

Math 617: Day 6

Carathéodory's Thm: If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on  $X$ , the collection  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra & the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is a complete measure.

Pf: By construction,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$  &  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under complementation. We leave the fact that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under countable unions as a reading exercise, so  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. To see that  $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a measure, we already know  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ , so we only need to prove countable additivity. Suppose  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}$  are disjoint. We want to show that

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$$

By subadditivity, it suffices to show  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i)$ . In turn, since  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) \leq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right)$ , this will follow from showing  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(E_i) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We prove this by induction:

Base Case: Obviously,  $\mu^*(E_1) = \mu^*(E_1)$

Inductive Step: Assume  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(E_i)$ . Then, since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i\right) &= \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i\right)\right) + \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right)^c \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} E_i\right)\right) \\ &= \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) + \mu^*(E_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(E_i) + \mu^*(E_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu^*(E_i), \text{ as desired.} \end{aligned}$$

Def: A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called an **algebra** if  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under taking complements & **finite** unions.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra on  $X$ , a map  $\mu_0: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a **premeasure** if

$$\textcircled{1} \mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ If } E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A} \text{ are disjoint sets s.t. } \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ then } \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i)$$

Note: Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is only closed under finite unions, it may well not be the case that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Ex: The elementary sets on  $\mathbb{R}$  form an algebra (but not a  $\sigma$ -algebra), & elementary measure gives a premeasure.

Now, if  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we can define an outer measure

$$\mu^*(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i) : E_i \in \mathcal{A}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right\}$$

Hahn-Kolmogorov Thm: Every premeasure  $\mu_0$  on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  can be extended to a measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

Pf: Let  $\mu^*$  be the outer measure defined above, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, and let  $\mu = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then

Carathéodory's Thm implies that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra &  $\mu$  is a measure.

Of course, to see that  $\mu$  actually **extends**  $\mu_0$ , we need to show that (i)  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  & (ii) that  $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu_0$ .

To see (i), let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  & let  $C \subseteq X$  be arbitrary. We need to show that

$$\mu^*(C) = \mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c)$$

& if case it suffices to show  $\mu^*(C) \geq \mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c)$  since subadditivity gives the other inequality.

We might as well assume  $\mu^*(C) < \infty$ , since the inequality is obviously true otherwise.

Now, recall that  $\mu^*(C) = \inf \{ \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(E_i) : E_i \in \mathcal{A}, \bigcup_1^\infty E_i \supseteq C \}$  so, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$   $\exists E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \supseteq C \quad \& \quad \sum \mu_0(E_i) < \mu^*(C) + \varepsilon.$$

Where are  $\mu^*(C \cap E)$  &  $\mu^*(C \cap E^c)$  going to come in? Well, the sets  $E_i \cap E \in \mathcal{A}$  &  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \cap E \supseteq C \cap E$ , so

$$\mu^*(C \cap E) \leq \sum \mu_0(E_i \cap E).$$

Similarly,  $\mu^*(C \cap E^c) \leq \sum \mu_0(E_i \cap E^c)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c) &\leq \sum \mu_0(E_i \cap E) + \sum \mu_0(E_i \cap E^c) = \sum [\mu_0(E_i \cap E) + \mu_0(E_i \cap E^c)] \\ &\stackrel{\text{since } \mu_0 \text{ is finitely additive}}{\downarrow} = \sum \mu_0(E_i) \\ &< \mu^*(C) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , we see that  $\mu^*(C) \geq \mu^*(C \cap E) + \mu^*(C \cap E^c)$ , as desired.

Finally, we need to see that  $\mu^*(E) = \mu_0(E)$ . Obviously,  $E \subseteq E \cup \emptyset \cup \emptyset \cup \dots$ , so  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(\emptyset) + \dots = \mu_0(E)$ .

OTOH, to show  $\mu_0(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$ , it suffices to show  $\mu_0(E) \leq \sum \mu_0(E_i)$  for all coverings  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \supseteq E$  w/  $E_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We can assume the  $E_i$  are disjoint: if not, replace  $E_i$  by  $E_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} E_j$ .

Then, since  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure,  $\sum \mu_0(E_i) = \mu_0(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) \geq \mu_0(E)$  since  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \supseteq E$ .