

Math 8250: Day 6

Differential Forms

"Def": A smooth differential k -form on a mfd M is a C^∞ alternating, multilinear map

$$\omega: \underbrace{\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \dots \times \mathfrak{X}(M)}_{k \text{ copies}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the $C^\infty(M)$ module of vector fields on M .

$$(i.e. \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, fX + gY, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) = f\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) + g\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, Y, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k))$$

$$\& \omega(X_1, \dots, -X_i, \dots, X_n) = -\omega(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_n)$$

The vector space of k -forms on M is denoted $\Omega^k(M)$.

That def. is kind of vague & useless, so let's see a somewhat more concrete example:

Ex: let M be a mfd & let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be a vector field. Assume M has a Riemannian metric g , meaning that for any $p \in M$ and $u, v \in T_p(M)$, $g_p(u, v)$ defines an inner product on $T_p(M)$.

Then X defines a 1-form α as follows:

$$\alpha(Y)(p) = g_p(X, Y)$$

The map $\alpha: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is definitely smooth.

$$\text{Alternating: } \alpha(-Y)(p) = g_p(X, -Y) = -g_p(X, Y) = -\alpha(Y)(p)$$

$$\text{Linear: } \alpha(fY + hZ)(p) = g_p(X, f(p)Y + h(p)Z) = f(p)g_p(X, Y) + h(p)g_p(X, Z) = f(p)\alpha(Y)(p) + h(p)\alpha(Z)(p)$$

So satisfies the conditions. OTOH, any differential 1-form $\beta: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is just a linear field on

each $T_p(M)$, so $\beta_p \in (T_p(M))^*$. But then $\exists u_p \in T_p(M)$ s.t. $\beta_p(v) = g_p(u_p, v)$ for all $v \in T_p(M)$ (if you like,

this is the **Riesz representation theorem**). The smoothness of β allows us to form the global

vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ where $Y(p) = u_p$ for all $p \in M$.

In other words, a Riemannian metric gives us an isomorphism b/w $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ & $\Omega^1(M)$.

Also, a 0-form is clearly just a C^∞ function on M , so $\mathcal{Z}^0(M) = C^\infty(M)$.

2 Questions: ① What about other k ?

② What are the gradient & divergence (and curl in 3 dimensions) in this language?

The first part of ② is easiest, so let's focus on that for a second.

The gradient of a function is a vector field, given in local coords by

$$\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

So the corresponding 1-form is the form α s.t.

$$\alpha(Y)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = (\sum b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) f = Y(f)(p) = df(Y)$$

In other words, the 1-form identified with ∇f is exactly the differential of f , df .

(In genl, note that differentials are 1-forms: given $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $df_p \in (T_p M)^*$, so $df \in T^*M \cong \mathcal{Z}^1(M)$.)

i.e. $\nabla: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^1(M)$ corresponds to the exterior derivative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & & \parallel \\ d: \mathcal{Z}^0(M) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{Z}^1(M) \\ f & \mapsto & df \end{array}$$

Later we will formulate an *invariant* (i.e. coordinate independent) definition of the exterior derivative, but first let's

Say a little more about ① & ②.

It will turn out that the divergence also corresponds to an exterior derivative, this time $d: \mathcal{Z}^1(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^2(M)$.

In genl, an n -form on M^n is an alternating multilinear map $(\mathcal{Z}^1(M))^n \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$. At each $p \in M$, $T_p M \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, so α_p is an alternating

multilinear map $\underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Up to a scalar, the unique such is the determinant, so each α_p is a scalar mult. of the

determinant. Hence, $\omega = f \text{dvol}_M$, where dvol_M is the name we give to the n -form which is just the determinant on each $T_p M$. Hence, $\mathcal{Z}^n(M) \cong C^\infty(M)$.

Let's make this all more explicit on 3-manifolds

Ex: let M^3 be a smooth 3-manifold. As discussed above, a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ can be identified with a 1-form

$$\alpha = X^\flat$$

via the so-called musical isomorphism $\flat: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ (the inverse is $\sharp: \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$)

The cool thing about 3-manifolds is that we have a cross product, which allows us to define the vector-triple product. As a result, we can also identify a vector field X w/ a 2-form $\omega = \star(X^\flat)$ as follows.

$$\star(X^\flat)_p(Y, Z) = g_p(X, Y \times Z) = \det[X \ Y \ Z]$$

if X, Y, Z are written in orthonormal (w.r.t. g) local coordinates.

Check that this is a 2-form:

• Smooth $\checkmark$

• Alternating: $\star(X^\flat)_p(Y, Z) = g_p(X, Y \times Z) = g_p(X, -(Y \times Z)) = -g_p(X, Y \times Z) = -\star(X^\flat)_p(Z, Y) \checkmark$

• Multilinear $\checkmark$

(Really, don't need to do anything for the last 2, since $\det$ is alternating & multilinear)

So this gives us an identification $\Omega^2(M) \cong \mathfrak{X}(M)$

Finally, we saw that $\Omega^2(M) \cong C^\infty(M)$. Now we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega^0(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^1(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^2(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^3(M) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota \\ C^0(M) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathfrak{X}(M) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_X} & \mathfrak{X}(M) & \xrightarrow{\nabla_X} & C^\infty(M) \end{array}$$

I haven't really said what d is, but in the exterior derivative of a 0-form corresponds to the gradient, the exterior derivative of an $(n-1)$ -form corresponds to the divergence, and in the special case of a 3-manifold, the exterior derivative of a 1-form corresponds to the curl.

Hopefully the fact that in this language div , grad , & curl are all really the same thing is a good advertisement for differential forms (and, in fact, with this in hand, the fundamental theorem of calculus, Green's theorem, and the divergence theorem are all really the same theorem: **Stokes' theorem**.)

We will be spending quite a bit of time getting a handle on what all these things are &, importantly, on what the image & kernel of d look like.

Notice 2 more things from the diagram:

① Since $\nabla \times \nabla f = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \nabla \times X = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have that $d \circ d = 0$ whether we start at 0-forms or 1-forms. In other words, the sequence $\Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^3(M)$ is a chain complex.

In fact, the exterior derivative will always give rise to a chain complex

$$\Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{n-1}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n(M),$$

meaning that $d \circ d = 0$ at every stage.

② Since $\Omega^1(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\Omega^2(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have that $\Omega^1(M) \subseteq \Omega^2(M)$.

Indeed, $\Omega^0(M) = C^\infty(M)$ and $\Omega^1(M) \subseteq C^\infty(M)$, so $\Omega^0(M) \subseteq \Omega^1(M)$.

In general, on an n -manifold M , it will turn out that $\Omega^k(M) \subseteq \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.