X - 2.$$

In fact  $F$  is smooth, in particular Gorenstein, and we can define the index  $i(F)$  as the largest integer  $r$  such that  $-K_F = rH$  for  $H$  an ample divisor. Also, for a smooth (Gorenstein) Fano variety  $F$ , the highest index is  $i(F) = \dim F + 1$  and it is attained for the projective space [29].

We also have

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be as in Theorem 2.11,  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and  $X$  Calabi-Yau. Assume there exists a smooth rational curve  $C \subset B^{\text{sm}}$  and one of the following holds:*

- (a) *there is an (irreducible) nonsingular complex variety  $T$ , together with a smooth morphism  $\varphi : T \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow B$  with dense image, contained in the smooth locus of  $B$ . Regarding this as a family of morphisms  $\varphi_t : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow B$ , assume further that these are all embeddings, giving a family  $C_t \hookrightarrow B$  of smooth rational curves, which has no base points; let  $C = C_t$  be a general curve in the family;*
- (b)  *$C$  is movable;*
- (c)  *$\rho(B) = 1$ ;*

*and that in cases (b) and (c) either  $Z = \pi^{-1}(C)$  is smooth or (birationally) a K3 surface.*

*Then*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-C \cdot K_B) - 2.$$

*Proof.* The statement follows from Theorems 3.1, 4.16 and 4.18. □

*Remark 7.3.* If  $(B, \Lambda)$  has log canonical singularities, and  $-K_B \cdot C > \dim B$  for all rational curve  $C \subset B$ , then  $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim B}$  ([14], which generalizes [5]). Then there always exists a rational curve  $C$  with  $(-C \cdot K_B) \leq (\dim B + 1)$ . If such a curve satisfies one of the hypotheses of Theorem 7.2, then

$$(15) \quad \text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10(-C \cdot K_B) - 2 \leq 10 \cdot (\dim B + 1) - 2 = 10 \cdot (\dim X) - 2.$$

However, it is not known that curves with the properties in Theorem 7.2 and  $(-C \cdot K_B) \leq (\dim B + 1)$  exist when  $\dim X > 3$ . On the other hand, as in the proofs of Theorem 6.3, it is also natural to consider curves of higher genus which, as we have proved, also provide the expected bounds.

By combining Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 with equation 15 in Remark 7.3 and extrapolating from our results, it is natural to make the following

**Conjecture 7.4.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be as in Theorem 2.11,  $X$  Calabi-Yau. Then  $B$  is birationally a Mori fiber space  $B' \rightarrow U$  with fiber  $F$  and*

$$\text{rk MW}(X/B) \leq 10 \cdot (\dim F + 1) - 2 \leq 10 \cdot \dim X - 2.$$

Recall that  $B$  is birationally a Mori fiber space if  $\dim X \leq 5$ , or if the standard conjectures of the minimal program hold (Theorem 2.8).

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] Enrique Arrondo and Daniele Faenzi. Vector bundles with no intermediate cohomology on Fano threefolds of type  $V_{22}$ . *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 225(2):201–220, 2006.
- [2] Arend Bayer, Alexander Kuznetsov, and Emanuele Macrì. Mukai models of Fano varieties, 2025.
- [3] Caucher Birkar, Gabriele Di Cerbo, and Roberto Svaldi. Boundedness of elliptic Calabi–Yau varieties with a rational section. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 128(2), October 2024.
- [4] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Paun, and Thomas Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 22(2):201–248, 2013.
- [5] Kyeong-Hee Cho, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Nicholas I Shepherd-Barron. Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds. *Higher Dimensional Varieties and Rational Points*, 12:1–15, 2003.
- [6] David A. Cox and Steven Zucker. Intersection numbers of sections of elliptic surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 53(1):1–44, 1979.
- [7] Mirjam Cvetič and Ling Lin. TASI Lectures on Abelian and Discrete Symmetries in F-theory. *PoS*, TASI2017:020, 2018.
- [8] Olivier Debarre. Rational curves on algebraic varieties, 2011. Lecture notes for the GAeL XVIII conference, Coimbra, Portugal, June 6–11, 2010, and for the Semaine Spéciale Master de Mathématiques, Université de Strasbourg, May 2–6, 2011.
- [9] Olivier Debarre and Alexander Kuznetsov. Quadrics on Gushel-Mukai varieties, 2024.
- [10] Pierre Deligne. Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 35:107–126, 1968.
- [11] Noam D. Elkies. K3 surfaces and elliptic fibrations in number theory. Banff Workshop 18w5190, 2018.
- [12] Stefano Filipazzi, Christopher D. Hacon, and Roberto Svaldi. Boundedness of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 27:3583–3650, 2025.
- [13] Robert Friedman, John Morgan, and Edward Witten. Vector bundles and F theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 187(3):679–743, 1997.
- [14] Osamu Fujino, Eric Jovinelly, Brian Lehmann, and Eric Riedl. A characterization of projective space via lengths of extremal rays, 2026.
- [15] William Fulton. *Intersection Theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer, Berlin, 2 edition, 1998.
- [16] Alice Garbagnati and Alessandra Sarti. Elliptic fibrations and symplectic automorphisms on K3 surfaces. *Communications in Algebra*, 37(10):3601–3631, 2009.
- [17] A. Grassi. Log contractions and equidimensional models of elliptic threefolds. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):255–276, 1995.
- [18] Antonella Grassi. On minimal models of elliptic threefolds. *Math. Ann.*, 290(2):287–301, 1991.
- [19] Antonella Grassi. The singularities of the parameter surface of a minimal elliptic threefold. *Internat. J. Math.*, 4(2):203–230, 1993.
- [20] Antonella Grassi and Timo Weigand. Elliptic threefolds with high Mordell–Weil rank. *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.*, 16(4):733–759, 2022.
- [21] Antonella Grassi and David Wen. Higher dimensional elliptic fibrations and Zariski decompositions. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 24(04):2150024, 2022.
- [22] V. A. Iskovskikh. Fano threefolds. II. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 42(3):506–549, 1978. English translation: *Math. USSR Izv.* 12 (1978), no. 3, 469–506.
- [23] Eric Jovinelly, Brian Lehmann, and Eric Riedl. Free curves and fundamental groups, 2026.

- [24] Eric Jovinelly, Brian Lehmann, and Sho Tanimoto. Corrigenda to “rational curves on prime Fano threefolds of index 1”. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 2025.
- [25] Yujiro Kawamata. On the plurigenera of minimal algebraic 3-folds with  $K \equiv 0$ . *Mathematische Annalen*, 275(4):539–546, 1986.
- [26] Hee-Cheol Kim, Gary Shiu, and Cumrun Vafa. Branes and the Swampland. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(6):066006, 2019.
- [27] Hee-Cheol Kim, Cumrun Vafa, and Kai Xu. Finite Landscape of 6d  $N=(1,0)$  Supergravity. 11 2024.
- [28] Remke Kloosterman. Elliptic  $K3$  surfaces with geometric Mordell-Weil rank 15. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(2):215–226, 2007.
- [29] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai. Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 13(1):31 – 47, 1973.
- [30] Masato Kuwata. Elliptic  $K3$  surfaces with given Mordell-Weil rank. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 49(1):91–100, 2000.
- [31] A. G. Kuznetsov and Yu. G. Prokhorov. 1-nodal Fano threefolds with Picard number 1. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 89(3):80–178, 2025.
- [32] Alexander Kuznetsov and Yuri Prokhorov. On higher-dimensional del Pezzo varieties. *Izvestiya Math.*, 87(3):488–561, 2023.
- [33] Seung-Joo Lee and Paul-Konstantin Oehlmann. Geometric bounds on the 1-form gauge sector. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(8):086021, 2023.
- [34] Seung-Joo Lee, Diego Regalado, and Timo Weigand. 6d SCFTs and  $U(1)$  flavour symmetries. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (11):147, front matter+50, 2018.
- [35] Seung-Joo Lee and Timo Weigand. Swampland bounds on the Abelian gauge sector. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(2):026015, 10, 2019.
- [36] Brian Lehmann and Sho Tanimoto. Rational curves on prime Fano threefolds of index 1. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 2018.
- [37] D. G. Markushevich and A. S. Tikhomirov. A parametrization of the theta divisor of the quartic double solid. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (51):2747–2778, 2003.
- [38] Luca Martucci, Nicolò Riso, and Timo Weigand. Quantum gravity bounds on  $N = 1$  effective theories in four dimensions. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (3):Paper No. 197, 85, 2023.
- [39] Kenji Matsuki. *Introduction to the Mori program*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [40] R Miranda. The moduli of Weierstrass fibrations over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . *Math. Ann.*, 255:379–394, 1981.
- [41] David R. Morrison. A remark on Kawamata’s paper “On the plurigenera of minimal algebraic 3-folds with  $K \equiv 0$ ”. *Mathematische Annalen*, 275(4):547–553, 1986.
- [42] David R. Morrison and Daniel S. Park. F-Theory and the Mordell-Weil Group of Elliptically-Fibered Calabi-Yau Threefolds. *JHEP*, 10:128, 2012.
- [43] David R. Morrison and Cumrun Vafa. Compactifications of F theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds. 1. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 473:74–92, 1996.
- [44] David R. Morrison and Cumrun Vafa. Compactifications of F theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds. 2. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 476:437–469, 1996.
- [45] Yoshinori Namikawa. Smoothing Fano 3-folds. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 6(2):307–324, 1997.
- [46] Keiji Oguiso. Shioda-Tate formula for an abelian fibered variety and applications. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 46(2):237–248, 2009.
- [47] Daniel S. Park and Washington Taylor. Constraints on 6D Supergravity Theories with Abelian Gauge Symmetry. *JHEP*, 01:141, 2012.
- [48] Ragni Piene. A proof of Noether’s formula for the arithmetic genus of an algebraic surface. *Compositio Math.*, 38(1):113–119, 1979.

- [49] Yuri G. Prokhorov. Fano threefolds. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 328(S1):S1–S130, June 2025.
- [50] Nobuki Shimizu and Sho Tanimoto. The spaces of rational curves on del Pezzo threefolds of degree one. *Eur. J. Math.*, 8(1):291–308, 2022.
- [51] T. Shioda. On the Mordell-Weil lattices. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 39(2):211–240, 1990.
- [52] Cumrun Vafa. Evidence for F theory. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 469:403–418, 1996.
- [53] Rania Wazir. Arithmetic on elliptic threefolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, 140(3):567–580, 2004.
- [54] Timo Weigand. F-theory. *PoS*, TASI2017:016, 2018.

<sup>1</sup> DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA AND INFN, UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, BOLOGNA, ITALY

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, USA

*Email address:* `antonella.grassi3@unibo.it`

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS (CO), 80523, USA

*Email address:* `rick.miranda@colostate.edu`

<sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, MOHALI, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, MOHALI, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA

*Email address:* `kapil@iisermohali.ac.in`

<sup>4</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO - SUNY, BUFFALO, NY 14260, USA

*Email address:* `vs74@buffalo.edu`

<sup>5</sup> II. INSTITUT FÜR THEORETISCHE PHYSIK, UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, NOTKESTRASSE 9, 22607 HAMBURG, GERMANY

<sup>5</sup> ZENTRUM FÜR MATHEMATISCHE PHYSIK, UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, BUNDESSTRASSE 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

*Email address:* `timo.weigand@desy.de`