

GEOMETRY HW 4

CLAY SHONKWILER

3.3.5

Consider the parametrized surface (Enneper's surface)

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(x - \frac{u^3}{3} + uv^2, v - \frac{v^3}{3} + vu^2, u^2 - v^2 \right)$$

and show that

(a) The coefficients of the first fundamental form are

$$E = G = (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2, \quad F = 0.$$

Answer: We know that $E = \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle$, $F = \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle$ and $G = \langle \Phi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle$, so we need to calculate Φ_1 and Φ_2 . Now,

$$\Phi_1 = (1 - u^2 + v^2, 2uv, 2u)$$

and

$$\Phi_2 = (2uv, 1 - v^2 + u^2, -2v).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E = \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle &= (1 - u^2 + v^2)^2 + 4u^2v^2 + 4u^2 \\ &= 1 + 2u^2 + 2v^2 + u^4 + v^4 + 2u^2v^2 \\ &= (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F = \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle &= 2uv(1 - u^2 + v^2) + 2uv(1 - v^2 + u^2) - 4uv \\ &= 2uv - 2u^3v + 2uv^3 + 2uv - 2uv^3 + 2u^3v - 4uv \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G = \langle \Phi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle &= 4u^2v^2 + (1 - v^2 + u^2)^2 + 4v^2 \\ &= 1 + 2u^2 + 2v^2 + u^4 + v^4 + 2u^2v^2 \\ &= (1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$



(b) The coefficients of the second fundamental form are

$$e = 2, \quad g = -2, \quad f = 0.$$

Answer: Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2}{\|\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2\|} = \frac{\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2}{\sqrt{EG - F^2}} \\ &= \frac{(-2uv^2 - 2u - 2u^3, 2u^2v + 2v + 2v^3, 1 - 2u^2v^2 - u^4 - v^4)}{\sqrt{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^4}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= \left\langle N, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u^2} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \langle (-2uv^2 - 2u - 2u^3, 2u^2v + 2v + 2v^3, 1 - 2u^2v^2 - u^4 - v^4), \\
&\quad (-2u, 2v, 2) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} 2(1 + 2u^2 + 2v^2 + u^4 + v^4 + 2u^2v^2) \\
&= 2 \frac{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \\
&= 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \left\langle N, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u \partial v} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \langle (-2uv^2 - 2u - 2u^3, 2u^2v + 2v + 2v^3, \\
&\quad 1 - 2u^2v^2 - u^4 - v^4), (2v, 2u, 0) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} 2(-2uv^3 - 2uv + 2u^3v - 2u^3v + 2uv + 2uv^3) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} (0) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= \left\langle N, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial v^2} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \langle (-2uv^2 - 2u - 2u^3, 2u^2v + 2v + 2v^3, \\
&\quad 1 - 2u^2v^2 - u^4 - v^4), (2u, -2v, -2) \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \langle (-2uv^2 - 2u - 2u^3, 2u^2v + 2v + 2v^3, \\
&\quad 1 - 2u^2v^2 - u^4 - v^4), (2u, -2v, -2) \rangle \\
&= -e \\
&= -2.
\end{aligned}$$

That is to say,

$$e = 2, \quad g = -2, \quad f = 0.$$



(c) The principal curvatures are

$$k_1 = \frac{2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{-2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}.$$

Answer: Now,

$$k_1 = -a_{11} = \frac{-fF + eG}{EG - F^2}, \quad k_2 = -a_{22} = \frac{-fF + gE}{EG - F^2}.$$

Since $f = F = 0$,

$$k_1 = \frac{eG}{EG} = \frac{e}{E} \quad k_2 = \frac{gE}{EG} = \frac{g}{G}.$$

Hence,

$$k_1 = \frac{2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2} \quad k_2 = \frac{-2}{(1+u^2+v^2)^2}.$$



(d) The lines of curvature are the coordinate curves.

Proof. As given on pg. 161, the differential equation giving the lines of curvature is

$$\begin{vmatrix} (\alpha'_1)^2 & -\alpha'_1\alpha'_2 & (\alpha'_2)^2 \\ E & F & G \\ e & f & g \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

where $\alpha(t) = \phi(\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t))$ is a regular curve. Now, recalling that $F = f = 0$, this reduces to the equation

$$0 = -\alpha'_1\alpha'_2(Eg - eG) = -\alpha'_1\alpha'_2(-2(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 - 2(1 + u^2 + v^2)).$$

Since $-4(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 \neq 0$, it follows that either $\alpha'_1 = 0$ or $\alpha'_2 = 0$. However, this occurs precisely when α is a coordinate curve, so we see that the lines of curvature are the coordinate curves. \square

(e) The asymptotic curves are $u + v = \text{const.}$, $u - v = \text{const.}$

Proof. From pg. 160, we see that a connected regular curve C is an asymptotic curve if and only if, for any parametrization $\alpha(t) = \phi(\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t))$,

$$e(\alpha'_1)^2 + f\alpha'_1\alpha'_2 + g(\alpha'_2)^2 = 0.$$

Now, plugging in our values for e , f and g , we see that this reduces to the condition that

$$2(\alpha'_1)^2 - 2(\alpha'_2)^2 = 0.$$

Reducing, we see that

$$(u')^2 = (v')^2,$$

which is to say that

$$u' = \pm v'.$$

Integrating both sides of the above, we see that,

$$u = \pm v + C.$$

In other words,

$$u \mp v = C.$$

Hence, curves of this form are precisely the asymptotic curves. \square

3.3.7

$\phi(u, v) = (\gamma(v) \cos u, \gamma(v) \sin u, \psi(v))$ is given as a surface of revolution with constant Gaussian curvature K . To determine the functions γ and ψ , choose the parameter v in such a way that $(\gamma')^2 + (\psi')^2 = 1$. Show that

(a) γ satisfies $\gamma'' + K\gamma = 0$ and ψ is given by $\psi = \int \sqrt{1 - (\gamma')^2} dv$; thus, $0 < u < 2\pi$, and the domain of v is such that the last integral makes sense.

Proof. We start by computing Φ_1, Φ_2 :

$$\Phi_1 = (-\gamma(v) \sin u, \gamma(v) \cos u, 0), \quad \Phi_2 = (\gamma'(v) \cos u, \gamma'(v) \sin u, \psi'(v)).$$

From this, then, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle = (\gamma(v))^2(\sin^2 u + \cos^2 u) = (\gamma(v))^2, \\ F &= \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = -\gamma(v)\gamma'(v) \sin u \cos u + \gamma(v)\gamma'(v) \sin u \cos u = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G = \langle \Phi_2, \Phi_2 \rangle = (\gamma'(v))^2(\sin^2 u + \cos^2 u) + (\psi'(v))^2 = (\gamma'(v))^2 + (\psi'(v))^2 = 1.$$

Recall that

$$e = 1/M(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u^2})$$

$$f = 1/M(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u \partial v})$$

and

$$g = 1/M(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial v^2})$$

where $M = \sqrt{EG - F^2}$ and (a, b, c) denotes the determinant of the matrix with columns given by a , b and c . Now,

$$\sqrt{EG - F^2} = \sqrt{(\gamma(v))^2} = \phi(v).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} \begin{vmatrix} -\gamma \sin u & \gamma' \cos u & -\gamma \cos u \\ \gamma \cos u & \gamma' \sin u & -\gamma \sin u \\ 0 & \psi' & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} (-\psi'(\gamma^2 \sin^2 u + \gamma^2 \cos^2 u)) \\ &= \frac{-\gamma^2 \psi'}{\gamma} \\ &= -\gamma \psi'. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} \begin{vmatrix} -\gamma \sin u & \gamma' \cos u & -\gamma' \sin u \\ \gamma \cos u & \gamma' \sin u & \gamma' \cos u \\ 0 & \psi' & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} (-\psi'(-\gamma \gamma' \sin u \cos u + \gamma \gamma' \sin u \cos u)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} \begin{vmatrix} -\gamma \sin u & \gamma' \cos u & \gamma'' \cos u \\ \gamma \cos u & \gamma' \sin u & \gamma'' \sin u \\ 0 & \psi' & \psi'' \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} [-\psi'(-\gamma \gamma'' \sin^2 u + \gamma \gamma'' \cos^2 u) + \psi''(-\gamma \gamma' \sin^2 u - \gamma \gamma' \cos^2 u)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} (\psi \gamma \gamma'' - \psi'' \gamma \gamma') \\ &= \psi \gamma'' - \psi'' \gamma'. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we differentiate both sides of the equation $(\gamma')^2 + (\psi')^2 = 1$, we see that $\gamma'\gamma'' = -\psi'\psi''$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{eg-f^2}{EG-F^2} \\ &= \frac{-\gamma\psi'(\psi'\gamma'' - \psi''\gamma')}{-\psi'(\psi'\gamma'' - \psi''\gamma')} \\ &= \frac{-(\psi')^2\gamma'' + \psi'\psi''\gamma'}{-(\psi')^2\gamma'' + (\gamma')^2\gamma''} \\ &= -\frac{\gamma''}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging, we see that γ satisfies

$$\gamma'' + k\gamma = 0.$$

Now, on the other hand, since $(\gamma')^2 + (\psi')^2 = 1$, we can re-arrange to see that

$$\psi' = \sqrt{1 - (\gamma')^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\psi = \int \sqrt{1 - (\gamma')^2} dv,$$

where v is such that this integral makes sense. □

(b) All surfaces of revolution with constant curvature $K = 1$ which intersect perpendicularly the plane xOy are given by

$$\gamma(v) = C \cos v, \quad \psi(v) = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - C^2 \sin^2 v} dv,$$

where C is a constant. Determine the domain of v and draw a rough sketch of the profile of the surface in the xz plane for the cases $C = 1$, $C > 1$, $C < 1$. Observe that $C = 1$ gives the sphere.

Proof. Recall that in part (a) we showed that γ satisfies the equation $\gamma'' + K\gamma = 0$. Plugging in $K = 1$, we see that

$$\gamma'' + \gamma = 0.$$

This has characteristic equation $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$, meaning the solutions are $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{-1}$. Hence,

$$\gamma(v) = C_1 e^{0v} \cos v + C_2 e^{0v} \sin v = C_1 \cos v + C_2 \sin v.$$

After translating the curve, we may assume that at $v = 0$ the curve $(\gamma(v), \psi(v))$ passes through $(C, 0)$ and $(\gamma'(0), \psi'(0)) = (0, z)$. Then

$$0 = \gamma'(0) = -C_1 \sin(0) + C_2 \cos(0) = C_2.$$

Hence, $\gamma(v) = C_1 \cos v$.

Now, using our formula for ψ given in part (a) and plugging in the above for γ , we see that

$$\psi(v) = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - (\gamma')^2} dv = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - C^2 \sin^2 v} dv.$$

where $v \in [-\sin^{-1}(1/C), \sin^{-1}(1/C)]$. □

(c) All surfaces of revolution with constant curvature $K = -1$ may be given by one of the following types:

1. $\gamma(v) = C \cosh v, \quad \psi(v) = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - C^2 \sinh^2} v dv.$
2. $\gamma(v) = C \sinh v, \quad \psi(v) = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - C^2 \cosh^2} v dv.$
3. $\gamma(v) = e^v, \quad \psi(v) = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - e^{2v}} dv.$

Determine the domain of v and draw a rough sketch of the profile of the surface in the xz plane.

Proof. Plugging the value $K = -1$ into the expression derived in (a), we see that

$$\gamma'' - \gamma = 0.$$

The characteristic equation, then, is $\lambda^2 - 1 = 0$, so the solution of the differential equation is

$$\gamma(v) = C_1 e^v + C_2 e^{-v}$$

since $\lambda = \pm 1$ is the solution of the characteristic equation. Since there are no initial conditions given, we cannot necessarily reduce γ to one of the above three forms. If we had initial conditions that dictated that $C_1 = \pm C_2$ or $C_1 = 0$ or $C_2 = 0$, then we could clearly reduce to one of the above three cases. □

(d) The surface of type 3 in part (c) is the pseudosphere of Exercise 6.

Proof. Now, the coordinates of a point $p \in S$ are given by

$$(e^v \cos u, e^v \sin u, \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - e^{2v}} dv).$$

Now, the pseudosphere is given by taking a curve in the xz -plane such that the length of the tractrix is equal to 1 at every point on the curve, and then rotating this curve about the z -axis. So we first demonstrate that the γ and ψ in 3 agree with such a curve in the xz -plane. To that end, let $y = 0$. Since $y = e^v \sin u$, this implies that $u = 0$. Hence, a point a in the plane that lies on this surface is given by

$$(e^v, 0, \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - e^{2v}} dv).$$

We let $x = e^v$, making $z = \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - x^2} dv = -\int_v^0 \sqrt{1 - x^2}$. Since $v \leq 0$ (and so $0 < x \leq 1$). Now, differentiating, we see that the tangent to the curve is given by

$$(e^v, 0, -\sqrt{1 - e^{2v}}) = (x, 0, -\sqrt{1 - x^2}).$$

This tangent, then, clearly has length

$$\sqrt{x^2 + (-\sqrt{1 - x^2})^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + 1 - x^2} = 1.$$

Therefore, the surface agrees with the pseudosphere on the xz -plane.

Now, if we fix v and let u vary, then we see that the coordinates of points on the surface are given by

$$(e^v \cos u, e^v \sin u, \int_0^v \sqrt{1 - e^{2v}}).$$

Hence, this describes a circle of radius e^v , so we see that our surface is just the surface of revolution of the xz -plane curve we described above. However, this is precisely the pseudosphere. \square

(e) The only surface of revolution with $K \equiv 0$ are the right circular cylinder, the right circular cone, and the plane.

Proof. If $K \equiv 0$, then, using the differential equation established in (a), then

$$\gamma'' = 0.$$

The only possibilities for γ , then, are that γ is a line, a constant not equal to zero, or zero. If γ is a line, then we see that the coordinates give a right circular cone, with its peak at the point where γ intersects the axis. On the other hand, if γ is a nonzero constant, then the coordinates give a right circular cylinder. Finally, if $\gamma \equiv 0$, then the surface is completely determined by z , which is itself constant, so the surface is simply a plane. \square

3.3.22

Let $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on a surface S , and let $p \in S$ be a critical point of h (i.e., $dh_p = 0$). Let $w \in T_p S$ and let

$$\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow S$$

be a parametrized curve with $\alpha(0) = p$, $\alpha'(0) = w$. Set

$$H_p h(w) = \frac{d^2(h \circ \alpha)}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0}.$$

(a) Let $\phi : U \rightarrow S$ be a parametrization of S at p , and show that

$$H_p h(u' \Phi_1 + v' \Phi_2) = h_{uu}(p)(u')^2 + 2h_{uv}(p)u'v' + h_{vv}(p)(v')^2.$$

Conclude that $H_p h : T_p S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a well-defined quadratic form on $T_p S$. $H_p h$ is called the *Hessian* of h at p .

Proof. First, we note that

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$d(\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha)_t = \begin{pmatrix} u'(t) \\ v'(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note, furthermore, that

$$\begin{aligned}
dh_p d\phi_q &= \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} \right) \\
&= (h_u, h_v).
\end{aligned}$$

Since p is a critical point, recall that $dh_p = 0$ and so $h_u = h_v = 0$ when evaluated at the values associated with p . Putting all of this together, and recalling that $w = u'\Phi_1 + v'\Phi_2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_p h(u'\Phi_1 + v'\Phi_2) &= \frac{d^2(h \circ \alpha)}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (h \circ \alpha) \\
&= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} ((h \circ \phi) \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} (dh_p d\phi_q d(\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha)) \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left[(h_u, h_v) \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} (h_u u' + h_v v') \\
&= \frac{dh_u}{dt} u' + h_u u'' + \frac{dh_v}{dt} v' + h_v v'' \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial h_u}{\partial u} \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{\partial h_u}{\partial v} \frac{dv}{dt} \right) u' + h_u u'' + \left(\frac{\partial h_v}{\partial u} \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{\partial h_v}{\partial v} \frac{dv}{dt} \right) v' + h_v v'' \\
&= (h_{uu} u' + h_{uv} v') u' + h_u u'' + (h_{vu} u' + h_{vv} v') v' + h_v v'' \\
&= h_{uu} (u')^2 + 2h_{uv} u' v' + h_{vv} (v')^2 + h_u u'' + h_v v'' \\
&= h_{uu} (u')^2 + 2h_{uv} u' v' + h_{vv} (v')^2 + 0u'' + 0v'' \\
&= h_{uu} (u')^2 + 2h_{uv} u' v' + h_{vv} (v')^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $H_p h : T_p S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not depend on the choice of α , we see that $H_p h$ is indeed a well-defined quadratic form. \square

(b) Let $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the height function of S relative to $T_p S$; that is, $h(q) = \langle q - p, N(p) \rangle$, $q \in S$. Verify that p is a critical point of h and thus that the Hessian $H_p h$ is well defined. Show that if $w \in T_p S$, $|w| = 1$, then

$$H_p h(w) = \text{normal curvature at } p \text{ in the direction of } w.$$

Conclude that the Hessian at p of the height function relative to $T_p S$ is the second fundamental form of S at p .

Proof. Denote $q := \phi(u, v)$. Then

$$h(u, v) = \langle \phi(u, v) - p, N(p) \rangle = \langle \phi(u, v), N(p) \rangle - \langle p, N(p) \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial u} = \langle \Phi_1, N(p) \rangle + 0 = \langle \Phi_1, N(p) \rangle$$

and

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial v} = \langle \Phi_2, N(p) \rangle + 0 = \langle \Phi_2, N(p) \rangle.$$

However, since $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in T_p S$,

$$\langle \Phi_1, N(p) \rangle = \langle \Phi_2, N(p) \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $dh_p = 0$, so we see that p is indeed a critical point of h .

Now,

$$h_{uu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, N(p) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u^2}, N(p) \right\rangle = e,$$

$$h_{uv} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, N(p) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u \partial v}, N(p) \right\rangle = f,$$

and

$$h_{vv} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v}, N(p) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial v^2}, N(p) \right\rangle = g.$$

Hence, by the work we did in (a) above,

$$H_p h(w) = e(u')^2 + 2f u' v' + g(v')^2 = II_p(w).$$

Now, since the normal curvature at p in the direction of a unit vector v is simply $II_p(v)$, we see that, in fact

$$H_p h(w) = \text{normal curvature at } p \text{ in the direction of } w.$$

□

3.3.23

A critical point $p \in S$ of a differentiable function $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *nondegenerate* if the self-adjoint linear map $A_p h$ associated to the quadratic form $H_p h$ is nonsingular. Otherwise, p is a *degenerate* critical point. A differentiable function on S is a *Morse function* if all its critical points are nondegenerate. Let $h_r : S \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the distance function from S to r ; i.e.,

$$h_r(q) = \sqrt{\langle q - r, q - r \rangle}, \quad q \in S, r \in \mathbb{R}^3, r \notin S.$$

(a) Show that $p \in S$ is a critical point of h_r if and only if the straight line pr is normal to S at p .

Proof. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a curve on S with $\alpha(0) = p$, $\alpha'(0) = w$. Then p is a critical point if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = dh_r(w) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\langle \alpha(0) - r, \alpha(0) - r \rangle^{1/2})|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\langle \alpha(0) - r, \alpha(0) - r \rangle^{1/2}} \langle \alpha'(0), \alpha(0) - r \rangle \langle \alpha(0) - r, \alpha'(0) \rangle \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\langle p - r, p - r \rangle^{1/2}} \langle w, p - r \rangle \langle p - r, w \rangle \\ &= \frac{-2\langle w, p - r \rangle}{2\langle p - r, p - r \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{-\langle w, p - r \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle p - r, p - r \rangle}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that it must be the case that $\langle w, p - r \rangle = 0$. Since this implies that the vector on the line joining p and r is orthogonal to w . Since $w \in T_p(S)$ and our choice of α was arbitrary, we see that the line joining p and r is normal to S at p if and only if p is a critical point of h_r . □

(b) Let p be a critical point of $h_r : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $w \in T_p S$, $|w| = 1$, and let $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow S$ be a curve parametrized by arc length with $\alpha(0) = p$, $\alpha'(0) = w$. Prove that

$$H_p h_r(w) = \frac{1}{h_r(p)} - k_n,$$

where k_n is the normal curvature at p along the direction of w . Conclude that the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, where e_1 and e_2 are along the principal directions of $T_p S$, diagonalizes the self-adjoint linear map $A_p h_r$. Conclude further that p is a degenerate critical point of h_r if and only if either $h_r(p) = 1/k_1$ or $h_r(p) = 1/k_2$, where k_1 and k_2 are the principal curvatures at p .

Proof. Let ϕ be a coordinate chart at the point p . Then

$$h_r(q) = \sqrt{\langle q - r, q - r \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle \phi(u, v) - r, \phi(u, v) - r \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle \phi, \phi \rangle - 2\langle \phi, r \rangle + \langle r, r \rangle}$$

If we let

$$l(u, v) = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle - 2\langle \phi, r \rangle + \langle r, r \rangle,$$

then

$$h_r = \sqrt{l},$$

$$l_u = 2\langle \phi_u, \phi \rangle - 2\langle \phi_u, r \rangle = \langle \phi_u, \phi - r \rangle$$

and

$$l_v = 2\langle \phi_v, \phi \rangle - 2\langle \phi_v, r \rangle = \langle \phi_v, \phi - r \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$l_{uu} = 2\langle \phi_{uu}, \phi - r \rangle + 2\langle \phi_u, \phi_u \rangle,$$

$$l_{vv} = 2\langle \phi_{vv}, \phi - r \rangle + 2\langle \phi_v, \phi_v \rangle,$$

and

$$l_{uv} = 2\langle \phi_{uv}, \phi \rangle + 2\langle \phi_u, \phi_v \rangle.$$

Hence, since p is a critical point,

$$0 = h_{r_u} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_u$$

and

$$0 = h_{r_v} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_v.$$

Therefore,

$$h_{r_{uu}} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uu} - \frac{1}{4}l^{-3/2}(l_u)^2 = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uu} - \frac{l_u}{4l}h_{r_u} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uu},$$

$$h_{r_{vv}} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{vv} - \frac{1}{4}l^{-3/2}(l_v)^2 = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{vv} - \frac{l_v}{4l}h_{r_v} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{vv}$$

and

$$h_{r_{uv}} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uv} - \frac{1}{4}l^{-3/2}l_u l_v = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uv} - \frac{l_v}{4l}h_{r_u} = \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uv}.$$

Now, since p is a critical point, $p - r$ is normal to the surface at p , and so is equal to $h_r(p)N_p$, since $h_r(p)$ is defined to be the length of $p - r$. Also,

notice that $I_p(w) = 1$ since $|w| = 1$. Hence, by what we showed in 22(a) above,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_p h_r(w) &= h_{r_{uu}}(u')^2 + 2h_{r_{uv}}u'v' + h_{r_{vv}}(v')^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{uu}(u')^2 + l^{-1/2}l_{uv}u'v' + \frac{1}{2}l^{-1/2}l_{vv}(v')^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r(p)}\left(\frac{1}{2}l_{uu}(u')^2 + l_{uv}u'v' + \frac{1}{2}l_{vv}(v')^2\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r(p)}\left(\langle\phi_{uu}, p-r\rangle + \langle\phi_u, \phi_u\rangle\right)(u')^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\left(\langle\phi_{uv}, p-r\rangle + \langle\phi_u, \phi_v\rangle\right)u'v' + \left(\langle\phi_{vv}, p-r\rangle + \langle\phi_v, \phi_v\rangle\right)(v')^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r(p)}\left((ke+E)(u')^2 + (kf+F)u'v' + (kg+G)(v')^2\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r(p)}(I_p(w) - h_r(p)II_p(w)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r(p)} - II_p(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need only recall that, since w is a unit vector, $II_p(w) = k_n$. Plugging this into the above equation, we see that

$$H_p h_r(w) = \frac{1}{h_r(p)} - k_n.$$

Since $h_r(p)$ is fixed in this context, we see that the value of the quadratic form $H_p h_r$ depends solely on the value of k_n . Now, k_1 and k_2 are the maximum and minimum values, respectively, of $k_n = II_p(w)$. With these values are associated the unit vectors e_1 and e_2 , respectively, which are mutually orthogonal. Now, it is clear that e_1 minimizes $H_p h_r$ and e_2 maximizes $H_p h_r$. Hence, e_1 and e_2 are the eigenvectors of the associated self-adjoint linear map $A_p h_r$. Since $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal set, these vectors diagonalize the map $A_p h_r$.

Finally, p is a degenerate critical point of h_r if and only if $A_p h_r$ is singular; $A_p h_r$ is singular if and only if $H_p h_r(e_1) = 0$ or $H_p h_r(e_2) = 0$. Now, solving for $h_r(p)$, we see that

$$0 = H_p h_r(e_1) = \frac{1}{h_r(p)} - k_1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_r(p) = \frac{1}{k_1}$$

and

$$0 = H_p h_r(e_2) = \frac{1}{h_r(p)} - k_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_r(p) = \frac{1}{k_2}.$$

Therefore, p is a degenerate critical point if and only if $h_r(p) = \frac{1}{k_1}$ or $h_r(p) = \frac{1}{k_2}$. \square

(c) Show that the set

$$B = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^3 : h_r \text{ is a Morse function}\}$$

is an open and dense set in \mathbb{R}^3 ; here dense in \mathbb{R}^3 means that in each neighborhood of a given point of \mathbb{R}^3 there exists a point of B .

Proof. A point $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is associated with a non-Morse function h_a if and only if $h_a(p) = \frac{1}{k_1}$ or $h_a(p) = \frac{1}{k_2}$ for some $p \in S$ such that the line from p to a is parallel to N_p . Hence, if we attach line segments of length $\frac{1}{k_1}$ and $\frac{1}{k_2}$ parallel to N_p and $-N_p$ to each $p \in S$, then the endpoints of these line

segments will comprise the entirety of the set $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B$. We want to show that, for any point $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and any ϵ -ball $B_\epsilon(q)$ centered at q , there is a point $r \in B_\epsilon(q)$ such that $r \in B$; that is, r is not an endpoint of one of these line segments.

Now, suppose B is not dense in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there exists a $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the open ϵ -ball $B_\epsilon(q)$ centered at q is contained in the complement of B . That is to say, every point in $B_\epsilon(q)$ is the endpoint of one of the line-segments constructed above. Specifically, q is the endpoint of such a line-segment l ; suppose, without loss of generality, that the length of l is $\frac{1}{k_1}$. In this situation, there are two possibilities:

(1) If we translate $B_\epsilon(q)$ by a distance $\frac{1}{k_1}$ such that the image of q is in S , then the image of the ball is contained in S . However, this is clearly impossible, as S is locally diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and, as such, certainly cannot contain such a ball.

(2) If, when we perform the above, there are points in the ball whose image is not contained in S . Denote by $B'_\epsilon(q)$ the ball with all points whose image under this $\frac{1}{k_1}$ -translation is contained in S deleted. Then, if we translate $B'_\epsilon(q)$ by a distance of $\frac{1}{k_2}$, then its image will be contained in S . However, again this is impossible, since $B'_\epsilon(q)$ is not locally diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , either.

From this contradiction, then, we conclude that, in fact, B is dense in \mathbb{R}^3 . \square

3.4.1

Prove that the differentiability of a vector field does not depend on the choice of a coordinate system.

Proof. Suppose w is a vector field on a neighborhood $U \subseteq S$ with a coordinate chart $\phi(u, v)$ such that $a(u, v)$ and $b(u, v)$ are differentiable, where

$$w(p) = a(u, v)\Phi_1 + b(u, v)\Phi_2.$$

Now, suppose there is another coordinate chart $\psi(u', v')$ on U such that

$$w(p) = c(u', v')\Psi_1 + d(u', v')\Psi_2.$$

Then it suffices to show that c and d are differentiable.

Recall that

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{\partial u'}{\partial u}\Psi_1 + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial u}\Psi_2$$

and

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\partial u'}{\partial v}\Psi_1 + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial v}\Psi_2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}w(p) &= a(u, v)\Phi_1 + b(u, v)\Phi_2 \\&= a(u, v) \left(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial u} \Psi_1 + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial u} \Psi_2 \right) + b(u, v) \left(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial v} \Psi_1 + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial v} \Psi_2 \right) \\&= \left(a(u, v) \frac{\partial u'}{\partial u} + b(u, v) \frac{\partial u'}{\partial v} \right) \Psi_1 + \left(a(u, v) \frac{\partial v'}{\partial u} + b(u, v) \frac{\partial v'}{\partial v} \right) \Psi_2 \\&= c(u', v') \Psi_1 + d(u', v') \Psi_2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, since we see that c and d are merely sums of products of differentiable functions, c and d are differentiable. Therefore, w is a differentiable vector field with respect to ψ as well. Since our choice of ψ was arbitrary, we see that differentiability of the vector field w is independent of the choice of coordinate system. \square

DRL 3E3A, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
E-mail address: shonkwil@math.upenn.edu