

Math 670: Day 7

Recall from last time:

Prop: Let X & Y be smooth vector fields on M , let $p \in M$ & let ϕ_t be the local flow of X in a nbhd of p . Then

$$[X, Y]_p = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{Y_{\phi_t(p)} - d\phi_t(Y_p)}{t}$$

Differential Forms

"Def": A (smooth) **differential k -form** on a mfd M is a C^∞ alternating, multilinear map

$$\omega: \underbrace{\mathcal{X}(M) \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}(M)}_{k \text{ copies}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

where $\mathcal{X}(M)$ is the $C^\infty(M)$ module of smooth vector fields on M .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i.e., } \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, fX + gY, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) &= f\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) + g\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, Y, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k) \\ &\text{ \& } \omega(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k) = 0) \end{aligned}$$

The vector space of k -forms is denoted $\Omega^k(M)$.

This def. is kind of vague & unders, so let's see a concrete ex.

Ex: Let M be a mfd & $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ a vector field. Assume M has a Riemannian metric g , meaning that $\forall p \in M$ & $u, v \in T_p(M)$, $g_p(u, v)$ giving an inner product on $T_p(M)$.

Then X corresponds to a unique 1-form α defined by

$$\alpha_p(Y) = g_p(X, Y).$$

Then $\alpha: \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is smooth since the Riemannian metric is.

It's trivially alternating

It's linear since g is.

Ofth, any 1-form β is just a linear functional on each $T_p M$, so $\beta_p \in (T_p M)^*$ $\forall p \in M$. But then

$\exists u_p \in T_p M$ s.t. $\beta_p(v) = g_p(u_p, v)$ $\forall v \in T_p M$ (this is the **Riesz Representation Thm**). Then the smoothness

of β allows us to define a smooth vector field U by $U_p = u_p$.

In other words, g determines an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ by $X \mapsto g(X, \cdot)$.

Also, 0-forms are clearly just C^∞ functions on M , so $\Omega^0(M) = C^\infty(M)$.

2 questions: ① What about $\Omega^k(M)$ for other k ?

② What are the gradient & divergence (& cur in 3 dimensions) in this language?

For ②, we know the gradient of a function is a v.f., given in local coords by

$$\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

so the corresponding 1-form is α given by

$$\alpha_p(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} b_i = \left(\sum b_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) f = (Yf)(p) = \alpha f_p(Y)$$

where $Y = \sum b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ in local coords. In other words, the 1-form identified w/ ∇f is exactly the differential df .

(In genl, note that differentials are 1-forms: given $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $df_p \in (T_p M)^*$, so $df \in T^*M \cong \Omega^1(M)$)

i.e. $\nabla: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$ corresponds to the **exterior derivative** d :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla & & \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ d: \Omega^0(M) & \rightarrow & \Omega^1(M) \\ f & \mapsto & df \end{array}$$

Later we'll formulate an **invariant** definition of d .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}(M) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & C^\infty(M) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Omega^{n-1}(M) & \rightarrow & \Omega^n(M) \end{array}$$

It turns out that the divergence is **also** an exterior derivative, this time $d: \Omega^{n-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^n(M)$

An n -form on M^n is an alternating multilinear map $\omega: (\mathcal{X}(M))^n \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$. At each $p \in M$, $T_p M \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, so ω_p is an

alternating multilinear map $\underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Up to a scalar, the unique such thing is the determinant (to make this rigorous,

need a Riemannian metric), so each ω_p is a scalar multiple of the determinant, meaning that $\omega = f \text{dvol}_M$, where dvol_M is the name we give to the n -form which is just the determinant on each $T_p M$. Therefore, $\Omega^n(M) \cong C^\infty(M)$.