

## ANALYSIS HW 5

CLAY SHONKWILER

1

Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and  $Y$  a linear subspace. The set of all continuous linear functionals on  $X$  that are zero on  $Y$  is called the *annihilator* of  $Y$  and denoted by  $Y^\perp$ . Show that  $Y^\perp$  is a closed linear subspace of the dual space,  $X'$ , of  $X$ . Show that  $\overline{Y^\perp} = Y^\perp$ .

*Proof.* To see that  $Y^\perp$  is a linear subspace, suppose  $l_1, l_2 \in Y^\perp$ . Then, if  $y \in Y$

$$(l_1 + l_2)(y) = l_1(y) + l_2(y) = 0$$

so  $l_1 + l_2 \in Y^\perp$ . Also, if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(cl_1)(x) = cl_1(x) = 0$ , so  $cl_1 \in Y^\perp$ . To see that  $Y^\perp$  is closed, suppose  $l_k \in Y^\perp$  and  $l_k \rightarrow L$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, for any  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for  $n > N$ ,

$$|l_n(y) - L(y)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Hence,

$$|L(y)| = |0 - L(y)| = |l_n(y) - L(y)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Since  $|L(y)|$  is smaller than any positive number, it must be the case that  $L(y) = 0$ , meaning  $L \in Y^\perp$ , so  $Y^\perp$  is closed.

Now, if  $\overline{Y}$  signifies the completion of  $Y$ , then it is clear that

$$\overline{Y^\perp} \subseteq Y^\perp,$$

since  $Y \subseteq \overline{Y}$ . On the other hand, suppose  $L \in Y^\perp$ . Let  $y \in \overline{Y}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $y_j \in Y$  such that  $y_j \rightarrow y$ . Since  $L$  is continuous,

$$y_j \rightarrow y \Rightarrow L(y_j) \rightarrow L(y).$$

Since  $y_j \in Y$ ,  $L(y_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , so their limit  $L(y) = 0$ . Since our choice of  $y$  was arbitrary, we see that  $L \in \overline{Y^\perp}$ , so  $Y^\perp \subseteq \overline{Y^\perp}$ .

Since containment goes in both directions, we conclude that  $\overline{Y^\perp} = Y^\perp$ .  $\square$

2

Consider  $C[0, 1]$  with the uniform norm and let  $K \subset C[0, 1]$  be the set of functions with the property that  $\int_0^{1/2} f(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 f(t)dt = 1$ . Show that  $K$  is a closed convex set not containing the origin but  $K$  has no point closest to the origin.

1

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a sequence  $f_n \in K$  such that the  $f_n$  converge to  $f$  in  $C[0,1]$ . Now, since this convergence is uniform, we are justified in switching limits and integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1/2} f(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 f(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t)dt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{1/2} f_n(t)dt - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/2}^1 f_n(t)dt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^{1/2} f_n(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 f_n(t)dt \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f \in K$ , so  $K$  is closed. Also, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f, g \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1/2} (\alpha f(t) + (1-\alpha)g(t))dt - \int_{1/2}^1 (\alpha f(t) + (1-\alpha)g(t))dt \\ &= \alpha \left( \int_0^{1/2} f(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 f(t)dt \right) + \left( \int_0^{1/2} g(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 g(t)dt \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \int_0^{1/2} g(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 g(t)dt \right) \\ &= \alpha + 1 - \alpha \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $K$  is convex.

Finally, consider the sequence  $f_n$  as drawn below:

Then, certainly,  $f_n \in K$ . Now, for each  $n$ ,

$$\|f_n\|_{\text{unif}} = \max |f_n(x)| = \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

Hence,  $\inf \|f_n\|_{\text{unif}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n-2} = 1$ . However, suppose there exists  $f \in K$  such that  $\|f\|_{\text{unif}} \leq 1$ . Then

$$\int_0^{1/2} f(t)dt - \int_{1/2}^1 f(t)dt \leq \int_0^{1/2} 1dt - \int_0^{1/2} -1dt = 1/2 + 1/2 = 1.$$

Since  $f \in K$ , this inequality must actually be an equality, meaning that

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \leq 1/2 \\ -1 & t > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

However, this is not a continuous function, so  $f \notin K$ . Hence

$$\inf_{f \in K} \|f\|_{\text{unif}} = 1$$

but this infimum is never achieved, so there is no point in  $K$  closest to the origin.  $\square$

Let  $K \subset L^1(0,1)$  be the set of all  $f$  with  $\int_0^1 f(t)dt = 1$ . Show that  $K$  is a closed convex set (of  $L^1(0,1)$ ) that does not contain the origin but there are infinitely many points in  $K$  that minimize the distance to the origin.

*Proof.* To see that  $K$  is closed, suppose that  $f$  is the limit of a sequence  $f_k \in K$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $n > N$ ,

$$\|f - f_n\|_1 < \epsilon.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt - 1 \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt - \int_0^1 f_n(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (f(t) - f_n(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f(t) - f_n(t)| dt \\ &= \|f - f_n\|_1 \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt - 1 \right|$  is arbitrarily small, we conclude that  $\int_0^1 f(t) dt = 1$ , which is to say that  $f \in K$ . Hence,  $K$  is closed. To see that  $K$  is convex, suppose  $f, g \in K$ . Then, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (\alpha f(t) + (1 - \alpha)g(t)) dt &= \int_0^1 (\alpha f(t) + g(t) - \alpha g(t)) dt \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 f(t) dt + \int_0^1 g(t) dt - \alpha \int_0^1 g(t) dt \\ &= \alpha + 1 - \alpha \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $K$  is convex. Certainly the origin is not in  $K$ , since  $\int_0^1 0 dt = 0 \neq 1$ . However, for any  $f \in K$ ,

$$\|f - 0\|_1 = \|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt \geq \left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right| = 1$$

Furthermore, if  $g \in K$  such that  $f > 0$ ,

$$\|g - 0\|_1 = \|g\|_1 = \int_0^1 |g(t)| dt = \int_0^1 g(t) dt = 1$$

There are infinitely many such  $g$ , so there are infinitely many points in  $K$  that minimize the distance to the origin.  $\square$

#### 4

Consider points  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the norm  $\|x\| = |x_1| + |x_2|$ . Let the subspace  $V$  be the  $x_1$  axis and define the linear functional  $\ell$  by  $\ell((x_1, 0)) = x_1$ .

a) Show that  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, 0), (y_1, 0) \in V$ . Then

$$\ell(c(x_1, 0) + d(y_1, 0)) = \ell((cx_1 + dy_1, 0)) = cx_1 + dy_1 = c\ell((x_1, 0)) + d\ell((y_1, 0))$$

for any  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\ell$  is certainly linear on  $V$ . Now, to see that  $\ell$  bounded, we need only note that, if  $x = (x_1, 0) \in V$

$$|\ell(x)| = |\ell((x_1, 0))| = |x_1| = |x_1| + 0 = \|x\|$$

so  $|\ell(x)| \leq \|x\|$ .  $\square$

b) Find *all* extensions of  $\ell$  to a continuous linear map on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Answer:** Consider functionals of the form  $F_c((x_1, x_2)) = x_1 + cx_2$  for  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ . Then, for  $x \in V$ ,

$$F_c(x) = F_c((x_1, 0)) = x_1 + c(0) = x_1 = \ell(x).$$

Furthermore, for any  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$|F_c(y)| = |F_c(y_1, y_2)| = |y_1 + cy_2| \leq |y_1| + c|y_2| \leq |y_1| + |y_2| = \|y\|.$$

Since,  $F_c(1, 0) = 1 = \|(1, 0)\|$ , we see that, in fact,  $\|F_c\| = \|\ell\|$ .



c) Construct a related example for  $L^1(0, 1)$ .

**Construction:** Let  $V = \{f \in L^1 : f(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1/2, 1]\}$  and define  $\ell'$  by

$$\ell'(f) = \int_0^{1/2} f(t) dt.$$

Then  $\ell'$  is certainly linear and bounded on  $V$ . To see this, suppose  $f, g \in V$ ,  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\ell'(cf+dg) = \int_0^{1/2} cf(t)+dg(t)dt = c \int_0^{1/2} f(t)dt + d \int_0^{1/2} g(t)dt = c\ell'(f)+d\ell'(g).$$

For boundedness, if  $f \in V$ ,

$$|\ell'(f)| = \left| \int_0^{1/2} f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^{1/2} |f(t)| dt = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt = \|f\|_1.$$

Now, any functional of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \int_0^{1/2} f(t) dt + c \int_{1/2}^1 f(t) dt$$

where  $0 \leq c \leq 1$  will be an extension of  $\ell'$  to all of  $L^1(0, 1)$ . Since there are infinitely many such, we see that the Hahn-Banach extension is far from unique.



Let  $\ell^1, \ell^\infty$  denote the Banach spaces of all complex sequences  $x = \{x_j\}$  with the usual norms  $\|x\|_1 = \sum |x_j| < \infty$  and  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup |x_j| < \infty$  and let  $c_0$  be the subspace of sequences in  $\ell^\infty$  that converge to zero.

a) If  $z \in \ell^1$  show that  $\ell(x) := \sum z_j x_j$  defines a bounded linear functional on  $c_0$  with  $\|\ell\| = \|z\|_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \ell^\infty$ . Then

$$|\ell(x)| = \left| \sum z_j x_j \right| \leq \sum |z_j x_j| \leq \sum |z_j| \|x\|_\infty = \left( \sum |z_j| \right) \|x\|_\infty = \|z\|_1 \|x\|_\infty,$$

so  $\ell$  is bounded. Furthermore, if  $x, y \in \ell^\infty$ ,  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\ell(cx + dy) = \sum z_j(cx_j + dy_j) = c \sum z_jx_j + d \sum z_jy_j = c\ell(x) + d\ell(y),$$

so  $\ell$  is linear. To see that  $\|\ell\| = \|z\|_1$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, since  $\sum |z_j|$  converges, we know there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $n > N$ ,

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |z_j| < \epsilon/2.$$

Let  $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $x_j = \bar{z}_j$  for  $j \leq N$ ,  $x_j = 0$  for  $j > N$ . Then  $x \in c_0$  and

$$|\ell(x) - \|z\|_1| = \left| \sum z_jx_j - \sum |z_j| \right| = \left| \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} |z_j| \right| < \epsilon/2.$$

Hence, we see that  $\|\ell\| = \|z\|_1$ .  $\square$

b) Every bounded linear functional on  $c_0$  is as above. In other words,  $\ell^1$  is the dual space of  $c_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on  $c_0$ . Denote by  $e_j$  the vector with a 1 in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  position and zeros everywhere else. Let  $x \in c_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell(x)| &= \left| \ell\left(\sum_0^{\infty} x_j e_j\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_0^{\infty} x_j \ell(e_j) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_0^{\infty} |x_j| |\ell(e_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_0^{\infty} \|x\|_{\infty} |\ell(e_j)| \\ &= \|x\|_{\infty} \sum_0^{\infty} |\ell(e_j)| \\ &= c \|x\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum |\ell(e_j)| = c < \infty$ , so  $\{\ell(e_j)\} \subseteq \ell^1$ . Hence, we see that the dual space  $c'_0 \subseteq \ell^1$ . Since we showed the reverse containment in part (a), we conclude that  $c'_0 = \ell^1$ .  $\square$

c) Show that  $\ell^\infty$  is the dual space of  $\ell^1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \ell^\infty$ . Define  $\ell(z) := \sum x_j z_j$ . Then, for  $y, z \in \ell^1$ ,  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\ell(cy + dz) = \sum x_j(cy_j + dz_j) = c \sum x_j y_j + d \sum x_j z_j = c\ell(y) + d\ell(z),$$

so  $\ell$  is linear on  $\ell^1$ . Furthermore, if  $z \in \ell^1$ ,

$$|\ell(z)| = \left| \sum x_j z_j \right| \leq \sum |x_j| |z_j| \leq \sum \|x\|_{\infty} |z_j| = \|x\|_{\infty} \left( \sum |z_j| \right) = \|x\|_{\infty} \|z\|_1,$$

so  $\ell$  is bounded. Furthermore, just as in part (a),  $\|\ell\| = \|x\|_{\infty}$ .

Now, if  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\ell^1$  and  $x \in \ell^1$ , then

$$|\ell(x)| = \left| \ell\left(\sum x_j e_j\right) \right| \leq \sum |x_j| |\ell(e_j)| \leq \|\ell\| \sum |x_j| = \|\ell\| \|x\|_1.$$

Since we've shown a correspondance between the linear functionals on  $\ell^1$  and the elements of  $\ell^\infty$ , we conclude that  $\ell^\infty$  is the dual space of  $\ell^1$ .  $\square$

d) Show that  $\ell^1$  is contained in the dual space  $(\ell^\infty)'$  of  $\ell^\infty$ , but is not all of  $(\ell^\infty)'$  since there are elements in  $(\ell^\infty)'$  that are zero on all of  $c_0$ .

6

a) With the positive linear functional  $\ell(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)dt$  on  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  show that the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x < 1\}$  is measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x < 1\}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define  $\Omega := (-\epsilon/2, 1)$ . Then

$$\Omega \Delta A = (\Omega \cup A) \setminus (\Omega \cap A) = (-\epsilon/2, 1) \setminus [0, 1) = (-\epsilon/2, 0).$$

Then

$$M^*(\Omega \Delta A) = \inf_{\Omega \Delta A \subset O, O \text{ open}} \text{Vol}(O) = \text{Vol}((-\epsilon/2, 0)) = \sup_{g \in C_c(\epsilon/2, 0)} (\ell(g))$$

where  $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ . Suppose  $f(x)$  is 1 on  $(-\epsilon/2, 0)$  and zero everywhere else. Then

$$M^*(\Omega \Delta A) = \sup_{g \in C_c(-\epsilon/2, 0)} (\ell(g)) \leq \ell(f) = \int_{-\epsilon/2}^0 1 dx = -\epsilon/2 < \epsilon.$$

However, this is just the definition of measurable, so we see that  $A$  is measurable.  $\square$

b) With the positive linear functional  $\ell(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) dx dy$ , on  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$  show that the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < y < 1\}$  is measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < y < 1\}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define

$$\Omega := [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

Then

$$\Omega \Delta B = ([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \cap ([0, 1] \times (0, 1)) = (0 \times (0, 1)) \cup (1 \times (0, 1)).$$

Now,

$$M^*(\Omega \Delta B) = \inf_{\Omega \Delta B \subset O} \text{Vol}(O)$$

where  $O$  is open. Now, consider the sequence of open sets  $O_n = ((-1/n, 1/n) \times (0, 1)) \cup ((1 - 1/n, 1 + 1/n) \times (0, 1))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(O_n) &= \sup_{g \in C_c(O_n), 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1} (\ell(g)) \leq \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} \int_0^1 1 dy dx + \int_{1-1/n}^{1+1/n} \int_0^1 1 dy dx \\ &= 2/n + 2/n = 4/n. \end{aligned}$$

Certainly, the sequence  $4/n \rightarrow 0$ , so we see that

$$M^*(\Omega \Delta B) \leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Vol}(O_n) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{n} = 0.$$

Since  $M^*(S) \geq 0$  for any set  $S$ , we conclude that

$$M^*(\Omega \Delta B) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $B$  is measurable,  $\square$

c) With the positive linear functional  $\ell(f) := f(0) + 2f(1)$  on  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  discuss which sets are measurable. Can you compute their measures?

**Answer:** Suppose  $S$  is a set containing neither 0 nor 1. Then we can construct an open set  $\Omega$  containing  $S$  such that  $\Omega$  contains neither 0 nor 1. If  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$ , then  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ , meaning  $\ell(f) = f(0) + 2f(1) = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(S) &= \inf_{S \subset O, O \text{ open}} \text{Vol}(O) \leq \text{Vol}(\Omega) \\ &= \sup_{g \in C_c(\Omega), 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1} (\ell(g)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S$  has measure 0.

On the other hand, if  $S'$  is a set containing 0 but not 1, then we can construct an open set  $\Omega'$  containing  $S'$  such that  $\Omega'$  does not contain 1. If  $f \in C_c(O)$  where  $O$  is an open set not containing 1, then  $f(1) = 0$ , so  $\ell(f) = f(0) + 2f(1) = f(0)$ . Furthermore, if  $O$  is an open set containing zero, then there exists a function  $h_O \in C_c(O)$  such that  $h_O(0) = 1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(S') &= \inf_{S' \subset O, O \text{ open}} \text{Vol}(O) \\ &\leq \inf_{S' \subset O, O \text{ open}} \left( \sup_{g \in C_c(O), 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1} (\ell(g)) \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{S' \subset O, O \text{ open}} (\ell(h_O)) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S'$  has measure 0.

A parallel argument demonstrates that if  $S''$  contains 1 but not 0, then the measure of  $S''$  is 2.

Finally, if  $S_1$  contains both 0 and 1, then

$$M^*(S_1) = \inf_{S_1 \subset O, O \text{ open}} \text{Vol}(O).$$

If  $Q$  is any open set containing  $S_1$ , there exists  $f_Q \in C_c(Q)$  such that  $f_Q(0) = f_Q(1) = 1$  and  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ . Hence,  $\ell(f_Q) = f_Q(0) + 2f_Q(1) = 3$ , so

$$\text{Vol}(Q) = \sup_{g \in C_c(Q), 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1} (\ell(g)) \geq 3.$$

If we let  $g_Q$  denote the function that is 1 on  $Q$  and zero outside, then it is also clear that

$$\text{Vol}(Q) = \sup_{g \in C_c(Q), 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1} (\ell(g)) \leq (\ell(g_Q)) = 3.$$

Therefore, for any open  $Q$  containing  $S_1$ ,  $\text{Vol}(Q) = 3$ . Therefore,

$$M^*(S_1) = \inf_{S_1 \subset O, O \text{ open}} \text{Vol}(O) = 3,$$

so  $S_1$  has measure 3.



## 7

In a Hilbert space  $H$ , a map  $U : H \rightarrow H$  is called *unitary* if

- (i).  $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y$  in  $H$ .
- (ii).  $U$  is onto.

Let  $Q := \{x \in H : Ux = x\} = \ker(I - U)$  of all points left fixed by  $U$ .

a) Show that in finite dimensions property (ii) is a consequence of property (i).

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be finite dimensional and let  $U$  be unitary. Suppose  $x, y \in H$  such that  $Ux = Uy$ . Then  $0 = Ux - Uy = U(x - y)$ , which is to say that

$$0 = \langle U(x - y), U(x - y) \rangle = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle \Rightarrow x - y = 0.$$

Hence,  $x = y$ , so  $U$  is injective. Since  $U : H \rightarrow H$  is injective, it must be surjective, since  $H$  is finite-dimensional.  $\square$

b) In the Hilbert space  $\ell^2$ , show that the right shift  $S : (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$  has property (i) but not property (ii).

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \ell^2$ . Then  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  for  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \bar{y}_i = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \bar{y}_i = \langle Sx, Sy \rangle,$$

so  $S$  fulfills property (i). However, There is no  $z \in \ell^2$  such that

$$Sz = (1, 0, 0, \dots),$$

so  $S$  is not unitary.  $\square$

c) View functions on the circle  $S^1$  as functions periodic with period  $2\pi$ . If  $H = L^2(S^1)$  and  $\alpha$  is real, show that the map  $L : f(x) \mapsto f(x + 2\pi\alpha)$  is unitary.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in L^2(S^1)$ . Then

$$L(f(x - 2\pi\alpha)) = f(x - 2\pi\alpha + 2\pi\alpha) = f(x),$$

so  $L$  is surjective.

Now, let  $f, g \in L^2(S^1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Lf, Lg \rangle &= \int_{S^1} (Lf)(Lg) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} f(x + 2\pi\alpha)g(x + 2\pi\alpha)dx \\ &= \int_{2\pi\alpha}^{2\pi+2\pi\alpha} f(u)g(u)du \\ &= \int_{S^1} (f)(g) \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we let  $u = x + 2\pi\alpha$ . Therefore,  $L$  is unitary.  $\square$

d) If  $\alpha$  is irrational, find the set  $Q$ .

**Answer:** If  $\alpha$  is irrational, then, if  $f \in Q$ ,

$$f(x) = Lf = L^m f = f(x + 2\pi m\alpha)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $Q \subseteq L^2(S^1)$ ,  $f$  must also be  $2\pi$ -periodic. Hence, either  $2\pi m\alpha = 2\pi$  for some integer  $m$  or  $2\pi\alpha = n2\pi$  for some integer  $n$ . Dividing by  $2\pi$  on both sides of each, we see that

$$m\alpha = 1 \text{ or } \alpha = n.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is irrational, neither of these is possible, so we conclude that  $Q$  is empty if  $\alpha$  is irrational. ♣

e) If  $\alpha$  is rational, find the set  $Q$ .

**Answer:** If  $\alpha$  is rational, then, again, if  $f \in Q$ ,

$$f(x) = Lf = L^m f = f(x + 2\pi m\alpha)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is rational,  $\alpha = p/q$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ . Also, if  $f \in Q$ ,  $f$  must be  $2\pi$ -periodic. Hence, the elements of  $Q$  will be precisely those functions which are  $\frac{2\pi}{nq}$ -periodic, where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ . ♣

f) If  $U$  is unitary, show that  $U^* = U^{-1}$  and hence that  $Ux = x$  if and only if  $U^*x = x$ . Consequently  $\ker(I - U) = \ker(I - U)^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in H$ . By definition,

$$\langle x, Uy \rangle = \langle U^*x, y \rangle = \langle UU^*x, Uy \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$0 = \langle x, Uy \rangle - \langle UU^*x, Uy \rangle = \langle x - UU^*x, Uy \rangle.$$

Since our choice of  $y$  was arbitrary, we see that it must be the case that  $x - UU^*x = 0$ , or

$$x = UU^*x \Rightarrow U^{-1}x = U^*x.$$

Since our choice of  $x$  was arbitrary, this means that  $U^{-1} = U^*$ .

Hence,  $Ux = x$  if and only if  $x = U^{-1}x = U^*x$ , and so  $\ker(I - U) = \ker(I - U)^*$ . □

g) Using  $(\text{Image } L)^\perp = \ker L^*$  for any bounded linear operator  $L$  in a Hilbert space, conclude that  $H = \ker(I - U) \oplus \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ .

*Proof.* From problem 1 above, we know that

$$\overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}^\perp = (\text{Image}(I - U))^\perp = \ker(I - U)^* = \ker(I - U),$$

where we use the given fact to get the second equality and part (g) to get the third. Now, since we are in a Hilbert space,

$$(\ker(I - U))^\perp = (\overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}^\perp)^\perp = \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}.$$

Applying this result to the conclusion proved in problem 5 of last week's homework, we see that

$$H = \ker(I - U) \oplus (\ker(I - U))^\perp = \ker(I - U) \oplus \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}.$$

□

## 8. (CONTINUATION)

von Neumann's *mean ergodic theorem* states that for any  $f \in H$ , one has

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n x = Px$$

where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection onto the set  $Q := \{x \in H : Ux = x\} = \ker(I - U)$ . Equation (1) is clearly true for all  $x$  in  $Q = \ker(I - U)$ .

a) Prove that for all  $z \in \text{Image}(I - U)$ , that is  $z = (I - U)x$  for some  $x \in H$ , then

$$(2) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{N} (x - U^N x) \right\| \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* We see immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z &= \sum_0^{N-1} U^n (I - U)x \\ &= \sum_0^{N-1} U^n x - U^{n+1} x \\ &= (x - Ux) + (Ux - U^2 x) + \dots + (U^{N-1} x - U^N x) \\ &= x - U^N x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{N} (x - U^N x) \right\|.$$

□

b) Conclude that (2) holds for all  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ .

*Proof.* First, note that, for all  $x \in H$ ,

$$\|Ux\|^2 = \langle Ux, Ux \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2,$$

so  $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$ .

Now, let  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_j\} \in \text{Image}(I - U)$  such that  $z_j \rightarrow z$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $k > M$ ,

$$\|z - z_k\| < \epsilon/2.$$

Also, from part (a), we know that for all  $k$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $n > N$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m z_k \right\| < \epsilon/2.$$

Let  $k > M$ ,  $n > N$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m z \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m(z - z_k) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m z_k \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m(z - z_k) \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} z_k \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} \|U^m(z - z_k)\| + \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} U^m z_k \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} \|z - z_k\| + \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} z_k \right\| \\
&= \|z - z_k\| + \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} z_k \right\| \\
&< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \\
&= \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z \right\| \rightarrow 0.$$

□

c) Use the last part of the previous problem to show that  $Pz = 0$  if and only if  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ . Thus (2) proves (1) for all  $x$  that satisfy  $Px = 0$  and thus for all  $x$  in the Hilbert space.

*Proof.* Certainly, as we've shown in (b) above, if  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z = 0.$$

Furthermore, as we saw in 7(g), if  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ , then  $z \in (\ker(I - U))^{\perp}$ , meaning  $Pz = 0$ . Hence,

$$z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}.$$

On the other hand, if  $Pz = 0$ , then  $z \in (\ker(I - U))^{\perp}$  and, therefore, by 7(g),  $z \in \overline{\text{Image}(I - U)}$ . By (b), then,

$$Pz = 0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_0^{N-1} U^n z.$$

□

## 9

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set and  $a(x) > 0$  and  $f(x)$  be smooth functions periodic with period  $2\pi$ . Let  $H^1(S^1)$  be the completion of smooth  $2\pi$  periodic functions on the circle  $S^1$  in the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{H^1}^2 := \int_{S^1} (|\phi'|^2 + \phi^2) dx.$$

Call  $u \in H^1(S^1)$  a *weak solution* of  $-u'' + a(x)u = f$  on  $S^1$  if

$$\int_{S^1} [u'v' + a(x)uv]dx = \int_{S^1} fvdx \quad \text{for all } v \in H^1(S^1).$$

a) (uniqueness) Show that the equation  $-u'' + a(x)u = f$  on  $S^1$  has at most one weak solution.

*Proof.* Suppose there exist two weak solutions,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{S^1} fvdx - \int_{S^1} fvdx = \int_{S^1} [u_1'v' + a(x)u_1v]dx - \int_{S^1} [u_2'v' + a(x)u_2v]dx \\ &= \int_{S^1} [(u_1' - u_2')v' + a(x)(u_1 - u_2)v]dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in H^1(S^1)$ . Particularly, when  $v = u_1 - u_2$ ,  $v' = u_1' - u_2'$ , so

$$\int_{S^1} [(u_1' - u_2')^2 + a(x)(u_1 - u_2)]dx = 0.$$

Since  $a(x) > 0$ , this means that  $u_1 - u_2 = 0$ , or  $u_1 = u_2$ , so any weak solution must be unique.  $\square$

b) (existence) Show that the equation  $-u'' + a(x)u = f$  on  $S^1$  has a solution.

*Proof.* Define the norm  $\|\cdot\|_H^2$  such that, for  $v \in H^1(S^1)$ ,

$$\|v\|_H^2 = \int_{S^1} [(v')^2 + a(x)v^2]dx.$$

Define the functional  $\ell(v) := \int_{S^1} fvd$ . Then  $\ell$  is certainly linear and

$$|\ell(v)| = \left| \int_{S^1} fv \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq c \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_H^2$$

by the Holder inequality and since this norm is equivalent to the  $L^2$  norm, so  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on the Hilbert space. Hence, by the Riesz-Frechet Representation Theorem, there exists  $u \in H^1(S^1)$  such that  $\ell(v) = \langle v, u \rangle$ . Therefore

$$\int_{S^1} fv = \ell(v) = \langle v, u \rangle = \int_{S^1} [v'u' + a(x)vu]dx,$$

so  $u$  is a weak solution.  $\square$

c) Show that if  $b(x)$  is a smooth function on  $S^1$  with  $|b(x)|$  sufficiently small, then the equation  $-u'' + b(x)u' + a(x)u = f$  on  $S^1$  has exactly one weak solution.

*Proof.* A weak solution  $u$  to this equation must satisfy

$$\int_{S^1} [u'v' - bv'u + a(x)vu]dx = \int_{S^1} fvd$$

for all  $v \in H^1(S^1)$ .

To show uniqueness of weak solutions, suppose  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are weak solutions. Then, if we let  $w = u_1 - u_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{S^1} f v - \int_{S^1} f v = \int_{S^1} [u_1' v' - b(x) v' u_1 + a(x) v u_1] dx \\ &\quad - \int_{S^1} [u_2' v' - b(x) v' u_2 + a(x) v u_2] dx \\ &= \int_{S^1} [w' v' - b(x) v' w + a(x) v w] dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v$ . Particularly, when  $v = w$

$$0 = \int_{S^1} [(w')^2 - b(x) w' w + a(x) w^2] dx.$$

If we integrate the second term by parts, we will get

$$0 = \int_{S^1} [(w')^2 B(x) (w')^2 + a(x) w'] dx = \int_{S^1} [(1 + B(x)) (w')^2 + a(x) w^2] dx$$

where  $B(x) = \int_0^x b(t) dt$ . Now, if  $|b(x)|$  is sufficiently small for all  $x \in S^1$ , then  $|B(x)| > -1$ , which in turn implies that  $(1 + B(x)) > 0$ . Since  $a(x) > 0$ , all terms in the above integral are nonnegative, so we conclude that  $w = 0$ , meaning  $u_1 = u_2$ . Therefore, any weak solution to this equation is unique.

To prove existence, we now need to define another new norm,  $\|\cdot\|_{H'}$ , where, for  $v \in H^1(S^1)$ ,

$$\|v\|_{H'}^2 = \int_{S^1} [(v')^2 - b(x) v' v + a(x) v^2] dx.$$

Let  $\ell(v) = \int_{S^1} f v$ . Then, again,  $\ell$  is linear and, using Holder,

$$|\ell(v)| = \left| \int_{S^1} f v \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq c \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H'}^2$$

since this norm is equivalent to the  $L^2$  norm. So  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on the Hilbert space. Now, in order to construct a weak solution  $u$ , we need to introduce a bilinear form  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ , where, for  $v, w \in H^1(S^1)$ ,

$$B(v, w) = \int_{S^1} [v' w' - b(x) v' w + a(x) v w] dx.$$

Now, we need to check the following:

- (i)  $B$  is bounded
- (ii) There exists  $b > 0$  such that  $|B(y, y)| \geq b \|y\|^2$  for all  $y \in H^1(S^1)$ .

To see (i), let  $v, w \in H^1(S^1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |B(v, w)| &= \left| \int_{S^1} [v' w' - b(x) v' w + a(x) v w] dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{S^1} v' w' dx \right| + \left| \int_{S^1} b(x) v' w dx \right| + \left| \int_{S^1} a(x) v w dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{S^1} a(x) v w dx \right| \\ &\leq \|a v\|_{L^2} \|w\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq c \|v\|_{H'}^2 \|w\|_{H'}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (ii), we merely note that

$$|B(v, v)| = \left| \int_{S^1} [(v')^2 - b(x) v' v + a(x) v^2] dx \right| = |\langle v, v \rangle| = \|v\|^2,$$

so  $|B(v, v)| \geq \|v\|^2$  for all  $v$ . Therefore, by the Lax-Milgram Lemma, there exists  $u$  such that  $\ell(v) = B(v, u)$ . This means that

$$\int_{S^1} f v = \ell(v) = B(v, u) = \int_{S^1} [v' u' - b(x) v' u + a(x) v u] dx,$$

meaning  $u$  is a weak solution of the given equation.  $\square$

DRL 3E3A, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
*E-mail address:* `shonkwil@math.upenn.edu`