

Math 3200: Dy 28

Recall the theorem from last time:

Thm: let R be an equivalence relation on a set A & let $a, b \in A$. Then $[a] = [b]$ if & only if $a R b$.

Notice that, writing the contrapositive of each direction of the biconditional, we see that $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ if & only if $a \not R b$.

This means that the equivalence classes of R give a partition of A since every element is in some equivalence class (e.g. its own) & equivalence classes are disjoint.

In turn, it's often useful, given a set & an equivalence relation, to think about the set of equivalence classes.

Examples: The set of equivalence classes of triangles with respect to congruence or similarity.

The set of equivalence classes of rational numbers w.r.t. equality (bc we want to treat $\frac{2}{1}$ & $\frac{4}{2}$ as the same thing).

One that we'll think about a little more carefully is the set of equivalence classes of integers w.r.t. congruence mod n , called $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Namely, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0], [1], [2], \dots, [n-1]\}$.

Now here's something slightly awesome: in principle, elements of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ are sets, so there's no reason to think you can do anything to them but take unions, intersections, etc.

However, it turns out we can define addition & multiplication on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in a usable way.

Df: Define the operation $+_n$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ as follows: for any $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, define

$$[a] +_n [b] = [a+b].$$

likewise, define $\cdot_n$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by

$$[a] \cdot_n [b] = [a \cdot b].$$

Addition & Multiplication tables:

on $\mathbb{Z}_3$:

$+_3$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$
$[0]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$
$[1]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[0]$
$[2]$	$[2]$	$[0]$	$[1]$

$\cdot_3$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$
$[0]$	$[0]$	$[0]$	$[0]$
$[1]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$
$[2]$	$[0]$	$[2]$	$[1]$

on $\mathbb{Z}_4$:

$+_4$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[0]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[1]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$	$[0]$
$[2]$	$[2]$	$[3]$	$[0]$	$[1]$
$[3]$	$[3]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$

$\cdot_4$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[0]$	$[0]$	$[0]$	$[0]$	$[0]$
$[1]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[2]$	$[0]$	$[2]$	$[0]$	$[2]$
$[3]$	$[0]$	$[3]$	$[2]$	$[1]$

Notes: ① Notice that an element of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ can be its own additive inverse, as in the case of $[2] \in \mathbb{Z}_4$

② Also, it's possible for 2 ~~non-zero~~ elements of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to multiply to give $[0]$. So $[x] \cdot [y] = [0]$

does ~~not~~ necessarily mean $[x] = [0]$ or $[y] = [0]$. Solving equations can be tricky modulo n !