

## Math 617: Day 2

As discussed last time, given a set  $X$  on which we want to define a measure, we need to specify which subsets of

$X$  are going to be **measurable**. The collection of measurable sets **cannot** be arbitrary ... it turns out to have **algebraic** structure.

We can guess what this structure must be. Surely the whole set  $X$  should be measurable, and, if  $E \subseteq X$  is measurable, then

$E^c = X \setminus E$  should also be measurable (since  $\mu(E^c) = \mu(X \setminus E) = \mu(X) - \mu(E)$ ).

Also, if  $E_1, E_2, \dots \subseteq X$ , then  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots$  should also be measurable (since  $\mu(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \dots$ ).

**Df:** If  $X$  is a nonempty set, then a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** on  $X$  is a nonempty collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  so that:

① For  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  (closed under countable unions)

② For  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$  (closed under complementation)

**Prop:** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$

① is closed under countable intersections

② contains  $\emptyset$  &  $X$

**Pf:** ① For  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots = (E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots)^c \in \mathcal{A}$

② For  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  (which exists since  $\mathcal{A}$  is nonempty),  $\emptyset = E \cap E^c \in \mathcal{A}$  &  $X = E \cup E^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . □

**Ex:** ①  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the **trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra**)

②  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (the **discrete  $\sigma$ -algebra**)

**Prop:** Let  $I$  be some index set (possibly uncountable) &, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , suppose  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$ . Then

$\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

**Pf:** HW. □

Therefore, given any collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of  $X$ , there is a unique smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  containing  $\mathcal{E}$ :  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$

is the intersection of **all**  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{E}$  (which exists, since  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ , which is a  $\sigma$ -algebra).  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  is called the  $\sigma$ -alg. **generated by**  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Remark: A  $\sigma$ -algebra is a special case of a **Boolean algebra**, which is a set  $B$  together w/ operations  $\wedge$  &  $\vee$  satisfying the axioms you'd expect from symbolic logic. In turn, Boolean algebras correspond to **Boolean rings**, which are rings w/  $x \cdot x = x \ \forall x$ .

In other words, we can turn a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  into a ring by taking:

- addition is the symmetric difference  $\Delta$ :  $U \Delta V = (U \setminus V) \cup (V \setminus U)$
- multiplication is  $\cap$
- The additive identity is  $\emptyset$  &  $A$  is its own additive inverse
- The multiplicative identity is  $X$ .

The most important example of a  $\sigma$ -algebra is the **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** on a topological space  $X$ , which is the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_X$  generated by the collection of open sets on  $X$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{B}_X$  are called **Borel sets** on  $X$ .

See **Proposition 1.2** for equivalent ways to generate  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Def: Let  $X$  be a set w/  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . A **measure** on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a map  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  s.t.

①  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

② For  $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  pairwise disjoint, then  $\mu(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \dots$

Then  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a **measurable space** & elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are **measurable sets**. The triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a **measure space**.

The measure  $\mu$  is **finite** if  $\mu(X) < \infty$  (which obviously implies  $\mu(E) < \infty \ \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

(In probability language, measurable sets are **events** & we always have  $\mu(X) = 1$ )

Note: ① is needed to rule out the degenerate case where  $\mu(E) = \infty \ \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Ex: (Counting measure) let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$  & define  $\mu(E) = |E|$ . This is clearly a measure.

Ex: (Dirac measure) let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$  & choose  $x \in X$ . Define  $\delta_x(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ . Again, this is a measure.

Ex: Generalizing the previous 2 examples, if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$  &  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a function, then

$$\mu_f(E) := \sum_{x \in E} f(x)$$

is a measure on  $X$ . Counting measure corresponds to the right function  $f(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in X$ , & Dirac measure corresponds to

$$\text{the function } f(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$