

Math 670: Day 26

Notice that in our proof of the previous theorem, the fact that the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ generated by

$$\mu_i = \pi_1^* \varphi^* \omega_i - \pi_2^* \omega_i$$

is a differential ideal didn't depend at all on the Lie gp. homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$; it only depended on $\varphi^*: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$

or, equivalently, on the Lie alg. homomorphism $d\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.

This is the key point in proving the following theorem:

Thm: Let G & H be Lie gps w/ G simply connected. If $\Psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie alg. homomorphism, then $\exists!$ Lie gp. hom.

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow H \text{ s.t. } d\varphi = \Psi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}.$$

Cor: If simply connected Lie gps G & H have isomorphic Lie algebras, then G & H are isomorphic.

The simply-connected hypothesis is essential:

Ex: $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ & $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ have identical Lie algebras even though they're not isomorphic as Lie gps (nor even homomorphic).

More subtly:

Ex: $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ & $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ have isomorphic Lie algebras even though they're not isomorphic as Lie gps (nor even homomorphic).

To see this recall that $\mathfrak{so}(3) = \{3 \times 3 \text{ skew-symmetric matrices}\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & z \\ -x & 0 & y \\ -z & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$

Now for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$: forget vectors to the identity: let $\alpha: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ s.t. $\alpha(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\alpha(t) \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \forall t$, so

$$\alpha(t) \overline{\alpha(t)}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Differentiate: } \alpha'(t) \overline{\alpha(t)}^T + \alpha(t) \overline{\alpha'(t)}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Evaluate @ $t=0$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha'(0) \overline{\alpha(0)}^T + \alpha(0) \overline{\alpha'(0)}^T = \alpha'(0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha'(0)}^T = \alpha'(0) + \overline{\alpha'(0)}^T,$$

so the tangent vectors to the identity are skew-Hermitian. Of course, the space of 2×2 skew-Hermitian matrices is

four (real) dimensional, so we need another condition. Of course, that condition should come from differentiability

$$1 = \det \alpha(t) = \alpha_{11}(t) \alpha_{22}(t) - \alpha_{21}(t) \alpha_{12}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} 0 = \alpha_{11}'(t)\alpha_{22}(t) + \alpha_{11}(t)\alpha_{22}'(t) - \alpha_{21}'(t)\alpha_{12}(t) - \alpha_{21}(t)\alpha_{12}'(t)$$

At $t=0$: $\alpha_{11}(0)=1, \alpha_{12}(0)=0, \alpha_{21}(0)=0, \alpha_{22}(0)=1$, so set

$$0 = \alpha_{11}'(0) + \alpha_{22}'(0).$$

So then we see that $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is the set of **skew-Hermitian, traceless** 2×2 matrices

$$\mathfrak{su}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ri & z \\ -\bar{z} & -ri \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Now, the claim is that $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Define $\varphi: \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & z \\ -x & 0 & y \\ -z & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} zi & x+iy \\ -x+iy & -zi \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & z_1 \\ -x_1 & 0 & y_1 \\ -z_1 & -y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 & z_2 \\ -x_2 & 0 & y_2 \\ -z_2 & -y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\varphi([A, B]) = \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 z_2 - y_2 z_1 & x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 \\ \cdot & 0 & x_2 z_1 - x_1 z_2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) i & (y_1 z_2 - y_2 z_1) + (x_2 z_1 - x_1 z_2) i \\ -(y_1 z_2 - y_2 z_1) + (x_2 z_1 - x_1 z_2) i & -(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& [\varphi(A), \varphi(B)] &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\begin{pmatrix} zi & x_1+iy_1 \\ -x_1+iy_1 & -zi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_2 i & x_2+iy_2 \\ -x_2+iy_2 & -iz_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} z_2 i & x_2+iy_2 \\ -x_2+iy_2 & -z_2 i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 i & x_1+iy_1 \\ -x_1+iy_1 & -z_1 i \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} (2x_1 y_2 - 2x_2 y_1) i & (2y_1 z_2 - 2y_2 z_1) + i(2x_2 z_1 - 2x_1 z_2) \\ -(2y_1 z_2 - 2y_2 z_1) + i(2x_2 z_1 - 2x_1 z_2) & -(2x_1 y_2 - 2x_2 y_1) i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So this really is a Lie alg. isomorphism.

Homogeneous Spaces

Thm: Let H be a closed subgroup of a Lie gp G & let G/H be the set of left cosets $\{gH: g \in G\}$. If $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ is the natural projection, then G/H has a unique mfd structure s.t.

① π is smooth &

② $\exists$ local sections of G/H : for $gH \in G/H$, $\exists$ nbd U of gH & a smooth map $\sigma: U \rightarrow G$ s.t. $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_U$.

The proof is again so we skip it. Manifolds of the form G/H are called **homogeneous spaces**. $\cdot \eta$ is smooth.

More generally, suppose a Lie gp G acts on a mfd M ; i.e., $\exists \eta: G \times M \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\eta(e, x) = x \ \forall x \in M$

$$\eta(gh, x) = \eta(g, \eta(h, x)) \ \forall g, h \in G, x \in M$$

(often write $g \cdot x$ for $\eta(g, x)$)

The action is called **transitive** if $\forall x, y \in M \exists g \in G$ s.t. $g \cdot x = y$.

For any $x \in M$, the **isotropy subgroup** of G at x is the group $G_x = \{g \in G : g \cdot x = x\}$.

(Notice, in particular, that we get the **isotropy representation** $G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_x M)$
 $g \mapsto dg_x|_{T_x M}$)