

Math 3200: Day 27

Def: An **equivalence relation** is a relation on a set which is symmetric, transitive, & reflexive.

If R is an equivalence relation on A & $a \in A$, then the **equivalence class** of a is the set

$$[a] = \{x \in A : x R a\}.$$

Prop: Let R be the relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ given by $a R b$ if $4 \mid (a+3b)$

Proof: (reflexive) let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a+3a=4a$ is divisible by 4, so $a R a$.

(symmetric) Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $a R b$. Then $4 \mid (a+3b)$, so $a+3b=4n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, $a=4n-3b$, so $b+3a=b+3(4n-3b)=b+12n-9b=12n-8b=4(3n-2b)$ is divisible by 4, so $b R a$.

(transitive) Suppose $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $a R b$ & $b R c$. Then $a+3b=4n$ & $b+3c=4m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $a=4n-3b$ & $3c=4m-b$, so $a+3c=(4n-3b)+(4m-b)=4n+4m-4b=4(n+m-b)$ is divisible by 4, so $a R c$.

Since R is a reflexive, symmetric, transitive relation, it is an equivalence relation. □

Q: What is $[1]$ w.r.t. this R ?

A: If $x \in [1]$, then $x R 1$, so $4 \mid (x+3(1)) \Rightarrow x+3=4n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, meaning that $x=4n-3=4(n-1)+1$, so $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $[1] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$.

More generally, if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $[a] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv a \pmod{4}\}$ b/c $x+3a=4n \Rightarrow x=4n-3a=4(n-a)+a$.

Prop: The relation $\equiv \pmod{n}$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(symmetric) let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $a-a=0=0 \cdot n$, so $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$.

(reflexive) let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. Then $a - b = xn$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$b - a = -(a - b) = -xn = (-x)n, \text{ so } b \equiv a \pmod{n}.$$

(transitive) let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ & $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, meaning that $a - b = xn$ & $b - c = yn$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{Then } a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) = xn + yn = (x + y)n, \text{ so } a \equiv c \pmod{n}.$$

Since it is reflexive, symmetric, & transitive, $\equiv \pmod{n}$ is an equivalence relation. ▢

Thm: let R be an equivalence relation on a set A & let $a, b \in A$. Then $[a] = [b]$ if & only if $a R b$.

Proof: ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $[a] = [b]$. Since R is reflexive, $a R a$, so $a \in [a] = [b]$, which means that $a R b$.

($\Leftarrow$) OTOH, suppose $a R b$. let $x \in [a]$. Then $x R a$ & since $a R b$ & R is transitive, $x R b$, so $x \in [b]$.

Since the choice of x was arbitrary, this implies that $[a] \subseteq [b]$.

Likewise, if $y \in [b]$ then $y R b$. Since $a R b$ & R is symmetric, $b R a$ and then, since R is transitive, $y R a$.

Hence, $y \in [a]$, which implies $[b] \subseteq [a]$. Having proved containment both ways, we see that $[a] = [b]$. ▢

Remark: Notice that we needed all three components of the definition of an equivalence relation. If any one of them were missing we couldn't have arrived at the conclusion.