

Math 8250: Day 27

Remember that proving the Hodge theorem basically boils down to showing that the PDE

$$\Delta w = \alpha$$

has a solution $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \perp \mathcal{H}^p(M)$.

So we really need to get a good handle on solutions to this equation.

The basic strategy is to: ① find a **weak solution**

and ② Show that any weak solution is really a solution.

Now, recall that Δ is self-adjoint, so any solution of $\Delta w = \alpha$ will satisfy

$$\langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta w, \varphi \rangle = \langle w, \Delta \varphi \rangle$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)$. Therefore, any real solution w defines an operator $l: \mathcal{S}^p(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $l(\eta) = \langle w, \eta \rangle$

$$\text{s.t. } l(\Delta \varphi) = \langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle$$

This motivates the following definition:

Def: A **weak solution** of the equation $\Delta w = \alpha$ is a bilinear map $l: \mathcal{S}^p(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $l(\Delta \varphi) = \langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)$.

Then the key to Proving Hodge will be showing:

Regularity Thm: Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)$ & let $l \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)^*$ be a weak solution of $\Delta w = \alpha$. Then $\exists w \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)$

so that $l(\varphi) = \langle w, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^p(M)$. Therefore $\Delta w = \alpha$.

The last part is easy: if any weak solution l is given by $l(\varphi) = \langle w, \varphi \rangle$, then

$$\langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle = l(\Delta \varphi) = \langle w, \Delta \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta w, \varphi \rangle$$

for all φ , and so $\Delta w = \alpha$.

The other key point is:

Thm: If $\{q_n\}$ is a seq. of smooth p -forms & $\|q_n\| \leq c$ and $\|\Delta q_n\| \leq c \forall n$ and since $c > 0$, then a subsequence of $\{q_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Proof of Thm: First, to see that $\mathcal{H}^p(M)$ is finite-dim, suppose it weren't. Then there would be an infinite ON sequence of linear p -forms $\{q_k\}$. But then $\|q_k\| = 1$ & $\|\Delta q_k\| = 0 \forall k$, so the above then implies that there is a Cauchy subsequence. But since the q_k are pairwise ON, this is impossible. So conclude that $\mathcal{H}^p(M)$ is finite-dim.

Now, we need to show that $(\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp = \Delta \mathcal{L}^p(M)$. The containment $\Delta \mathcal{L}^p(M) \subset (\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp$ is obvious (why?)

Opp, suppose $\alpha \in (\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp$. Then define $l: \Delta \mathcal{L}^p(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Delta \varphi \mapsto \langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle$$

Clearly, if $\Delta \varphi = 0$ then

$$\langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle = 0$$

Since $\alpha \in (\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp$, so l is well-defined. To see that l is bounded, we will need the following:

Lemma: $\exists$ a uniform const $c > 0$ s.t. $\|\varphi\| \leq c \|\Delta \varphi\| \forall \varphi \in (\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp$

With the lemma in hand we have that

$$|l(\Delta \varphi)| = |\langle \alpha, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle \alpha, \varphi - H(\varphi) \rangle| \leq \|\alpha\| \|\varphi - H(\varphi)\| \leq c \|\alpha\| \|\Delta(\varphi - H(\varphi))\| = c \|\alpha\| \|\Delta \varphi\|$$

Then Hahn-Banach gives us that l extends to a bounded linear fcn on $\Delta \mathcal{L}^p(M)$, so l is a weak solution of $\Delta w = \alpha$

But then the regularity theorem says that there is a strong solution w s.t. $\Delta w = \alpha$. But then that means $(\mathcal{H}^p(M))^\perp \subseteq \Delta \mathcal{L}^p(M)$

Proof of Lemma: Suppose not. Then I can find a sequence $\{q_k\}$ s.t. $\|q_k\| = 1$ & $\|\Delta q_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Then by the theorem I know that there is a Cauchy subsequence $\{q_{k_j}\}$. But then

$$l(\xi) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle q_{k_j}, \xi \rangle$$

is a well-defined linear operator on $\Omega^p(M)$. Then I is both $\mathcal{R}$

$$I(\Delta\psi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi_j, \Delta\psi \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Delta\psi_j, \psi \rangle = 0$$

for all $\psi \in \Omega^p(M)$, so I is a weak soln of $\Delta\omega = 0$. But then by the regularity theorem $\exists \omega$ s.t.

$$\langle \omega, \xi \rangle = I(\xi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi_j, \xi \rangle,$$

so $\psi_j \rightarrow \omega$. But $\{\psi_j\}$ is a Cauchy seq. in $(H^p(M))^{\perp}$ & $\omega \in H^p(M)$ w/ $\|\omega\| = 1$. This is

obviously a contradiction. $\blacksquare$