

Math 8250: Dg 37

Differential Ideals:

Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a d -diml dist. on M^n . Define $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \Omega(M)$ to be the ring of diff. forms that annihilate $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_p \{ \omega \in \Omega^p(M) : \omega(X_1, \dots, X_p) = 0 \ \forall X_1, \dots, X_p \in \mathcal{D} \}$$

Certif if $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$, then $\omega_1 + \omega_2 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$ & $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$, so this really is a ring.

In fact, if $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \Omega^p(M)$ & $\eta \in \Omega^q(M)$, then for any $X_1, \dots, X_{p+q} \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \wedge \eta)(X_1, \dots, X_{p+q}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p+q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(p)}) \eta(X_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(p+q)}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\omega \wedge \eta \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$. In other words, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$ is an ideal.

We showed that any point $x \in M$ is contained in a coord. chart (U, φ) in which there are hd coords $x_1, \dots, x_n$

s.t. $\mathcal{D} = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \right\}$.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be the dual 1-forms. Then certif $\omega_{x_{d+1}}, \dots, \omega_n$ are indpt & gate $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$.

This proves the folg lemma:

Lemma: For any d -diml dist $\mathcal{D}$ on M^n , the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$ is locally generated by $n-d$ ind. 1-forms $\omega_{x_{d+1}}, \dots, \omega_n$.

On the other hd...

Lemma: If $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega(M)$ is an ideal locally generated by $n-d$ ind. 1-forms, then there is a d -diml dist.

$\mathcal{D}$ on M s.t. $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof: Let $\omega_{x_{d+1}}, \dots, \omega_n$ be the indpt 1-forms gating $\mathcal{I}$ in a nbhd of $x \in M$. Then define $\mathcal{D}(x) \subset T_x M$

to be the d -diml subspace annihilated by $\omega_{x_{d+1}}, \dots, \omega_n$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{D}(x)$ is the desired distribution. $\square$

Folland's Thm Version 2: A distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on M is involutive (& thus integrable) if & only if

$$d(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Def: An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}(M)$ is called a **differentiable ideal** if $d(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$.

Note that this version of the theorem makes it clear that a **contact structure** is very much not an integrable

distribution: we can define a contact structure $\mathcal{I}$ on M^{2n+1} to be (locally) the kernel of a 1-form α s.t.

$$\underbrace{\alpha \wedge d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha}_n \text{ is never zero.}$$

Obv., $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$ is a $2n$ -dim distribution on M , but the above condition implies that $d(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})) \not\subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$.

Why? Well let $X_1, \dots, X_{2n}$ be a (loc) basis for $\mathcal{I}$ & complete to a basis $X_1, \dots, X_{2n+1}$ for the target space. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq (\alpha \wedge d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_{2n+1}) &= \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} \alpha(X_i) (d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha)(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{2n+1}) \\ &= \alpha(X_{2n+1}) (d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{I} = \ker \alpha$ so $\alpha(X_i) = 0 \ \forall \ i \neq 2n+1$. But then we see that $d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$, though clearly $d\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge d\alpha \in d(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I}))$. Thus, $d(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})) \not\subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$ & so $\mathcal{I}$ is not integrable.

In fact, $\mathcal{I}$ is what's called **totally non-integrable**, meaning that no subfolys of dimension $> n$ are tangent to $\mathcal{I}$ in a nbhd of any point).