# Part 7.5

# Stochastic Gradient Descent and Stochastic Newton

#### **Background**

In many practical applications, the objective function is a large sum:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x)$$

Issues and questions:

- Evaluating gradients/Hessians is expensive
- Do all of these *f*, really provide *complementary* information?
- Can we exploit the sum structure somehow to make the algorithm cheaper?

**Approach:** Let's use gradient descent (steepest descent), but instead of using the full gradient

$$p_{k} = -\alpha_{k}g_{k} = -\alpha_{k}\nabla f(x_{k})$$

Try to approximate it somehow in each step, using only a subset of the functions  $f_i$ :

$$p_k = -\alpha_k \widetilde{g}_k$$

**Note:** In many practical applications, the step lengths are chosen a priori, based on knowledge of the application.

**Idea 1:** Use only one  $f_i$  at a time when evaluating the gradient:

• In iteration 1, approximate

$$g_1 = \nabla f(x_1) \approx \nabla f_1(x_1) =: \widetilde{g}_1$$

• In iteration 2, approximate

$$g_2 = \nabla f(x_2) \approx \nabla f_2(x_2) =: \widetilde{g}_2$$

• After iteration *N*, start over:

$$g_{N+1} = \nabla f(x_{N+1}) \approx \nabla f_1(x_{N+1}) =: \widetilde{g}_{N+1}$$

Wolfgang Bangerth

•

**Idea 2:** Use only one  $f_i$  at a time, randomly chosen:

• In iteration 1, approximate

$$g_1 = \nabla f(x_1) \approx \nabla f_{r_1}(x_1) =: \widetilde{g}_1$$

• In iteration 2, approximate

$$g_2 = \nabla f(x_2) \approx \nabla f_{r_2}(x_2) =: \widetilde{g}_2$$

Here,  $r_i$  are randomly chosen numbers between 1 and N.

•

**Idea 3:** Use a subset of the  $f_i$  at a time, randomly chosen:

• In iteration 1, approximate

$$g_1 = \nabla f(x_1) \approx \sum_{i \in S_1} \nabla f_i(x_1) =: \widetilde{g}_1$$

• In iteration 2, approximate

$$g_2 = \nabla f(x_2) \approx \sum_{i \in S_2} \nabla f_i(x_2) =: \widetilde{g}_2$$

Here,  $S_i$  are randomly chosen subsets of  $\{1...N\}$  of a fixed size, but relatively small size M < < N.

•

**Analysis:** Why might anything like this work at all?

- The approximate gradient direction in each step is wrong.
- The search direction might not even be a descent direction.
- The sum of each block of *N* partial gradients equals one exact gradient, so there does not seem to be any savings

## But:

- *On average*, the search direction will be correct.
- In many practical cases, the functions  $f_i$  are not truly independent, but have redundancy.

**Consequence:** Far fewer than *N* steps are necessary compared to one exact gradient step!

#### **Stochastic Newton**

Idea: The same principle can be applied for Newton's method.

Either select a single *f* in each iteration and approximate

$$g_{k} = \nabla f(x_{k}) \approx \nabla f_{r_{k}}(x_{k}) =: \widetilde{g}_{k}$$
$$H_{k} = \nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) \approx \nabla^{2} f_{r_{k}}(x_{k}) =: \widetilde{H}_{k}$$

Or use a small subset:

$$g_{k} = \nabla f(x_{k}) \approx \sum_{i \in S_{k}} \nabla f_{i}(x_{k}) =: \widetilde{g}_{k}$$
$$H_{k} = \nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) \approx \sum_{i \in S_{k}} \nabla^{2} f_{i}(x_{k}) =: \widetilde{H}_{k}$$

Wolfgang Bangerth

### **Summary**

**Redundancy:** In many practical cases, the functions  $f_i$  are not truly independent, but have redundancy.

#### **Stochastic methods:**

- Exploit this by only evaluating a small subset of these functions in each iteration.
- Can be shown to converge under certain conditions
- Are often faster than the original method because
  - they require vastly fewer function evaluations in each iteration
  - even though they require more iterations