The Search for Higher Helicities

Clayton Shonkwiler

Department of Mathematics Haverford College

May 8, 2011

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣……

Joint work with: Dennis DeTurck, Herman Gluck, Rafal Komendarczyk, Paul Melvin, and David Shea Vela-Vick

Set-Up

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let V be a vector field on a compact domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

The *energy* of V is

$$E(V) = \int_{\Omega} (V \cdot V) d\operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let V be a vector field on a compact domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

The *energy* of V is

$$E(V) = \int_{\Omega} (V \cdot V) \mathsf{dvol}_{\Omega}.$$

Suppose V is divergence-free. Then

$$V=\nabla\times W,$$

where W is the vector potential for V.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

If V is a plasma flow, is there any obstruction to V relaxing to have arbitrarily small energy?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

If V is a plasma flow, is there any obstruction to V relaxing to have arbitrarily small energy?

Mathematically, are there obstructions to making the field energy arbitrarily small via volume-preserving diffeomorphisms homotopic to the identity?

Answer: Not necessarily!



A field which can be relaxed to have arbitrarily small energy (Freedman).

Linked orbits



Linked orbits prevent the energy from getting arbitrarily small.

Linking number



$$1 = Lk(K, L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times L} \frac{dx}{ds} \times \frac{dy}{dt} \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^3} \, ds \, dt$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Linking number



$$2 = Lk(K, L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times L} \frac{dx}{ds} \times \frac{dy}{dt} \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^3} \, ds \, dt$$

Helicity

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

The Gauss Linking Integral:

$$Lk(K,L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times L} \frac{dx}{ds} \times \frac{dy}{dt} \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \, ds \, dt$$

Helicity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The Gauss Linking Integral:

$$Lk(K,L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times L} \frac{dx}{ds} \times \frac{dy}{dt} \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \, ds \, dt$$

Important: the integrand is isometry-invariant.

Helicity

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Gauss Linking Integral:

$$Lk(K,L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{K \times L} \frac{dx}{ds} \times \frac{dy}{dt} \cdot \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \, ds \, dt$$

Important: the integrand is isometry-invariant.

Definition (Woltjer)

The *helicity* of a divergence-free vector field V on a compact domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$H(V) := rac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega imes \Omega} V(x) imes V(y) \cdot rac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} \operatorname{dvol}_x \operatorname{dvol}_y.$$

A lower bound for energy

Theorem (Woltjer, Moffatt, Arnol'd, ...) H(V) is invariant under any volume-preserving diffeomorphism which is homotopic to the identity.

Theorem (Arnol'd)

```
|H(V)| \leq R(\Omega)E(V)
```

where $R(\Omega)$ is a positive constant depending on the shape and size of Ω .

Arnol'd and Khesin:

The dream is to define such a hierarchy of invariants for generic vector fields such that, whereas all the invariants of order $\leq k$ have zero value for a given field and there exists a nonzero invariant of order k + 1, this nonzero invariant provides a lower bound for the field energy.

Idea: Higher-order linking invariants



Three-component links were classified up to *link-homotopy* by Milnor:

- The pairwise linking numbers p, q, r.
- The triple linking number μ = μ
 ₁₂₃, which is an integer modulo gcd(p, q, r).

Link homotopy

Definition

A *link homotopy* of a link L is a deformation during which each component may cross itself, but distinct components must remain disjoint.



Flux tubes

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



If V is supported on flux tubes, then μ provides a lower bound for the field energy (Monastyrsky–Retakh, Berger, etc.; see also Freedman–He).

Linking integrals and configuration spaces

Where does the Gauss Linking Integral come from?

Linking integrals and configuration spaces

Where does the Gauss Linking Integral come from?

$$S^{1} \times S^{1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{homotopy}} S^{2}$$
$$(s,t) \longmapsto (x(s), y(t)) \longmapsto \frac{x(s) - y(t)}{|x(s) - y(t)|}$$

Linking integrals and configuration spaces

Where does the Gauss Linking Integral come from?

$$S^{1} \times S^{1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow[\text{equiv.}]{\text{equiv.}} S^{2}$$
$$(s,t) \longmapsto (x(s), y(t)) \longmapsto \frac{x(s) - y(t)}{|x(s) - y(t)|}$$

If f is the composition of the above maps

$$Lk(K,L) = \int_{S^1 \times S^1} f^* \omega_{\mathcal{S}}$$

where ω is the standard area form on S^2 , scaled to have area 1 instead of 4π .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 臣 のへで

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Consider a three-component link in S^3 :

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2.$$

Consider a three-component link in S^3 :

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Consider a three-component link in S^3 :

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2.$$

This determines a map

$$g: \quad \mathcal{L}(3) \longrightarrow [S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, S^2]$$
$$L \longmapsto [g_L]$$

from link-homotopy classes of 3-component links to homotopy classes of maps from the 3-torus to the 2-sphere.

Consider a three-component link in S^3 :

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2.$$

This determines a map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g: & \mathcal{L}(3) \longrightarrow [S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, S^2] \\ & L \longmapsto [g_L] \end{array}$$

from link-homotopy classes of 3-component links to homotopy classes of maps from the 3-torus to the 2-sphere.

 $[S^1 imes S^1 imes S^1, S^2]$ was classified by Pontryagin by:

- The degrees *p*, *q*, *r* on the 2-dimensional subtori.
- A "Hopf invariant" ν, which is an integer modulo 2 gcd(p, q, r).

Interpreting link-homotopy invariants as homotopy invariants

Theorem A (with DeTurck et al.) The map $g : \mathcal{L}(3) \rightarrow [S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, S^2]$ is injective and maps

> $p \mapsto p$ $q \mapsto q$ $r \mapsto r$ $\mu \mapsto 2\mu$

An integral formula for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

A more symmetric version of g along with a modification of Whitehead's integral for the Hopf invariant of a map $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ yields:

Theorem B (with DeTurck et al.)

If the pairwise linking numbers of the three-component link $L \subset S^3$ are all zero, then

$$\mu(L) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{T}^3} \delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L,$$

where $\omega_L = g_L^* \omega$ and φ is the fundamental solution of the Laplacian on T^3 .

An integral formula for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

A more symmetric version of g along with a modification of Whitehead's integral for the Hopf invariant of a map $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ yields:

Theorem B (with DeTurck et al.)

If the pairwise linking numbers of the three-component link $L \subset S^3$ are all zero, then

$$\mu(L) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{T}^3} \delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L,$$

where $\omega_L = g_L^* \omega$ and φ is the fundamental solution of the Laplacian on T^3 .

Note: The integrand is isometry-invariant.

The fundamental solution of the Laplacian





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

A Fourier series version

lf

$$\omega_L = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}} (a_{\mathbf{n}} + ib_{\mathbf{n}}) e^{i\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \cdot \star d\mathbf{x}$$

is the Fourier expansion of ω_L ,

A Fourier series version

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

lf

$$\omega_L = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} (a_{\mathbf{n}} + ib_{\mathbf{n}}) e^{i\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \cdot \star d\mathbf{x}$$

is the Fourier expansion of ω_L , then

$$\mu(L) = 8\pi^3 \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}} \times b_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{|\mathbf{n}|^2}$$

٠

Theorem A

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2.$$

determines a map

$$g: \quad \mathcal{L}(3) \longrightarrow [S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, S^2]$$
$$L \longmapsto [g_L]$$

Theorem A

$$S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_3 S^3 \xrightarrow[equiv.]{hom.} S^3 \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} S^2$$

determines a map

$$g: \quad \mathcal{L}(3) \longrightarrow [S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, S^2]$$
$$L \longmapsto [g_L]$$

Theorem A

Let L be a three-component link in S^3 . Then the pairwise linking numbers p, q, and r of L are equal to the degrees of its characteristic map $g_L : S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^2$ on the two-dimensional coordinate subtori, while twice Milnor's μ -invariant for L is equal to Pontryagin's ν -invariant for g_L modulo $2 \operatorname{gcd}(p, q, r)$.

Strategy of the Proof

The **delta move** does not change pairwise linking numbers.



Murakami and Nakanishi (1989) proved that any two links with the same number of components and the same pairwise linking numbers are related by a sequence of delta moves.

Strategy of the Proof

The **delta move** does not change pairwise linking numbers.



Murakami and Nakanishi (1989) proved that any two links with the same number of components and the same pairwise linking numbers are related by a sequence of delta moves.

The strategy for proving Theorem A is to analyze the effect of a delta move on the μ -invariant of a three component link and on the ν -invariant of its associated map.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

We use Mellor and Melvin's (2003) geometric formulation of Milnor's μ -invariant to see that a delta move increases μ by 1.



Delta moves and the ν -invariant

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The heart of the proof of Theorem A consists of showing that a delta move increases Pontryagin's ν -invariant by 2.

Delta moves and the $\nu\text{-invariant}$

The heart of the proof of Theorem A consists of showing that a delta move increases Pontryagin's ν -invariant by 2.

To see this, we put L in "generic position" with respect to the standard open book on S^3 .



We develop an algorithm for computing the Pontryagin ν -invariant of a link in this position, and use it to show that a delta move increases ν by 2.

Theorem B

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Theorem B

If the pairwise linking numbers of the three-component link $L \subset S^3$ are all zero, then:

$$\mu(L) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T^3} \delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L$$
(1)
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{T^3 \times T^3} \vec{\mathbf{v}}_L(\mathbf{x}) \times \vec{\mathbf{v}}_L(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \varphi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y}$$
(2)
$$= 8\pi^3 \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{n} / \|\mathbf{n}\|^2 .$$
(3)

Symmetric characteristic map

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

We need a more symmetric version of the characteristic map for Theorem B.

Suppose $(x, y, z) \in \text{Conf}_3S^3$. Then x, y, and z span a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .



Symmetric characteristic map

We need a more symmetric version of the characteristic map for Theorem B.

Suppose $(x, y, z) \in \text{Conf}_3S^3$. Then x, y, and z span a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^4 .



 $G: \operatorname{Conf}_3S^3 \to G_2\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq S^2 \times S^2$ is SO(4)-equivariant.

Why should there be an integral formula for μ

If $f: S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \to S^2$ is null-homotopic on the 2-skeleton (i.e., p = q = r = 0), then we can collapse the 2-skeleton, yielding a map $\overline{f}: S^3 \to S^2$; then $\nu(f)$ is equal to the Hopf invariant of \overline{f} .

Whitehead's integral formula for the Hopf invariant

- $h: S^3 \to S^2$ smooth.
- ω the normalized area form on S^2 ($\int_{S^2} \omega = 1$).

Why should there be an integral formula for μ

If $f: S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \to S^2$ is null-homotopic on the 2-skeleton (i.e., p = q = r = 0), then we can collapse the 2-skeleton, yielding a map $\overline{f}: S^3 \to S^2$; then $\nu(f)$ is equal to the Hopf invariant of \overline{f} .

Whitehead's integral formula for the Hopf invariant

- $h: S^3 \to S^2$ smooth.
- ω the normalized area form on S^2 ($\int_{S^2} \omega = 1$).
- Then $h^*\omega$ is closed and, therefore, exact: $h^*\omega = d\alpha$.

Why should there be an integral formula for μ

If $f: S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \to S^2$ is null-homotopic on the 2-skeleton (i.e., p = q = r = 0), then we can collapse the 2-skeleton, yielding a map $\overline{f}: S^3 \to S^2$; then $\nu(f)$ is equal to the Hopf invariant of \overline{f} .

Whitehead's integral formula for the Hopf invariant

- $h: S^3 \to S^2$ smooth.
- ω the normalized area form on S^2 ($\int_{S^2} \omega = 1$).
- Then $h^*\omega$ is closed and, therefore, exact: $h^*\omega = d\alpha$.
- Then

$$\mathsf{Hopf}(h) = \int_{S^3} \alpha \wedge h^* \omega = \int_{S^3} \alpha \wedge d\alpha.$$

Proof of Theorem B

If *L* is a three-component link in S^3 such that p = q = r = 0, then the characteristic map $g_L : T^3 \to S^2$ is null-homotopic on the coordinate two-tori.

Hence, $\omega_L = g_I^* \omega$ is an exact 2-form on T^3 .

Proof of Theorem B

If *L* is a three-component link in S^3 such that p = q = r = 0, then the characteristic map $g_L : T^3 \to S^2$ is null-homotopic on the coordinate two-tori.

Hence, $\omega_L = g_I^* \omega$ is an exact 2-form on T^3 .

A standard computation shows that

$$\alpha = \delta(\varphi * \omega_L)$$

satisfies $d\alpha = \omega_L$. Here φ is the fundamental solution of the scalar Laplacian on T^3 , $\varphi * \omega_L$ is the convolution of φ and ω_L , and δ is the L^2 -adjoint of the exterior derivative d.

Moreover, α is the unique 1-form of smallest L^2 norm satisfying $d\alpha = \omega_L$.

Proof of Theorem B (cont.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Then Whitehead's integral formula for the Hopf invariant, transplanted to T^3 , implies

$$u(\mathbf{g}_L) = \int_{\mathcal{T}^3} \delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L.$$

By Theorem A,

$$\mu(L) = \frac{1}{2}\nu(g_L) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{T^3}\delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L$$

Proof of Theorem B (cont.)

Then Whitehead's integral formula for the Hopf invariant, transplanted to T^3 , implies

$$u(\mathsf{g}_L) = \int_{\mathcal{T}^3} \delta(\varphi * \omega_L) \wedge \omega_L.$$

By Theorem A,

$$\mu(L) = rac{1}{2}
u(g_L) = rac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{T}^3}\delta(\varphi*\omega_L)\wedge\omega_L.$$

Then the vector field version is

$$\mu(L) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{T}^3 \times \mathcal{T}^3} \vec{\mathbf{v}}_L(\mathbf{x}) \times \vec{\mathbf{v}}_L(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \varphi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y}$$

and the Fourier series version is

$$\mu(L) = 8\pi^3 \sum_{\mathbf{n}\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{\|\mathbf{n}\|^2} \ .$$

• Find the analogues of Theorems A and B for three-component links in \mathbb{R}^3 .

• Find the analogues of Theorems A and B for three-component links in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In other words, the representation of link-homotopy classes of links into the set of homotopy classes of maps should be **equivariant** with respect to the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the integral formula for μ should be **invariant** under the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

• Find the analogues of Theorems A and B for three-component links in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In other words, the representation of link-homotopy classes of links into the set of homotopy classes of maps should be **equivariant** with respect to the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the integral formula for μ should be **invariant** under the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

• Is the representation $\mathcal{L}(n) \to [\mathcal{T}^n, \operatorname{Conf}_n \mathbb{R}^3]$ faithful?

 Find the analogues of Theorems A and B for three-component links in ℝ³.

In other words, the representation of link-homotopy classes of links into the set of homotopy classes of maps should be **equivariant** with respect to the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the integral formula for μ should be **invariant** under the action of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

 Is the representation L(n) → [Tⁿ, Conf_nℝ³] faithful? Theorem A implies "yes" for n = 3, and Koschorke has shown that the answer is "yes" when one restricts to almost trivial n-component links.

Arnol'd and Khesin:

The dream is to define such a hierarchy of invariants for generic vector fields such that, whereas all the invariants of order $\leq k$ have zero value for a given field and there exists a nonzero invariant of order k + 1, this nonzero invariant provides a lower bound for the field energy.

Thanks!



◆□ →
◆□ →
□ →