

# FOUR ISOPERIMETRIC PROPERTIES OF HOMOGENEOUS SPHERICAL MEMBRANES

CLAY SHONKWILER

ABSTRACT. In this talk I will demonstrate inequalities for four different types of inhomogeneous vibrating membranes which were proved by Hersch [4] using conformal techniques inspired by Szegő [9]. Specifically, the inequalities are lower bounds on the reciprocal sum of the first 3 non-trivial eigenvalues of the Laplacian of the membranes. It turns out that in each case the bound is achieved by a homogeneous spherical membrane, giving us the four isoperimetric properties promised in the title.

## 1. ISOPERIMETRIC PROPERTIES

The ancient isoperimetric problem was to determine the planar region with maximal area given a fixed perimeter. Of course, it was known to the Greeks that the circle enclosed maximal area for a given perimeter. In other words, for all regions  $R$  of fixed perimeter  $P$ ,

$$\text{Area}(R) \leq \frac{P^2}{4\pi},$$

which is the original isoperimetric inequality, with equality achieved by a circle of radius  $r = \frac{P}{2\pi}$ .

Of course, the isoperimetric problem as stated can be extended to arbitrary dimensions by asking, say, what  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  with boundary  $\partial M$  with fixed volume  $\text{Vol}(\partial M)$  has maximal volume (where  $\text{Vol}(\partial M)$  is  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume and  $\text{Vol}(M)$  is  $n$ -dimensional volume). But we needn't be so literal in our generalization of the original isoperimetric problem. For example, we might ask which closed surfaces of a fixed surface area have maximal electrostatic capacity, or maximal torsional rigidity, or minimal fundamental frequency. This last is what concerns us here.

The fundamental frequency of a homogeneous vibrating membrane with fixed boundary is given by the smallest (non-zero) eigenvalue of the Laplacian  $\Delta u$  with boundary condition  $u = 0$ . This problem has its roots in the work of Rayleigh [8], who conjectured that a circular region has the smallest non-zero eigenvalue (or, as he put it, "gravest fundamental tone") of all planar regions; this conjecture was later proved by Faber [2] and Krahn [6]. We can consider different boundary conditions; if instead we allow the boundary to be free (i.e. require that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on the boundary), then the membrane has eigenvalues  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \mu_1 = 0$  since  $u = 1$  trivially satisfies the boundary

condition. Szegő [9] proved that a circular membrane yields the maximum value of  $\mu_2$  among all membranes of fixed area and Weinberger [10] proved the analogous result in dimension  $n$ .

In this context, we will consider we will consider the Laplacian to be  $\Delta u = \text{div gradu}$ .

## 2. CLOSED MEMBRANES

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a closed surface of the topological type of a sphere which can be conformally mapped, except possibly in isolated points, to the sphere  $S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ . Let  $\rho \geq 0$  be a density function on  $S$ . Then  $M = \iint_S \rho dVol_S$  is the mass of  $S$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator and consider the differential equation  $\Delta u(p) + \mu\rho(p)u(p) = 0$  on  $S$  with eigenvalues  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \mu_4 \leq \dots$ . If we ignore the case where  $\rho$  has point masses, then*

$$(1) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} + \frac{1}{\mu_4} \right] \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{8\pi},$$

with equality precisely when  $\rho$  is constant and  $S$  is a sphere of radius  $r$  (then  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \frac{2}{r^2\rho}$  and  $M = 4\pi r^2\rho$ ). In other words, homogeneous spherical membranes minimize the above inequality.

*Proof.* Let  $f : S \rightarrow S^2$  be the conformal map from  $S$  to the sphere in the statement of the proposition and, for  $p \in S$ , denote  $f(p) = \hat{p}$ . Let  $\hat{\rho}(\hat{p}) = \rho(p) \frac{dVol_S}{dVol_{S^2}}$ . To make things easier for ourselves, we will first consider the case where the center of mass  $\hat{G}$  of  $S^2$  coincides with the center  $\hat{O}$  of the sphere; we will prove a lemma (Lemma 2.2 below) demonstrating that this is a valid assumption.

Now, for  $p \in S$ , suppose  $\hat{p} = (x, y, z)$  and, by abuse of notation, let  $X(p) = x(\hat{p}) = x$ ,  $Y(p) = y$  and  $Z(p) = z$ . Supposing that  $\hat{G} = \hat{O}$ , then

$$\iint_S \rho X dVol_S = \iint_{S^2} \hat{\rho} x dVol_{S^2} = 0$$

and, similarly,  $\iint_S \rho Y dVol_S = 0$  and  $\iint_S \rho Z dVol_S = 0$ . Since the Dirichlet integral is invariant under conformal transformations,

$$\iint_S \langle \nabla X, \nabla Y \rangle dVol_S = \iint_{S^2} \langle \nabla x, \nabla y \rangle dVol_{S^2} = 0$$

and, similarly,  $\iint_S \langle \nabla Y, \nabla Z \rangle dVol_S = 0$  and  $\iint_S \langle \nabla Z, \nabla X \rangle dVol_S = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$\iint_S \|\nabla X\|^2 dVol_S = \iint_{S^2} \|\nabla x\|^2 dVol_{S^2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}$$

by a simple calculation and, similarly,  $\iint_S \|\nabla Y\|^2 dVol_S = \iint_S \|\nabla Z\|^2 dVol_S = \frac{8\pi}{3}$ . Finally, note that  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ . Therefore, the linear

space  $L(X, Y, Z)$  spanned by  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  admits the following variational characterization due to an earlier result of Hersch [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} + \frac{1}{\mu_4} &\geq \frac{\iint \rho X^2 dVol_S}{\iint \|\nabla X\|^2} + \frac{\iint \rho Y^2 dVol_S}{\iint \|\nabla Y\|^2} + \frac{\iint \rho Z^2 dVol_S}{\iint \|\nabla Z\|^2} \\ &= \frac{3}{8\pi} \iint_S \rho(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2) dVol_S \\ &= \frac{3}{8\pi} \iint_S \rho dVol_S \\ &= \frac{3M}{8\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $S$  is the sphere  $S^2$  and  $\rho$  is a constant, then the coordinate functions  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, so the inequality above becomes an equality. This proves the desired result provided we can show that our assumption about the center of mass was a legitimate one, which is the content of the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$  be a density on the sphere  $S^2$  (without point masses). Then there exists a Möbius transformation  $g : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  such that  $\hat{\rho}(g(p)) = \tilde{\rho}(p)$  has center of mass  $\hat{G}$  in the center  $\hat{O}$  of the sphere.*

*Proof.* This proof is inspired by a method of Szegö [9]. Let  $p$  be a point of  $S^2$  and let  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Let  $H_{p,t}$  be the Möbius transformation induced by the homothety  $\zeta \mapsto t\zeta$  on  $T_p S^2$ ; that is,

$$H_{p,t}(q) = \begin{cases} \gamma_q(t) & q \neq -p \\ q & q = -p \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_q : [0, 1] \rightarrow S^2$  is the geodesic from  $p$  to  $q$ . So long as  $t \neq 1$ , the only fixed points of  $H_{p,t}$  are  $p$  and its antipode;  $H_{p,1}$  is the identity. Denote by  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,t}$  the new density function induced by the application of  $H_{p,t}$  to  $\tilde{\rho}$  and by  $\tilde{G}_{p,t}$  the center of mass of  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,t}$ . Then certainly  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,1} = \tilde{\rho}$  and  $\tilde{G}_{p,1} = \tilde{G}$ ; as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{p,t} \rightarrow p$ . On the other hand, the total mass is always conserved:  $\tilde{M}_{p,t} = \tilde{M} = M$ . Consider the surface

$$\tilde{G}_t = \{\tilde{G}_{p,t} | p \in S^2\}$$

which, in general, has self-intersections. For  $t$  close to zero,  $\tilde{G}_t$  contains  $\hat{O}$ , the center of  $S^2$ . However, as  $t \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\tilde{G}_t$  closes down on the point  $\tilde{G}$  (for  $t = 1$ ,  $\tilde{G}_t$  is the point  $\tilde{G}$ ). Obviously, if  $\tilde{G} = \hat{O}$ , the desired transformation is the identity, so we let  $g$  simply be the identity. Otherwise, there exists a  $t_0$  such that  $\hat{O} \in \tilde{G}_{p_0,t_0}$ , so  $\hat{O} = \tilde{G}_{p_0,t_0}$  for some  $p_0 \in S^2$ . Then  $g := \tilde{G}_{p_0,t_0}$  is the desired transformation.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The following inequalities are an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1:*

$$(2) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{2}{\mu_3} \right] \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{8\pi},$$

$$(3) \quad \mu_2 M \leq 8\pi \approx 25.133.$$

If  $\rho = 1$ , then  $M$  is simply the surface area  $A(S)$  of the membrane, so  $\mu_2 A(S) \leq 8\pi$ . Hence, we see that for homogeneous membranes of a fixed surface area  $A$ , the sphere has maximal  $\mu_2$ , so this is an isoperimetric property of homogeneous spherical membranes.

**Example:** if  $S$  is a regular tetrahedron with constant density  $\rho$ , then  $\mu_2 M = \frac{4\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}} \approx 22.793$ .

### 3. JORDAN DOMAINS

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $J$  be a Jordan domain with density  $\rho \geq 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue of  $J$  as a membrane with fixed boundary (i.e. with boundary condition  $u|_{\partial S} = 0$ ) and let  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots$  be the eigenvalues of the free membrane (i.e. with boundary condition  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial S} = 0$ ). Then, excluding the case of point masses,*

$$(4) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} \right] \frac{1}{M} = \frac{3}{4\pi}$$

with equality when  $\rho$  is constant and  $J$  is a hemisphere of radius  $r$  (then  $\lambda_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \frac{2}{r^2\rho}$  and  $M = 2\pi r^2\rho$ ).

*Proof.* As before, we want to make things easier for ourselves using a conformal transplantation of  $J$ , this time to the hemisphere  $\hat{J} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, z > 0\}$ . We would like this transplantation to be such that the center of mass  $\hat{G}$  of  $\hat{J}$  lies on the  $z$ -axis. That such a conformal map exists is the content of the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Given a density  $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$  on the hemisphere  $\hat{J}$  without point masses with center of mass  $\tilde{G}$ , there exists a conformal map  $H_{p_0, t_0} : \hat{J} \rightarrow \hat{J}$  with  $p_0$  on the equator  $E$  of  $\hat{J}$  such that the density  $\hat{\rho}$  induced by the application of  $H_{p_0, t_0}$  to  $\tilde{\rho}$  has center of mass  $\hat{G}$  on the  $z$ -axis.*

*Proof.* Again, the methodology here is inspired by Szegő [9]. We let  $H_{p, t}$  be the restriction of the map defined in Lemma 2.2 to the hemisphere, with corresponding density  $\tilde{\rho}_{p, t}$  and center of mass  $\tilde{G}_{p, t}$ . Then, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{p, t} \rightarrow p \in E$ . Consider the curve

$$\tilde{G}_t = \{\tilde{G}_{p, t} | p \in E\}.$$

Then as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , the curve  $\tilde{G}_t$  has winding number 1 with respect to the  $z$ -axis. If  $\tilde{G}$  already lies on the  $z$ -axis, then the desired transformation is the identity; otherwise, for  $t$  sufficiently close to 1,  $\tilde{G}_t$  has winding number 0

about the  $z$ -axis. Thus, there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{t_0}$  intersects the  $z$ -axis in a point  $\hat{G} = \tilde{G}_{p_0, t_0}$ . Then  $H_{p_0, t_0}$  is the desired transformation.  $\square$

With this lemma in hand, we are now free to assume that the center of mass of  $\hat{J}$  lies on the  $z$ -axis. With notation as in Proposition 2.1, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_J \rho X dV ol_J &= \iint_J \rho Y dV ol_J = 0, & \iint_J \langle \nabla X, \nabla Y \rangle dV ol_J &= 0, \\ \iint_J \|\nabla X\|^2 dV ol_J &= \iint_J \|\nabla Y\|^2 dV ol_J = \iint_J \|\nabla Z\|^2 dV ol_J = \frac{4\pi}{3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \equiv 1.$$

Since  $z = 0$  on the boundary  $E$  of  $\hat{J}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\iint_J \|\nabla Z\|^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \rho Z^2 dV ol_J}$  and, by the variational characterization in [3],

$$\frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} \geq \frac{\iint_J \rho X^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \|\nabla X\|^2 dV ol_J} + \frac{\iint_J \rho Y^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \|\nabla Y\|^2 dV ol_J}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} &\geq \frac{\iint_J \rho X^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \|\nabla X\|^2 dV ol_J} + \frac{\iint_J \rho Y^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \|\nabla Y\|^2 dV ol_J} + \frac{\iint_J \rho Z^2 dV ol_J}{\iint_J \|\nabla Z\|^2 dV ol_J} \\ &= \frac{3}{4\pi} \iint_J \rho dV ol_J \\ &= \frac{3M}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) follows directly. Note that on  $\hat{J}$  with  $\rho \equiv 1$ ,  $z$  is the eigenfunction of  $\lambda_1$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are eigenfunctions of  $\mu_2 = \mu_3$ .  $\square$

#### 4. 2-SIDED MEMBRANES

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be a Jordan domain with two fixed points on its boundary and let  $a$  and  $b$  be the “sides” of  $B$ . Let  $\rho \geq 0$  be the density on  $B$ . Let  $\lambda_a$  be the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on  $B$  with  $a$  fixed, let  $\lambda_b$  be the first eigenvalue with  $b$  fixed and let  $\mu_1 = 0 < \mu_2 \leq \dots$  be the eigenvalues of the free membrane. Excluding the case of point masses,*

$$(5) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\lambda_b} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} \right] \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{2\pi}$$

with equality when  $\rho$  is constant and  $B$  is an “orange slice” (quarter sphere) given by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r^2$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$  (then  $\lambda_a = \lambda_b = \mu_2 = \frac{2}{r^2\rho}$  and  $M = \pi r^2\rho$ ).

*Proof.* Again, we conformally map  $B$  onto a spherical membrane  $\hat{B}$ . Let

$$\hat{B} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, x > 0, y > 0\}$$

with sides  $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$  the meridians bounding  $\hat{B}$ . If we let  $\hat{N}$  be the north pole of  $\hat{B}$  and  $\hat{S}$  the south pole, then, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{N},t} \rightarrow \hat{N}$ . As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\hat{N},t} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ . Hence, there exist  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p_0 \in \hat{B}$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{p_0, t_0}$  lies in the  $xy$ -plane. Then  $\tilde{H}_{p_0, t_0} : \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  is a conformal transformation with center of mass on the  $xy$ -plane. Then, with terminology as in Proposition 2.1,

$$\iint_B \rho Z dVol_B = 0, \iint_B \|\nabla X\|^2 dVol_B = \iint_B \|\nabla Y\|^2 dVol_B = \iint_B \|\nabla Z\|^2 dVol_B = \frac{2\pi}{3}$$

and  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ . Since  $X = 0$  on  $a$  and  $Y = 0$  on  $b$ ,  $\lambda_a \leq \frac{\iint_B \|\nabla X\|^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \rho X^2 dVol_B}$  and  $\lambda_b \leq \frac{\iint_B \|\nabla Y\|^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \rho Y^2 dVol_B}$  and, by the variational characterization of  $\mu_2$ ,

$$\mu_2 \leq \frac{\iint_B \|\nabla Z\|^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \rho Z^2 dVol_B}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\lambda_b} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} &\geq \frac{\iint_B \rho X^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \|\nabla X\|^2 dVol_B} + \frac{\iint_B \rho Y^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \|\nabla Y\|^2 dVol_B} + \frac{\iint_B \rho Z^2 dVol_B}{\iint_B \|\nabla Z\|^2 dVol_B} \\ &= \frac{3}{2\pi} \iint_B \rho dVol_B \\ &= \frac{3M}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) follows directly.  $\square$

## 5. 3-SIDED JORDAN DOMAINS

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $T$  be a Jordan domain with 3 fixed points on its boundary, with corresponding “sides”  $a, b$  and  $c$ . Let  $\rho \geq 0$  be the density of  $T$  and let  $\lambda_a, \lambda_b$  and  $\lambda_c$  be the eigenvalues with  $a, b$  and  $c$  fixed, respectively. Then*

$$(6) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\lambda_b} + \frac{1}{\lambda_c} \right] \frac{1}{M} \geq \frac{3}{\pi},$$

*with equality when  $\rho$  is constant and  $T$  is an equilateral spherical triangle (then  $\lambda_a = \lambda_b = \lambda_c = \frac{2}{r^2\rho}$  and  $M = \frac{\pi r^2\rho}{2}$ ).*

This proposition follows from a conformal transplantation argument essentially similar to those presented above; see [5].

## 6. CONCLUSION

Just a few comments on the above to wrap things up. First, in many cases (virtually all of the citations I found) we're interested in homogeneous membranes. In such cases, we can let  $\rho = 1$ ; then what we called the mass  $M$  above is simply the surface area  $A(S)$  of the membrane  $S$ . Then, for example, the inequality (3) simply tells us that  $\mu_2 A(S) \leq 8\pi$ , with equality if and only if  $S$  is isometric to a constant curvature metric on  $S^2$ .

Second, note that the above arguments rely heavily on conformal arguments and so do not generalize well to higher dimensions. Yang and Yau [12] did obtain a generalization for surfaces of genus  $g$ , namely that

$$\mu_2 A(S) \leq 8\pi(1 + g).$$

Li and Yau [7] give another argument for the above results which also yields

$$\mu_2 A(S) \leq 12\pi$$

for  $S$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . For a manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , the results above would suggest we should look for bounds on  $\mu_2^{n/2} V(M)$  that depend at most on the topology of  $M$ , but it turns out that no such bound exists when  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^3$  [11]. See Chavel [1] for a more thorough summary.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. CHAVEL. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*. Academic, Orlando, 1984.
- [2] G. FABER. Beweiss, dass unter allen homogenen Membrane von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisförmige die tiefsten Grundton gibt. *Sitzungsber.-Bayer. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Phys. Munich*. pp. 169-172 (1923).
- [3] J. HERSCH. Caractérisation variationelle d'une somme de valeurs propres consécutives; généralisation d'inégalités de Pólya-Schiffer et de Weyl. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **252**, 1714-1716 (1961).
- [4] J. HERSCH. Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **270**, 1645-1648 (1970).
- [5] J. HERSCH. Une inégalité isopérimétrique pour les membranes vibrantes sur un « trilatère ». *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **261**, 2443-2445 (1965).
- [6] E. KRAHN. Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minmaleigenschaft des Kreises. *Math. Annalen* **94**, 97-100 (1925).
- [7] P. LI and S.T. YAU. A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue for compact surfaces. *Invent. Math.* **69**, 269-291 (1982).
- [8] LORD RAYLEIGH. *The Theory of Sound*. MacMillan, New York, 1877 (reprinted: Dover, New York, 1945).
- [9] G. SZEGÖ. Inequalities for certain eigenvalues of a membrane of given area. *J. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **3**, 343-356 (1954).
- [10] H.F. WEINBERGER. An isoperimetric inequality for the n-dimensional free membrane problem. *J. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **5**, 633-636 (1956).
- [11] H. URAKAWA. On the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **31**, 209-226 (1979).

- [12] P.C. YANG and S.T. YAU. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super., Pisa* **7**, 55-63 (1980).

DRL 3E3A, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
*E-mail address:* shonkwil@math.upenn.edu