

Math 670: Dg 9

Differential forms are dual tensor fields, but we need to take an appropriate quotient to incorporate the **alternating** condition.

Specifically, just as the tensor product is the way to turn multilinear maps into linear maps, the **exterior product** is the way to turn alternating, multilinear maps into linear maps.

Def: let $C(V) = \bigoplus_k V_k^0$ be the algebra of $(\cdot, 0)$ tensors on a v.s. V & let $I(V)$ be the two-sided ideal generated by

elements of the form $v \otimes v$ for $v \in V$. Then the **exterior algebra** of V is the quotient

$$\Lambda(V) := C(V) / I(V).$$

This is a graded algebra w/ product denoted by $\wedge$. Of course, the product is just that induced by $\otimes$: the

residue class of $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k$ is denoted $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$.

The grading is given by the degree of the wedge product, since

$$\Lambda(V) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k(V)$$

where $\Lambda^k(V) = V_k^0 / I_k$ & $I_k = I(V) \cap V_k^0$.

Prop: If $a \in \Lambda^k(V)$, $b \in \Lambda^l(V)$, then $b \wedge a = (-1)^{kl} a \wedge b$.

Proof: Exercise. $\square$

Cor: $v_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\sigma(k)} = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ for $\sigma \in S_k$.

Prop: If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis for V , then $\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda^k(V)$. In particular,

$$\dim \Lambda^k(V) = \binom{n}{k} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ \& zero otherwise, so } \dim \Lambda(V) = 2^n.$$

Proof: Exercise. $\square$

This is kind of awesome b/c finite-dim vector spaces are always nicer than infinite-dim (note the tensor algebra is ∞ -dim).

As you would expect, $\Lambda(V)$ also satisfies a universal property:

Then (Universal Property): If $\varphi: \underbrace{V \times \dots \times V}_k \rightarrow \Lambda^k(V)$ is given by $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ & if $F: V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow U$ is an

alternating multilinear map, then $\exists!$ linear map $\tilde{F}: \Lambda^k(V) \rightarrow U$ s.t. the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \times \dots \times V & \xrightarrow{F} & U \\ \downarrow \varphi & \searrow \exists! \tilde{F} & \uparrow \\ \Lambda^k(V) & & \end{array}$$

Again, this property uniquely defines $\Lambda^k(V)$ up to isomorphism.

In particular, when $U = \mathbb{R}$ (or whatever the base field is), we have that $\Lambda^k(V)^* \cong \Lambda^k(V)$, the space of alternating, multilinear maps on V^k .

Now, we can define the **exterior k bundle** on M :

$$\Lambda^k(M) := \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda^k(T_p M)^*$$

And the **exterior algebra bundle** over M :

$$\Lambda(M) := \bigcup_{p \in M} \Lambda(T_p M)^*$$

Then a **differential k -form** on M is a smooth section of $\Lambda^k(M)$; i.e., a smooth map $\omega: M \rightarrow \Lambda^k(M)$ s.t. $\omega(p) \in \Lambda^k(T_p M)^*$.

And now it should start to become clear that this is just another way of saying a k -form is an alternating, multilinear map $\mathcal{X}(M)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(M)$.
(provided we can show $\Lambda^k(V)^* \cong \Lambda^k(V^*)$)

To spell it out, recall that a **nondegenerate pairing** of vector spaces V & W is a bilinear map

$$(\cdot, \cdot): V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

s.t. $W \ni w \neq 0 \Rightarrow (v, w) \neq 0$ for some $v \in V$ (& the other way).

Equivalently, a pairing is just a linear map $V \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is to say, an element of $(V \otimes W)^*$.

Clear, if V & W are nondegenerately paired, then $\varphi: V \rightarrow W^*$ & $\psi: W \rightarrow V^*$ are injective
 $(\varphi(v)(w) = (v, w)) \quad \psi(w)(v) = (w, v)$

Ex: An inner product on V is a nondegenerate pairing & this induces an injective map $V \rightarrow V^*$ (which is then an iso. if V is finite-dim'l).

Now, we can define a nondegenerate pairing $\Lambda^k(V^*) \times \Lambda^k(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(a^*, b) = \det((a_i^*(b_j))_{i,j}) \text{ for } a^* = a_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge a_k^* \text{ & } b = b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_k \text{ & extend by linearity.}$$

This then induces the isomorphism $\Lambda^k(V^*) \cong \Lambda^k(V)^* \cong A_k(V)$, & hence $\Lambda(V^*) = \bigoplus_k \Lambda^k(V^*) \cong \bigoplus_k \Lambda^k(V)^* \cong \left(\bigoplus_k \Lambda^k(V) \right)^* \cong \Lambda(V)^*$

And now, when $V = T_p M$, we see that $\Lambda^k(T_p M)^* \cong A_k(T_p M)$, so, extending to the bundle this gives the claim.