

## FOUR ISOPERIMETRIC PROPERTIES OF HOMOGENEOUS SPHERICAL MEMBRANES

JOSEPH HERSCH

ABSTRACT. With the help of a “conformal mapping” [1] of the coordinate functions  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$  of the sphere, we will show four inequalities for inhomogeneous vibrating membranes: the first on a surface of the type of a sphere, the second on a Jordan domain, the third on a “bilatere” and the fourth on a “trilatere” [2].

1

Let  $S$  be a closed surface of the topological type of a sphere which is conformal, except in isolated points, to the sphere  $\hat{S} : \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 = 1$ ,  $P \in S$  if and only if  $\hat{P}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \in \hat{S}$ ; on  $S$  define the function  $\rho(P) \geq 0$  (the density);  $M = \oint_S \rho dS$  is the “total mass”. If  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian in the sense of Beltrami, the differential equation  $\Delta u(P) + \mu\rho(P)u(P) = 0$  on  $S$  has the eigenvalues  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \mu_4 \leq \dots$ . By excluding the trivial case of point masses, we obtain

$$(1) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} + \frac{1}{\mu_4} \right) \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{8\pi} \approx 0.11937.$$

There is equality when  $\rho = \text{constant}$  on any sphere of radius  $R$  (then  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 2/R^2\rho$  and  $M = 4\pi R^2\rho$ ); and on any  $S$  with sufficient density  $\rho(P)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\hat{\rho}(\hat{P}) = \rho(P)(dS/d\hat{S})$ . If the centre of mass  $\hat{G}$  of the masses  $\hat{\rho}$  coincides with the center  $\hat{O}$  of the sphere  $\hat{S}$ , then the images  $X(P), Y(P), Z(P)$  of  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$  on  $S$  satisfy  $\oint_S \rho X dS = \oint_S \hat{\rho} \hat{x} d\hat{S} = 0$  and, similarly,  $\oint_S \rho Y dS = 0, \oint_S \rho Z dS = 0$ . Moreover, by the conformal invariance of the mixed Dirichlet integral,  $D_S(X, Y) = D_{\hat{S}}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = 0$  (similarly  $D_S(Y, Z) = D_S(Z, X) = 0$ ) and  $D_S(X) = D_{\hat{S}}(\hat{x}) = 8\pi/3$  (and similarly  $D_S(Y) = D_S(Z) = 8\pi/3$ ). Also,  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \equiv \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 \equiv 1$ . The linear space  $L(X, Y, Z)$  generated by the functions  $X(P), Y(P), Z(P)$  is admissible for the variational characterization [3] of  $\mu_2^{-1} + \mu_3^{-1} + \mu_4^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} + \frac{1}{\mu_4} \geq \frac{\oint_S \rho X^2 dS}{D_S(X)} + \frac{\oint_S \rho Y^2 dS}{D_S(Y)} + \frac{\oint_S \rho Z^2 dS}{D_S(Z)} = \frac{3}{8\pi} \oint_S \rho dS = \frac{3M}{8\pi}.$$

It remains to be shown that the conformal mapping taking  $S$  to  $\hat{S}$  can be chosen such that  $\hat{G} = \hat{O}$ ; this is a consequence of the following lemma:

1

**Lemma 1.1.** *Given a density  $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$  on the sphere  $\hat{S}$  (not consisting of point masses), there exists a Möbius transformation  $\tilde{P} \rightarrow \hat{P}$  of the sphere  $\hat{S}$  to itself, such that  $\hat{\rho}(\hat{P}) = \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P})(d\tilde{S}/d\hat{S})$  has its center of gravity  $G$  in the center  $\hat{O}$  of the sphere.*

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma is inspired by the methodology of Szegő [4]. Let  $N$  be a point on  $\hat{S}$  and  $k$  a real number,  $0 < k \leq 1$ ; denote by  $H_{N,k}$  the Möbius transformation on  $S$  induced by the homothety  $\zeta \mapsto k\zeta$  on a complex tangent plane to the sphere at  $N$  ( $\zeta = 0$  at  $N$ ): the two fixed points of  $H_{N,k}$  are (if  $k \neq 1$ )  $N$  and its antipode;  $H_{N,1}$  is the identity. The mass distribution  $\tilde{\rho}$  is mapped by  $H_{N,k}$  to  $\tilde{\rho}_{N,k}$ , with center of gravity  $\tilde{G}_{N,k}$ ;  $\tilde{\rho}_{N,1} \equiv \tilde{\rho}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{N,1} = \tilde{G}$ ; if  $k \searrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{N,k} \rightarrow N$ . The total mass is always conserved:  $\tilde{M}_{N,k} = \tilde{M} = M$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{G}_k$  the surface formed the points  $\tilde{G}_{N,k}$  where  $N$  varies over  $\hat{S}$  and  $k$  is fixed. (In general, this surface  $\tilde{G}_k$  will intersect itself). When  $k$  is close to zero,  $\tilde{G}_k$  contains  $\hat{O}$ ; when  $k \nearrow 1$ ,  $\tilde{G}_k$  is close to the point  $G$ . If  $\tilde{G} = \hat{O}$ , the desired transformation is the identity; otherwise,  $\hat{O}$  is exterior to  $\tilde{G}_k$  for  $k$  sufficiently close to 1; thus there exists  $0 < \hat{k} < 1$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{k}} \ni \hat{O}$ ,  $\hat{O} = \tilde{G}_{\hat{N},\hat{k}} = \hat{G}$ ,  $H_{\hat{N},\hat{k}}$  is the desired Möbius transformation.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.**

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu_2} + 2\frac{1}{\mu_3} \right) \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{8\pi}$$

and

$$\mu_2 M \leq 8\pi \approx 25.133.$$

$\square$

**Example 1.** *On a regular tetrahedral surface with  $\rho = \text{constant}$ ,*

$$\mu_2 M = \frac{4\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}} \approx 22.793.$$

2

If  $J$  is a Jordan domain;  $\rho(P) \geq 0$  a density on  $J$ ;  $\lambda_1$  the first eigenvalue of the membrane on  $J$  with fixed contour;  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots$  the eigenvalues of the free membrane. Excluding the trivial case of point masses, we have

$$(2) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} \right) \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{4\pi} \approx 0.23873.$$

Equality holds in particular when  $\rho = \text{constant}$  on any hemisphere of radius  $R$  (then  $\lambda_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 2/R^2\rho$  and  $M = 2\pi R^2\rho$ ).

*Proof.* **A:** One can conformally map  $J$  to the hemisphere  $\hat{J} : \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 = 1, \hat{z} > 0$ , so that the distribution of masses on  $\hat{J} : \hat{\rho}(\hat{P}) =$

$\rho(P)(dS/d\hat{S})$  has its center of mass  $\hat{G}$  on the axis  $\hat{O}\hat{z}$ . This results from the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given  $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$  on the hemisphere  $\hat{J}$  (without point masses) there exists a conformal mapping  $H_{\hat{N},\hat{k}} : \tilde{P} \mapsto \hat{P}$  ( $\hat{N}$  on the equator  $E$  of  $\hat{J}$ ) of  $\hat{J}$  onto  $\hat{J}$  such that  $\hat{rho}(\hat{P}) = \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P})(d\tilde{S}/d\hat{S})$  has its center of mass on the axis  $\hat{O}\hat{z}$ .*

*Proof.* Again, the proof of this lemma is inspired by Szegő [4]. If  $k \searrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{N,k} \rightarrow N \in E$ ; that is to say  $\tilde{G}_k$  is the closed curve traced by  $\tilde{G}_{N,k}$  when the point  $N$  traverses the equator  $E$ . (This curve can intersect itself.) When  $k$  is sufficiently small, the coordinate of this curve relative to the  $\hat{O}\hat{z}$  axis (or of its horizontal projection relative to  $\hat{O}$ ) is 1; when  $k \nearrow 1$ ,  $\tilde{G}_k \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ ; if  $\tilde{G}$  is on  $\hat{O}\hat{z}$ , the desired transformation is the identity; if not, the coordinate is zero for  $k$  sufficiently close to 1; since the coordinate moved from 1 to 0, there exists  $0 < \hat{k} < 1$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{k}}$  intersects  $\hat{O}\hat{z}$  in a point  $\hat{G} = \tilde{G}_{\hat{N},\hat{k}}$ ,  $H_{\hat{N},\hat{k}}$  is the desired transformation.  $\square$

**B:** Mapping  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$  from  $\hat{J}$  to  $J$ , the images  $X(P), Y(P), Z(P)$  satisfy

$$\iint_J \rho X dS = \iint_J \rho Y dS = 0, \quad D_J(X, Y) = 0,$$

$$D_J(X) = D_J(Y) = D_J(Z) = \frac{4\pi}{3} \text{ and } X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \equiv \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 \equiv 1.$$

The function  $Z$  is admissible by the principle of Rayleigh characterizing  $\lambda_1$ ; the linear space  $L(X, Y)$  is admissible for the variational characterization [3] of  $\mu_2^{-1} + \mu_3^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \geq \frac{\iint_J \rho Z^2 dS}{D_J(Z)}; \quad \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} \geq \frac{\iint_J \rho X^2 dS}{D_J(X)} + \frac{\iint_J \rho Y^2 dS}{D_J(Y)};$$

(2) follows.  $\square$

**Remark** Among plane homogeneous membranes, the circle realizes the maximum of  $\lambda_1^{-1}M^{-1}$  [5][1], but the minimum of  $\left(\frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3}\right) \frac{1}{M}$  [4][6]. Here are some examples of the values of the left hand side of (2): circle: 0.24283; square: 0.25330; equilateral triangle: 0.30711; one can conjecture that, among the plane homogeneous membranes of total mass  $M$ , the circle realizes the minimum of  $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3}\right) \frac{1}{M}$ . If true, this property would reinforce the Szegő-Weinberger theorem.

## 3

If  $B$  is a “bilatere” (a Jordan domain with 2 marked boundary points) with “sides”  $a$  and  $b$  and density given on  $B$  by  $\rho \geq 0$ . Denote by  $\lambda_a$  the first eigenvalue of the membrane fixing  $a$ , free on  $b$  and by  $\lambda_b$  the first eigenvalue of the membrane fixing  $b$  and free on  $a$ ; and by  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \dots$  the eigenvalues of the free membrane. Excluding the case of point masses,

$$(3) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\lambda_b} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} \right) \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{2\pi} \approx 0.47746.$$

We have equality in particular when  $\rho = \text{constant}$  on a quarter-sphere  $\hat{B} : \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 = R^2, \hat{x} > 0, \hat{y} > 0$  with  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  = the two meridian boundaries (then  $\lambda_{\hat{a}} = \lambda_{\hat{b}} = \mu_2 = 2/R^2\rho$  and  $M = \pi R^2\rho$ ).

*Proof.* A continuity argument (let  $\hat{N}$  be the north pole and  $\hat{S}$  the south pole of  $\hat{B}$ ; if  $k \searrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{N},k} \rightarrow \hat{N}$ ; if  $k \nearrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{N},k} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ ; then apply the Bolzano theorem) easily shows that one can conformally map  $B$  to  $\hat{B}$  so that the arc-border  $a$  has as its image the meridian  $\hat{x} = 0$ , the arc  $b$  the meridian  $\hat{y} = 0$ , and the distribution of masses on  $\hat{B} : \hat{\rho}(\hat{P}) = \rho(P)(dS/d\hat{S})$  has its center of mass  $\hat{G}$  in the plane  $\hat{z} = 0$ . Mapping  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$  from  $\hat{B}$  to  $B$ , the images  $X(P), Y(P), Z(P)$  satisfy

$$\iint_B \rho z dS = 0, D_B(X) = D_B(Y) = D_B(Z) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \text{ and } X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \equiv \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 + \hat{z}^2 \equiv 1;$$

the function  $X$  is admissible for the principle of Rayleigh characterizing  $\lambda_a$ , the function  $Y$  for  $\lambda_b$ , the function  $Z$  for  $\mu_2$ :

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_a} \geq \frac{\iint_B \rho X^2 dS}{D_B(X)}; \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_b} \geq \frac{\iint_B \rho Y^2 dS}{D_B(Y)}; \quad \frac{1}{\mu_2} \geq \frac{\iint_B \rho Z^2 dS}{D_B(Z)}$$

(3) follows. □

## 4

Let  $T$  be a “trilatere” (Jordan domain with three marked boundary points) with “sides”  $a, b$  and  $c$ ; consider on  $T$  a membrane of density given by  $\rho \geq 0$ , fixed in turn along  $a, b, c$ ; then

$$(4) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\lambda_b} + \frac{1}{\lambda_c} \right) \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{\pi} \approx 0.95493.$$

Equality holds in particular when  $\rho = \text{constant}$  on a trirectangular triangle spheric (an eighth of a sphere). This result was already proved ([2]) using a conformal mapping.

For symmetric domains, (4) implies (3), (3) implies (2) and (2) implies (1). An example of equivalence: on a regular octahedron,  $\rho \equiv 1$ : the four formulas give the same upper bound  $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \approx 7.2552$  for the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of a hexagonal membrane with fixed side length 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [2] *Comptes rendus*, 261, 1965, p. 2443; also: *Festband zum 70. Geburtstag von Rolf Nevanlinna*, Springer, 1966, p. 25-34.
- [3] *Comptes rendus*, 252, 1961, p. 1714.
- [4] G. Szegő, *J. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 3, 1954, p. 343-356.
- [5] Lord Rayleigh, *The theory of sound*, 2nd ed., Longond, 1894-1896; G. Faber, *Sitzungsber. der Bayrischen Akad. der Wiss.*, 1923, p. 169-172; E. Krahn, *Math. Annalen*, 94, 1924, p. 97-100.
- [6] H.F. Weinberger, *J. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 5, 1956, p. 633-636.

DRL 3E3A, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
*E-mail address:* shonkwil@math.upenn.edu