ALGEBRAICALLY MOTIVATED NORMAL FUNCTIONS ARE ALGEBRAIC
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ABSTRACT. For families of smooth complex projective varieties we show that normal functions arising from algebraically trivial cycle classes are algebraic, and defined over the field of definition of the family. As a consequence, we prove a conjecture of Charles and Kerr–Pearlstein, that zero loci of normal functions arising from algebraically trivial cycle classes are algebraic, and defined over the field of definition of the family. In particular, this gives a short proof of a special, algebraically motivated case of a result of Saito, Brosnan–Pearlstein, and Schnell, conjectured by Green–Griffiths, on zero loci of admissible normal functions.

INTRODUCTION

Let \( f: X \rightarrow B \) be a smooth surjective projective morphism of complex algebraic manifolds, let \( n \) be an integer, and let \( J^{2n+1}(X/B) \rightarrow B \) be the \((2n+1)\)-st relative Griffiths intermediate Jacobian. If \( Z \in CH^{n+1}(X) \) is an algebraic cycle class such that for every \( b \in B \) the Gysin fiber \( Z_b \) is algebraically (resp. homologically) trivial, then there is an associated holomorphic function
\[
\nu_Z: B \rightarrow J^{2n+1}(X/B), \quad \nu_Z(b) = AJ_{X_b}(Z_b),
\]
where \( AJ_{X_b}: CH^{n+1}(X_b)_{\text{hom}} \rightarrow J^{2n+1}(X_b) \) is the Abel–Jacobi map on homologically trivial cycles in the fiber \( X_b \). Such a function is called an algebraically motivated (resp. motivated) normal function motivated by the cycle class \( Z \).

More generally, let \( B \) be a complex manifold, and let \( H \) be a variation of pure negative weight integral Hodge structures over \( B \). In [Sai96], Saito defines the notion of an admissible normal function as a holomorphic section \( \nu: B \rightarrow J(H) \) of the associated family of generalized intermediate Jacobians \( J(H) \rightarrow B \) that satisfies a version of Griffiths horizontality and has controlled asymptotic behavior near the boundary (see e.g., [BP13]). Despite the transcendental nature of the definition of admissible normal functions, Green and Griffiths conjectured:

**Conjecture 1** (Green–Griffiths). The zero locus of an admissible normal function on a complex algebraic manifold is algebraic.

Proofs of this conjecture were given in a series of papers: \( \dim B = 1 \) [Sai08, Cor. 1], [BP09, Thm. 4.5], \( \dim B \geq 1 \) [Sch12, Thm. C], [BP13, Cor. 1.3] (see also Sémin. Bourbaki [Cha14]). In this paper, we are interested in arithmetic questions concerning the zero loci of motivated normal functions. Precisely, for algebraically motivated (resp. motivated) normal functions, if \( X, B, f, \) and \( Z \) are all defined over a subfield \( F \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), we say that the normal function \( \nu_Z \) is algebraically \( F \)-motivated (resp. \( F \)-motivated), and it is natural to ask whether the zero locus of \( \nu_Z \) in \( B \) is also defined over \( F \) [Cha10, p.2284]:

**Conjecture 2** (Charles, Kerr–Pearlstein). Let \( F \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) be a subfield. The zero locus of an algebraically \( F \)-motivated (resp. \( F \)-motivated) normal function is algebraic and defined over \( F \).

Several partial results are known. Regarding the \( F \)-motivated case, Charles has shown in [Cha10, Thm. 3] (see also [KP11, Thm. 89], and see [Sai16, Cor. 1] for a generalization to the
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non-motivated case) that the zero locus of an $F$-motivated normal function is defined over a finite extension of $F$, provided that $R^{2n+1}f_{!}C$ admits no nonzero global sections on each component of the zero locus. Regarding the algebraically $F$-motivated case of Conjecture 2, Kerr and Pearlstein have shown in [KP11, Con. 81, Thm. 88] that the zero locus of an algebraically $F$-motivated normal function is an algebraic subset of $B$ defined over a finite extension of $F$. All of the aforementioned results take as a starting point the validity of Conjecture 1.

In this paper we directly prove Conjecture 2 in the algebraically $F$-motivated case. (In particular, we do not rely on earlier work on Conjecture 1.) In fact, we prove a stronger result, namely that algebraically $F$-motivated normal functions are themselves algebraic and defined over $F$:

**Theorem 1.** Let $f : X \to B$ be a smooth surjective projective morphism of complex algebraic manifolds, let $n$ be a nonnegative integer, let $J^{2n+1}(X/B) \to B$ be the $(2n+1)$-st relative Griffiths intermediate Jacobian. There is a relative algebraic complex subtorus $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B) \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)$ over $B$ such that for very general $u \in B$ the fiber $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)_u \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X_u)$ is the image $J_a^{2n+1}(X_u)$ of the Abel–Jacobi map $AJ_{X_u} : A^{n+1}(X_u) \to J^{2n+1}(X_u)$, and for any algebraic cycle class $Z \in CH^{n+1}(X)$ such that for every $b \in B$ the Gysin fiber $Z_b$ is algebraically trivial (e.g., $Z \subseteq A^{n+1}(X)$):

1. The normal function $v_Z : B \to J^{2n+1}(X/B)$ has image contained in $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)$ and is an algebraic map.
2. If, moreover, $X, B, f,$ and $Z$ are all defined over a field $F \subseteq C,$ then so are $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)$ and the morphisms $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B) \to B$ and $v_Z$.

Conjecture 1 in the algebraically motivated case follows immediately from Theorem 1(1), and in this way we obtain a short proof of this case of the conjecture. Conjecture 2 in the algebraically $F$-motivated case follows immediately from Theorem 1(2). In summary, we have:

**Corollary 1.** Let $F \subseteq C$ be a subfield. The zero locus of an algebraically $F$-motivated normal function is algebraic and defined over $F$.

In Theorem 1, we do not require $B$ to be connected; we may take any complex analytic space that is induced from a smooth integral separated scheme of finite type over a field $F' \subseteq C$. A very general point $u \in B$ is any point that lies in the complement of some fixed countable union of algebraic subsets of $B$, which is left tacit in the statement; see the notations and conventions below for a precise statement, where by very general here we mean $F'$-very general for some field of definition $F'$ of finite type over $Q$. We also point out that the notation $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)$ may be slightly misleading. To clarify we note that while the very general fiber $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)_{u}$ is equal to the image of the Abel–Jacobi map $J_a^{2n+1}(X_u)$, in some cases there is a countable union of algebraic subsets of $B$ over which the geometric coniveau of the fiber $N^nH^{2n+1}(B, Q)$ jumps. If this is the case, then over these points the fiber $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)_b$ is strictly contained in $J_a^{2n+1}(X_b)$. Nonetheless, we feel that $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)$ is good notation in the sense that this is the smallest relative algebraic subtorus of $J^{2n+1}(X/B)$ that interpolates between the very general $J_a^{2n+1}(X_u)$, and also serves by part (1) of the theorem as a target for every algebraically motivated normal function.

Regarding the proof of the theorem, there are several easy special cases. In the case of $n + 1 = 1$, i.e., of $Pic^0_{X/B}$, and $n + 1 = \dim B X$, i.e., of $\text{Alb}_{X/B}$, it is well known that algebraically $F$-motivated normal functions are algebraic and defined over $F$. In the case where $B$ is quasiprojective, $X = B \times Y$ for some smooth projective complex manifold $Y$, and $f : X \to B$ is the first projection, part (1) of the theorem is elementary by embedding $B$ in a smooth complex projective manifold $\overline{B}$, extending the cycle class $Z$ to a cycle class $\overline{Z}$ on $\overline{B} \times Y$, and obtaining a normal function $v_Z : \overline{B} \to B \times J_a^{2n+1}(Y)$ that is a holomorphic map between complex projective manifolds. From our work in [ACMV16a], one can then easily deduce (2) of the theorem in this case, as well.
extend to a smooth family over $\mathbb{B}$, in which case it is difficult to know how to extend $J^{2n+1}(X/B)$ and $\nu_Z$ to the boundary. Second, even if one can extend $f : X \to B$ to a smooth family $\pi : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{B}$, the geometric coniveau of the family can jump along a countable union of algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{B}$, and so there is no obvious algebraic target $J^{2n+1}_a(X/B)$ for an extended normal function.

One faces similar difficulties in trying to prove Conjecture 1, and the approach taken in [Sai08, BP09, Sch12] overcomes these complications by constructing Néron models for the relative intermediate Jacobians (see also [GGK10]) that provide manageable targets for extending admissible normal functions. In the special case where $\dim B = 1$, Schnell and Kerr independently communicated to us arguments using these techniques to prove part (1) of the theorem, up to replacing the normal function $\nu_Z$ with $M \cdot \nu_Z$ for some integer $M$, depending on $Z$. It appears however that it would be difficult to extend these arguments to the case where $\dim B \geq 2$. It also appears it would be difficult to use these techniques to prove part (2) of the theorem regarding the field of definition, even in the case where $\dim B = 1$.

The starting point of our proof consists in showing that the distinguished model of the image of the Abel–Jacobi map constructed in our previous work [ACMV16a] does not depend on a choice of field embedding. This is embodied in Proposition 2.1, and the relevant material of [ACMV16a] is reviewed in §1. An important consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that an algebraically $F$-motivated normal function vanishes at a very general point if and only if it vanishes generically; see Example 2.5 and Remarks 2.6 and 2.7. In fact, the initial step of our strategy is to consider a very general fiber $X_u$ and, thanks to Proposition 2.1, to descend the image of the Abel–Jacobi map $J^{2n+1}_a(X_u)$ for this fiber to an abelian variety over the generic point of $\mathbb{B}$, which admits a natural section related to the normal function. We then spread this abelian variety and section to a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{B}$, all defined over $F$ (Theorem 3.1). The final step is to extend this to all of $B$ (§4). We extend the relative algebraic torus over the generic points of codimension-1 boundary loci by using the good reduction of $X$ and the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, and then extend over codimension-2 loci using the Faltings–Chai Extension Theorem. The normal function is handled separately at each step. In short, rather than having to worry about extending admissible normal functions to projective compactifications in order to obtain algebraicity as a consequence of Chow’s theorem, we extend algebraic maps defined over $F$ on a Zariski open subset of $B$ to all of $B$, and in this way also manage to maintain control over the field of definition.

In addition to providing proofs of Conjectures 1 and 2 in the algebraically $F$-motivated case, another application of Theorem 1 will be in developing a theory of regular homomorphisms and algebraic representatives for families of smooth projective varieties. This will be discussed further elsewhere.

Finally, although our results are algebraic in nature and only concern algebraically trivial cycle classes, there are important instances of families of varieties for which homological and algebraic equivalence of cycles in certain codimensions agree and for which the corresponding intermediate Jacobians are algebraic. For example, a direct application of Theorem 1 concerns codimension-2 cycles on uniruled threefolds:

**Corollary 2.** Let $f : X \to B$ be a smooth projective family of uniruled threefolds, defined over a field $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and let $Z \in \text{CH}^2(X)$ be a cycle class defined over $F$ that is fiber-wise homologically trivial. Then the analytic normal function $\nu_Z$ is algebraic, and defined over $F$. In particular, its zero-locus is an algebraic sub-variety of $B$ defined over $F$.
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Notation and conventions. Let $X$ be a scheme of finite type over a field $F \subseteq C$. We denote by $X(F)$ the set of $F$-morphisms $\text{Spec} F \to X$. We denote by $X = X_{an}$ the associated complex analytic space. After identifying the sets

$$X = \{ p \in |X_C| : p \text{ is closed in the underlying topological space } |X_C| \}$$

$$= \{ x \in X_C(C) : x(\text{Spec} C) \text{ is closed in } |X_C| \},$$

we say that $x \in X$ is $F$-very general if the corresponding morphism $x : \text{Spec} C \to X$ has image a generic point of $|X|$. If $F$ is countable, then so is the collection of all closed algebraic subsets of $X$ that are defined over $F$ and not equal to $X$; any $F$-very general point $x$ is in the complement of the union of these closed algebraic subsets.

A variety over a field is an equidimensional geometrically reduced separated scheme of finite type over that field. Given a smooth projective variety $X$ over a field $F \subseteq C$ we denote by $\text{CH}^i(X)$ (resp. $\text{CH}^i_{\text{tr}}(X)$) the Chow group of codimension-$i$ algebraic cycle classes on $X$ (resp. $X$), and by $A^i(X)$ (resp. $A^i_{\text{tr}}(X)$) the subgroup of algebraically trivial algebraic cycle classes on $X$ (resp. $X$). For the remainder of the paper, we will always consider the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{AJ} : A^{n+1}(X) \to J^{2n+1}(X)$ from algebraically trivial algebraic cycle classes, and denote by $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ the image of this Abel–Jacobi map.

1. Distinguished models of intermediate Jacobians and distinguished normal functions

In this section we recall some results from [ACMV17, ACMV16a] regarding descending intermediate Jacobians to a field of definition.

1.1. Distinguished models of intermediate Jacobians. We start by recalling the main result of [ACMV16a]. We note that while in §1.1, 1.2 we work over a field $K \subseteq C$, starting from §2.2 we will implement these results in the case where the field $K$ is the residue field of the generic point of the integral base $B$ of a smooth projective family $f : X \to B$, all defined over a field $F \subseteq C$.

**Theorem 1.1** (Distinguished models [ACMV16a, Thm. 1]). Suppose $X$ is a smooth projective variety over a field $K \subseteq C$, with associated complex analytic space $X$, and let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. Then $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$, the algebraic complex torus that is the image of the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{AJ} : A^{n+1}(X) \to J^{2n+1}(X)$, admits a distinguished model $J_a^{2n+1}$ over $K$ such that the Abel–Jacobi map is $\text{Aut}(C/K)$-equivariant.

Moreover, there is a correspondence $\Gamma \in \text{CH}^{\dim(J_a^{2n+1})+n}(J_a^{2n+1}/K)\times K(X)$ such that the induced morphism $\Gamma_s : J_a^{2n+1}(X) \to J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ is $M$ times the natural inclusion, for some natural number $M$.

**Remark 1.2** (Uniqueness of the distinguished model). By Chow’s rigidity theorem, an abelian variety $A/C$ descends to at most one model defined over $K$. On the other hand, an abelian variety $A/K$ may descend to more than one model defined over $K$. Nevertheless, since $\text{AJ} : A^{n+1}(X) \to J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ is surjective, the algebraic complex torus $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ admits at most one structure of a scheme over $K$ such that $\text{AJ}$ is $\text{Aut}(C/K)$-equivariant. More precisely, setting $J_a^{2n+1}(X_C)$ to be the abelian variety associated to the algebraic complex torus $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$, there is a unique abelian variety $J_a^{2n+1}$ up to unique isomorphism, such that there is an isomorphism $(J_a^{2n+1}/K)_C \to J_a^{2n+1}(X_C)$ such that the induced action of $\text{Aut}(C/K)$ on $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ makes the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{Aut}(C/K)$-equivariant. This is the sense in which $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ admits a distinguished model over $K$.

**Remark 1.3.** In [ACMV16a, Thm. 1] we only show that the correspondence $\Gamma$ in Theorem 1.1 induces a morphism of complex tori $\Gamma_s : J_a^{2n+1}(X) \to J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ with image $J_a^{2n+1}(X)$. We claim that the induced morphism $\Gamma_s : J_a^{2n+1}(X) \to J_a^{2n+1}(X)$ is defined over $K$; i.e., is induced by an isogeny.
\[ \psi : j_a^{2n+1} \to j_a^{2n+1} \] over \( K \). One establishes this by showing the morphism is equivariant on torsion, which is achieved by identifying the map on torsion with the map \( \Gamma_* : H^1(j_a^{2n+1}(X_C), \mu_N) \to H^1(j_a^{2n+1}(X_C), \mu_N) \) \( \subseteq H^2n+1(X_C, \mu_N^{(n+1)}) \) (similar to [ACMV16a, (2.3)]). Let \( M \) be the exponent of \( \psi \), and let \( \tilde{\psi} : j_a^{2n+1} \to j_a^{2n+1} \) be such that \( \tilde{\psi} \circ \psi = M \). With \( \Gamma_{\tilde{\psi}} \) the correspondence associated to the morphism \( \tilde{\psi} \), let \( \Gamma' = \Gamma_{\tilde{\psi}} \circ \Gamma \). It follows that the induced morphism \( \Gamma'_* : j_a^{2n+1}(X) \to j_a^{2n+1}(X) \) has image \( j_a^{2n+1}(X) \), and is given as \( M \) times the natural inclusion.

**Remark 1.4** (Extensions \( K \subseteq L \subseteq C \)). In the notation of the theorem, suppose we have an intermediary field extension \( K \subseteq L \subseteq C \). Then the base change \( (j_a^{2n+1})_L \) is the distinguished model for \( X_L \). Indeed, the distinguished model over \( L \) is determined uniquely by the fact that the Abel–Jacobi map for \( X \) is \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) \)-equivariant; but if the Abel–Jacobi map is \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K) \)-equivariant with respect to the \( K \)-structure on \( j_a^{2n+1} \), then it is \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) \)-equivariant for the \( L \)-structure on \( (j_a^{2n+1})_L \).

### 1.2. Distinguished normal functions

In [ACMV17] we established some results regarding equivariant regular homomorphisms. In this section we recall the consequences of that work in the context of normal functions and the distinguished model.

Let \( X \) be smooth projective variety over \( \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \). Given \( Z \in \text{CH}^{1n+1}(X) \) with the base change \( Z_C \) algebraically trivial, and \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K) \), we showed in [ACMV17] that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(Spec \mathbb{C})(C) & \xrightarrow{w_Z} & A^{n+1}(X_C) \\
\| & & \downarrow \sigma \\
(Spec \mathbb{C})(C) & \xrightarrow{w_Z} & A^{n+1}(X_C) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( (Spec \mathbb{C})(C) = \{ \text{Id}_C \} \), and \( w_{Z_C}(\text{Id}_C) = Z_C \). Indeed the right hand side is the precise meaning of the statement in Theorem 1.1 that the Abel–Jacobi map is \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K) \)-equivariant, while the left hand side is elementary (see [ACMV17, Rem. 4.3] for more on this). At the level of complex analytic spaces, this corresponds to the commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(Spec \mathbb{C})_{an} & \xrightarrow{w_Z} & A^{n+1}(X) \\
\| & & \downarrow \sigma \\
(Spec \mathbb{C})_{an} & \xrightarrow{w_Z} & A^{n+1}(X) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( w_Z((Spec \mathbb{C})_{an}) = Z \), the complex analytic cycle class associated to \( Z \). Note that \( AJ \circ w_Z = \nu_Z \), the normal function associated to \( Z \). As mentioned in [ACMV17, Rem. 4.3], the commutativity of the diagrams above implies that \( AJ \circ w_{Z_C} \), and hence \( \nu_Z \), descend to \( K \) to give a morphism

\[
(1.1) \quad \delta_Z : Spec K \to j_a^{2n+1}.
\]

We call this the **distinguished normal function** associated to \( Z \).

**Remark 1.5** (Uniqueness of the distinguished normal function). The distinguished normal function is unique in the sense that given the distinguished model \( j_a^{2n+1} \) (unique up to unique isomorphism by Remark 1.2), there is a unique morphism \( \delta_Z : Spec K \to j_a^{2n+1} \) such that \((\delta_Z)_a : (Spec \mathbb{C})_{an} \to j_a^{2n+1}(X)\) is equal to the analytic normal function \( \nu_Z \).
**Remark 1.6** (Extensions $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$). In the notation of Theorem 1.1, suppose we have an intermediary field extension $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In light of Remark 1.4, we have a fibered product diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
J_{a_1, X_1/L} & \rightarrow & J_{a_2, X_2/K} \\
\delta_2 & \downarrow & \delta_2 \\
\text{Spec } L & \rightarrow & \text{Spec } K
\end{array}
$$

**1.3. Review of the construction of the distinguished model.** Because it will be relevant later, we recall the construction of the distinguished model $J^2_{a,X/K}$ from [ACMV16a]. The starting point is [ACMV16a, Prop. 1.1], which provides a smooth projective geometrically integral curve $C/K$ (admitting a $K$-point) and a correspondence $\gamma \in \textrm{CH}^{r+1}(C \times_K X)$ such that the induced morphism of complex tori $\gamma_* : J(C) \rightarrow J_2^{r+1}(X)$ has image $J_2^{r+1}(X)$. We thus obtain a short exact sequence of algebraic compact complex analytic groups $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow J(C) \rightarrow J_2^{r+1}(X) \rightarrow 0$, where $P$ is defined to be the kernel.

The next step is to show that $P$ descends to $K$. For this it suffices to show that for every natural number $N$, the $N$-torsion $P[N]$ is preserved by $\mathrm{Aut}(C/K)$ (since torsion is dense in an étale group scheme; see e.g., [ACMV16a, Lem. 2.3]). For this one shows that $P[N]$ is equal to the kernel of the morphism $\gamma_* : H^1(C, \mu_N) \rightarrow H^{2n+1}(X, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)})$ (see [ACMV16a, (2.3)]), which is equivariant as it is induced by a correspondence defined over $K$. Thus $P[N]$ is preserved by $\mathrm{Aut}(C/K)$, so that $P$ descends to a group scheme $P/K$, and consequently $J_2^{r+1}(X)$ descends to a model $J^2_{a,X/K}$ over $K$, as well. This is the distinguished model.

**Remark 1.7.** We reiterate here that the distinguished model is unique up to unique isomorphism (see Remark 1.2), so that $J^2_{a,X/K}$ is in fact independent of the curve $C$ and the correspondence $\gamma$ used in the construction. In other words, given any smooth projective geometrically integral curve $C'/K$ (admitting a $K$-point) and a correspondence $\gamma' \in \textrm{CH}^{r+1}(C' \times_K X)$ such that the induced morphism of complex tori $\gamma'_* : J(C') \rightarrow J_2^{r+1}(X)$ defines a short exact sequence of algebraic compact complex analytic groups $0 \rightarrow P' \rightarrow J(C') \rightarrow J_2^{r+1}(X) \rightarrow 0$, the descent datum on $C'_L$ defines $J^2_{a,X/K}$.

**2. Changing the embedding $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$**

In this section we show that if $K$ is a field of finite transcendence degree over $\mathbb{Q}$, then up to isomorphism, the distinguished model and distinguished normal function do not depend on the embedding $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. An important consequence is that the normal function associated to a fiberwise algebraically trivial cycle defined over a field $F$ of finite transcendence degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e., an algebraically $F$-motivated normal function in our terminology) vanishes at all $F$-very general point if and only if it vanishes at all $F$-very general points; see Example 2.5 and Remark 2.7.

**2.1. The distinguished normal function is independent of the field embedding.** The following proposition complements, in particular, Theorem 1.1 by showing that the distinguished model does not depend on the choice of an embedding $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $K$ of finite transcendence degree over $\mathbb{Q}$, let $n$ be a nonnegative integer, and let $Z \in A^{n+1}(X)$ be an algebraically trivial cycle class. Let $b_1, b_2 : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two inclusions of fields, let $L_i = b_i(K)$, and denote by $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an automorphism inducing a
commutative diagram of field homomorphisms

\[
\begin{array}{c}
K \xrightarrow{b_2} L_2 \xrightarrow{γ} C \\
\sim \downarrow \quad \sim \downarrow \gamma_+ \downarrow & \gamma_+ \downarrow \\
L_1 \xrightarrow{b_1} C
\end{array}
\]

which exists due to the assumption that \( K \) is of finite transcendence degree over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

For \( i = 1, 2 \) let \( X_{b_i} \) be the base change of \( X \) over \( b_i : \text{Spec} \ C \to \text{Spec} \ K \), with associated complex analytic space \( X_{b_i} \), let \( J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{b_i}/b_i} \) be the distinguished model of \( J_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_i}) \) over \( L_i \), and let \( δ_{Z_{L_i}} : \text{Spec} \ L_i \to J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{b_i}/b_i} \) be the distinguished normal function. Let \( J_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_i}) \) be the complex abelian variety associated to \( J_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_i}) \).

Then there is a commutative fibered product diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec} \ K \xleftarrow{b_2} \text{Spec} \ L_1 \xleftarrow{b_1} \text{Spec} \ C \\
\downarrow \delta_{L_2} \quad \downarrow \delta_{L_1} \downarrow \downarrow \delta_{L_1} \\
\text{Spec} L_2 \xleftarrow{b_2} \text{Spec} L_1 \xleftarrow{b_1} \text{Spec} C
\end{array}
\]

where \( J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X/K} \) and \( δ_{Z} : \text{Spec} \ K \to J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X/K} \) are defined from the rest of the diagram via fibered product.

**Remark 2.2.** Proposition 2.1 allows one to define the distinguished model \( J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X/K} \) of the image of the Abel–Jacobi map, and the distinguished normal function \( δ_{Z} \) associated to a cycle class \( Z ∈ CH^{n+1}(X) \), without first needing to specify a particular inclusion \( K ↪ C \).

**Proof.** From the diagram (2.1) it is clear that it suffices to establish that the sub-diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{L_2}/b_2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{L_2}} J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{L_1}/b_1} \xrightarrow{b_1^{-1}b_2} J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{L_1}/b_1} \\
\downarrow \delta_{L_2} \quad \downarrow \delta_{L_1} \downarrow \downarrow \delta_{L_1} \\
\text{Spec} L_2 \xleftarrow{b_2} \text{Spec} L_1 \xleftarrow{b_1} \text{Spec} C
\end{array}
\]

is a commutative fibered product diagram. We break the proof into two parts. First we establish the result for the distinguished models, and second for the distinguished normal functions.

**Step 1: The distinguished models.** Let \( C \) be a geometrically integral curve over \( K \) (admitting a \( K \)-point) and let \( γ ∈ CH^{n+1}(C ×_K X) \) be a correspondence such that, for \( i = 1, 2 \), the induced morphisms of complex tori \( γ_{i*} : J(C_i) \to J_a^{2n+1}(X_i) \) have respective images equal to \( J_a^{2n+1}(X_i) \) ([ACMV16a, Prop. 1.1]). Here, \( X_i \) and \( C_i \) are the complex analytic spaces associated to the pull backs of \( X \) and \( C \) to \( \text{Spec} \ C \) via the given inclusions \( b_i : K ↪ C \). Thus, for \( i = 1, 2 \), we obtain short exact sequences

\[
0 → P_i → J(C_i) → J_a^{2n+1}(X_i) → 0
\]

where \( P_i \) is defined to be the kernel of the morphism of complex tori induced by \( γ \). Moreover, we have seen in Remark 1.7 that \( P_i \) descends to an abelian scheme \( P_i \) over \( L_i \). This gives short exact sequences

\[
0 → P_i → J(C_{L_i}) → J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{L_i}/L_i} → 0
\]
defining the distinguished models \( f^{2n+1}_{\sigma, X_1, L_1} \). We want to show that the distinguished models differ by base change over \( b^{-1}_1 b_2 : \text{Spec} \ L_2 \to \text{Spec} \ L_1 \). We will do this by showing that \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) differ by base change over \( b^{-1}_1 b_2 \).

Let \( P_{1, L_2} \subseteq J(L_2) \) be the base change of \( P_1 \) to \( L_2 \). To show that \( P_{1, L_2} = P_2 \), it suffices to show that for all natural numbers \( N \), the \( N \)-torsion of \( P_{1, L_2} \) and \( P_2 \) are equal; i.e., \( P_{1, L_2}[N] = P_2[N] \). But the \( N \)-torsion \( P_1[N] \) is equal to the kernel of the morphism \( \gamma_{i*} : H^1(C_{b_1}, \mu_N) \to H^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)}) \); see [ACMV16a, (2.3)]. These are related by the diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(C_{b_1}, \mu_N) \xrightarrow{(\gamma_1)} H^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)}) & \\
\simeq \downarrow \sigma^* & \simeq \downarrow \sigma^* \\
H^1(C_{b_2}, \mu_N) \xrightarrow{(\gamma_2)} H^{2n+1}(X_{b_2}, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)})
\end{align*}
\]

implying that \( P_{1, L_2}[N] = P_2[N] \), completing the proof.

**Step 2: The distinguished normal functions.** We now show that the distinguished normal functions \( \delta_{Z_{b_1}} \) and \( \delta_{Z_{b_2}} \) fit into the fibered product diagram (2.2); i.e., that they agree under base change. To begin, recall that the distinguished normal function \( \delta_{Z_{b_1}} \) is characterized by the condition that \( (\delta_{Z_{b_1}})_{an} = \nu(Z_{b_1})_{an} \); i.e., the analytic map induced by \( \delta_{Z_{b_1}} \) agrees with the analytic normal function (Remark 1.5). Algebraically, this is the condition that \( (\delta_{Z_{b_1}})_{b_1} = AJ \circ w_{Z_{b_1}} \) (see §1.2). In other words, to complete the proof of the theorem, it suffices to show that \( (\delta_{Z_{b_1}})_{b_1} = (\delta_{Z_{b_2}})_{b_2} \). Put differently, by virtue of the fact that \( f_{a}^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}) \) and \( f_{a}^{2n+1}(X_{b_2}) \) have been identified in Step 1 via base change over \( \sigma : \text{Spec} \ C \to \text{Spec} \ C \), it suffices to show that the outer rectangle of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{(Spec} \ C)(\text{)(C)} & \xrightarrow{w_{Z_{b_1}}} & A^{n+1}(X_{b_1}) \\
\downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \quad \downarrow \sigma \\
\text{(Spec} \ C)(\text{)(C)} & \xrightarrow{w_{Z_{b_2}}} & A^{n+1}(X_{b_2})
\end{array}
\]

is commutative. In other words, it suffices to show that \( \sigma AJ(Z_{b_1}) = AJ((Z_{b_2})) \).

To do this, we first show the commutativity of the right hand side of (2.3) on torsion (see also Remark 2.3). For this one considers the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A^{n+1}(X_{b_1})[N] & \xrightarrow{AJ} & f_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_1})[N] \xrightarrow{\sigma[N]} H^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)}) \\
\downarrow \sigma^*[N] & & \downarrow \sigma[C][N] & \downarrow \sigma^* \\
A^{n+1}(X_{b_2})[N] & \xrightarrow{AJ} & f_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_2})[N] \xrightarrow{\sigma[N]} H^{2n+1}(X_{b_2}, \mu_N^{\otimes (n+1)})
\end{array}
\]

which is commutative for all integers \( N > 1 \), due to the fact that by construction the right-hand square is commutative, and the fact that the outer square is commutative because the composition of horizontal arrows is the Bloch map, which is functorial with respect to automorphisms of the field (see e.g., [ACMV16a, §2.3]).

Now, since \( Z \) is defined over \( K \), there exist by [ACMV16b, Thm. 1] an abelian variety \( A \) over \( K \), a \( K \)-point \( p \in A(K) \), and a correspondence \( \Xi \in CH^{r+1}(A \times_K X) \) such that \( Z = \Xi_p - \Xi_0 \). Since the Abel–Jacobi map is a regular homomorphism, the base change of \( \Xi \) along \( b_1 \) induces a homomorphism \( \psi_{\Xi, i} : A_{b_1} \to f_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}) \) with \( \psi_{\Xi, i}(q) = AJ(\Xi_q - \Xi_0) \), in particular \( (\psi_{\Xi, i})_i(p) = \Xi_p - \Xi_0 \).
After the inclusion $AJ(Z_{b_j})$. We have then a not a priori commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{b_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{b,1}} & J_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_1}) \\
\downarrow{\sigma^*} & & \downarrow{\sigma} \\
A_{b_2} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{b,2}} & J_a^{2n+1}(X_{b_2}).
\end{array}
$$

However, since the right hand side of (2.3) is commutative on torsion, this diagram is also commutative on torsion (note that $\Xi_q - \Xi_0$ is torsion in $A^{n+1}(X_{b_j})$ whenever $q$ is a torsion point in $A_{b_j}$; e.g. [ACMV16a, Lem. 3.2]) and since torsion points are dense, the diagram is in fact commutative, thereby establishing the desired identity $\sigma A J(Z_{b_1}) = A J(Z_{b_2})$. \hfill \Box

Remark 2.3. In fact, one can also show that the right hand side of (2.3) is commutative on all cycle classes. In particular, the kernel of the Abel–Jacobi map restricted to algebraically trivial cycles is independent of the choice of an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$; this is consistent with the Bloch–Beilinson philosophy (see e.g. [Gre14, Lecture 3]). For brevity, we have omitted the proof.

2.2. Distinguished models and distinguished normal functions of very general fibers. We now focus on the main case of interest in this paper. Let $F \subseteq C$ be a field of finite transcendence degree over $Q$. Let $f : X \to B$ be a smooth surjective projective morphism of smooth integral schemes of finite type over $F$, and let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. Let $f : X \to B$ be the associated map of complex manifolds. Let $\eta$ be the generic point of $B$ with residue field $K$, which is also of finite transcendence degree over $Q$.

Example 2.4 (Distinguished model of a very general fiber). In the notation above, fix an inclusion $K \subseteq C$, let $X_\eta$ be the generic fiber, and let $J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta/K}$ be the corresponding distinguished model associated to $(X_C)_\eta$ (see also Proposition 2.1). Now let $u \in B$ be an $F$-very general point; i.e., $u$ corresponds to a closed $C$-point $u : \text{Spec } C \to B_C$, which is itself a morphism of $C$-schemes, so that the composition $u : \text{Spec } C \to B_C \to B$ has image the generic point of $B$, given by a second inclusion $i : K \hookrightarrow C$. From Proposition 2.1, the distinguished model of $J_a^{2n+1}(X_{u})$ is (after pull back to $K$) isomorphic over $K$ to $J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_{\eta}/K}$. In fact, the distinguished models of all $F$-very general fibers agree up to isomorphism over $K$. Put another way, for any $F$-very general point $u \in B$, corresponding to a point $u : \text{Spec } C \to B_C$,

$$(\delta_{Z})_{a}^{2n+1} = J_a^{2n+1}(X_{u}).$$

Example 2.5 (Distinguished normal function of a very general fiber). In the same situation as Example 2.4, let $Z \in CH^{n+1}(X)$ be such that every Gysin fiber is algebraically trivial. Let $\delta_Z : \text{Spec } K \to J_a^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta/K}$ be the distinguished normal function (1.1), which a priori depends on the inclusion $K \subseteq C$. However, from Proposition 2.1, the distinguished normal function associated to any $F$-very general point $u \in B$ (corresponding to an inclusion $i : K \hookrightarrow C$) agrees (after pull back to $K$) with $\delta_Z$. Now put another way, for any $F$-very general point $u \in B$, corresponding to a point $u : \text{Spec } C \to B_C$,

$$(\delta_{Z})_{a}^{2n+1} = (\nu_Z)_{a} : u \to J_a^{2n+1}(X_{u})$$

where $\nu_Z : B \to J_a^{2n+1}(X/B)$ is the analytic normal function associated to $Z$.

Remark 2.6 (The geometric generic fiber). Another way to frame the relationship between the distinguished models and distinguished normal functions associated to different $F$-very general points of $B$ is to observe that the $C$-scheme $X_{\eta}$ associated to an $F$-very general fiber $X_u$ of $f : X \to B$ is isomorphic as a $K$-scheme to a geometric generic fiber $X_{\eta}^{\overline{C(B)}}$. In fact, after choosing a $K$-isomorphism $\alpha : C \to \overline{C(B)}$, we have that $X_u$ and $X_{\eta}^{\overline{C(B)}}$ are isomorphic over $\alpha$ (see e.g., [Vie13, Lem. 2.1]).
Remark 2.7 (First consequence for zero loci of normal functions). Already, we obtain a quick proof of much of Corollary 1, i.e., that the zero-locus of \( \nu_Z \) is a countable union of algebraic subsets of \( B \) defined over \( F \). Indeed, from Example 2.5 we have that if \( (\nu_Z)_u \) is zero for one \( F \)-very general point \( u \in B \), then it is zero for every \( F \)-very general point \( u' \in B \). By continuity, if \( \nu_Z \) is not identically zero, then the zero locus of \( \nu_Z \) is contained in the complement of the \( F \)-very general points, which is a countable union of algebraic subsets of \( B \) defined over \( F \), and not equal to \( B \). Restricting \( \nu_Z \) to an \( F \)-desingularization of each irreducible component and arguing recursively on each component, one obtains the claim. Note that together with Conjecture 1, one obtains a proof of Corollary 1. We will, however, give below a direct proof of Theorem 1, and hence of Corollary 1, that does not rely on the validity of Conjecture 1.

3. Spreading the distinguished model and distinguished normal function

We now consider a family of smooth complex projective varieties, and descend the image of the Abel–Jacobi map of a very general fiber to the generic point of the base of the family. We then spread this open subset of the base. The following theorem collects some properties of this spread.

Theorem 3.1. Let \( F \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) be a subfield of finite transcendence degree over \( \mathbb{Q} \), let \( f : X \to B \) be a smooth surjective projective morphism of smooth integral varieties of finite type over \( F \), and let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer. Let \( f : X \to B \) be the associated morphism of complex analytic spaces.

Let \( \eta \) be the generic point of \( B \) with residue field \( K \), and fix an inclusion \( K \to \mathbb{C} \). Let \( X_\eta \) be the generic fiber of \( X \) over \( K \), let \( J_{d x_\eta/K}^{2n+1} \) be the distinguished model of \( J_{d x}^{2n+1}((X_\mathbb{C})_m) \) over \( K \) and let

\[
\Gamma \in \text{CH}^{\dim(j_{d x_\eta/K}^{2n+1})+n}(J_{d x/K}^{2n+1} \times_K X_\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
\]

be the correspondence and integer, respectively, from Theorem 1.1 (see also Proposition 2.1). Spread this data to a Zariski open subset \( U \subseteq B \). More precisely, let \( U \subseteq B \) be a Zariski open subset over which there is an abelian scheme

\[
g : J_{d x_U/U}^{2n+1} \to U
\]

with generic fiber isomorphic to \( J_{d x_\eta/K}^{2n+1} \), and a cycle

\[
\Gamma_U \in \text{CH}^{\dim(j_{d x_\eta/K}^{2n+1})+n}(J_{d x/U}^{2n+1} \times_U X_U)
\]

with \( (\Gamma_U)_\eta = \Gamma \). Let \( U \subseteq B \) be the Zariski open subset corresponding to \( U \subseteq B \), and let \( \Gamma_U \) be the corresponding complex analytic correspondence.

1. For every prime number \( \ell \) the correspondence \( \Gamma_U \) induces a morphism of sheaves on \( U \)

\[
(\Gamma_U)_* : R^1 g_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to R^{2n+1}(f|_U)_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)
\]

which at the geometric generic point \( \overline{\eta} \) : Spec \( \overline{K} \to \text{Spec} \ K \to U \), induces an inclusion of \( \text{Gal} (\overline{K}/K) \)-representations

\[
(\Gamma_U)_*: H^1((J_{d x_\eta/K}^{2n+1})_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \hookrightarrow H^{2n+1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))
\]

(with image \( \mathbb{N}^n \) \( H^{2n+1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)) \), where \( \mathbb{N}^* \) denotes the geometric coniveau filtration).

2. The correspondence \( \Gamma_U \) induces a morphism of variations of pure integral Hodge structures and thus a morphism of relative complex tori over \( U \)

\[
(\Gamma_U)_* : (J_{d x/U}^{2n+1}((X/B)|_U) \to J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U.
\]

The image of (3.4) is an algebraic relative complex torus \( A_U \) \( \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U \) over \( U \), induced by an abelian scheme

\[
A_U / U,
\]
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For F-very general \( u \in U \), the morphism (3.4) restricts to a morphism

\[(\Gamma_U)_u : J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \rightarrow J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \]

that is given by \( M \) (see (3.1)) times the natural inclusion \( J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \subseteq J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \); in particular, the image of (3.5), i.e., the fiber \( A_{U,u} \) is equal to \( J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \).

(3) Let \( Z \in CH^{n+1}(X) \) be a cycle class with every Gysin fiber algebraically trivial, let \( Z_\eta \) be the restriction of \( Z \) to the generic fiber \( X_\eta \), and let

\[ \delta_{Z_\eta} : \text{Spec} K \rightarrow J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K \]

be the associated distinguished normal function (see (1.1) and Proposition 2.1). After possibly replacing the Zariski open subset \( U \subseteq B \) with a smaller Zariski open subset, let \( \delta : U \rightarrow J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/U \) be the spread of the distinguished normal function, and let \( \delta_{an} : U \rightarrow (J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/U)_{an} \) denote the associated morphism of complex analytic spaces. We have the following formula relating the normal function \( v_Z \), the spread \( \delta_{an} \) of the distinguished normal function, and the morphism (3.4):

\[(\Gamma_U)_u \circ \delta_{an} = M \cdot v_Z|_U, \]

and \( M \cdot v_Z|_U \) is algebraic, and defined over \( F \).

Proof. (1) Correspondences induce morphisms of sheaves, giving (3.2). (3.3) is just a statement about fibers of correspondences, and follows from Theorem 1.1 (but see also [ACMV16a, Thm. A]).

(2) Correspondences induce morphisms of Hodge structures, giving (3.4). To show that the image \( A_U \) of (3.4) is algebraic, one shows that the kernel of the morphism of relative complex tori is algebraic. For this it suffices to check that torsion is preserved by \( \text{Aut}(C/F) \), and it is easy to see this holds from the fact that the morphism is induced by an algebraic cycle defined over \( F \) (as in Remark 1.3); alternatively, it is dominated by the relative algebraic complex torus \((J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/U)_{an}\).

The assertion (3.5) is just a statement about fibers of correspondences, and Theorem 1.1 and Example 2.4 provide the needed identification of fibers. One also uses the general observation that the image of the multiplication by \( M \) map is the same complex torus.

The final statement, that the generic fiber \((A_U)_\eta\) is isomorphic to \( J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K \) over \( K \), can be established as follows. Let \( G \) be the kernel of the \( K \)-isogeny \( J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K \rightarrow (A_U)_\eta \). The isogeny, when pulled back to \( u \), gives a morphism

\[ J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \rightarrow A_{U,u} \rightarrow J^{2n+1}_a(X_u) \]

with composition equal to the multiplication by \( M \) map. Thus \( G \) and \( J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K [M] \) are reduced \( K \)-subsheaves of \( J^{2n+1}_a(X_u)/K \), with the same \( C \)-points, and are therefore the same scheme. It follows that 

\[(A_U)_\eta \cong J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K / J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K [M] = J^{2n+1}_{a,X_\eta}/K. \]

(3) The only thing to show is (3.6). Since both sides of the equation are continuous functions, it suffices to prove the assertion for a dense subset of \( U \), and in particular we can focus on \( F \)-very general points \( u \in U \). The assertion then follows from (2) together with Example 2.5.

We now use Theorem 3.1 to prove Theorem 1 over a Zariski dense open subset of the base:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( f : X \rightarrow B \) be a smooth surjective projective morphism of complex algebraic manifolds, let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer, let \( J^{2n+1}(X/B) \rightarrow B \) be the \((2n+1)\)-st relative Griffiths intermediate Jacobian. There is a Zariski open subset \( U \subseteq B \), a relative algebraic complex subtorus \( J^{2n+1}_a(X_U/U) \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U \) over \( U \) such that for very general \( u \in U \) the fiber \( J_a(X_U/U)_u \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X_u) \) is the image
$J_a^{2n+1}(X_u)$ of the Abel–Jacobi map $AJ_{X_u} : A^{n+1}(X_u) \to J^{2n+1}(X_u)$, and for any $Z \in CH^{n+1}(X)$ with every Gysin fiber algebraically trivial:

1. The restriction of the normal function $v_Z|_U : U \to J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U$ has image contained in the relative algebraic complex torus $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U)$ and is an algebraic map.
2. If, moreover, $X, B, f$, and $Z$ are all defined over a subfield $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then so are $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U)$ and the morphisms $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U) \to U$ and $v_Z|_U$.

Proof. In case (1), since $f : X \to B$ is defined over some field $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ that is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$, we may as well make this assumption from the start. In case (2) we may take our field of definition $F'$ to be contained in the given field $F$, and can base change to $F$ at the end, if necessary, and so we may as well assume $F$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ in case (2), as well. We are then in the situation of Theorem 3.1, and we will use the notation from that theorem moving forward.

First, we can take $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U) = A_U \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U$, the image of (3.4). Let $A_U$ be the corresponding abelian scheme over $U \subseteq B$. From Theorem 3.1(2) we have that the generic fiber $(A_U)_\eta$ is isomorphic over $K$ to the distinguished model $J_{a,X_\eta/K}^{2n+1}$. With cycle class $Z \in CH^{n+1}(X)$ as in the theorem, let

$$\delta_{Z_\eta} : \text{Spec } K \to J_{a,X_\eta/K}^{2n+1} \cong (A_U)_\eta$$

be the distinguished normal function. This then spreads to an $F$-morphism $\delta : U \to A_U$, after possibly replacing $U$ with a smaller Zariski open subset. The associated complex analytic map $\delta_{an} : U \to A_U \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U$ has the property that for $F$-very general $u \in U$ we have $(\delta_{an})_u : u \to A_{U,u} = J_a^{2n+1}(X_u)$ has image $v_Z(u)$; i.e., it agrees with the complex analytic normal function. This follows from (3.7) and Example 2.5. Therefore, since $\delta_{an}$ and $v_Z|_U$ are continuous and agree on the dense open subset of $F$-very general points of $U$, they agree on all of $U$. \qed

4. EXTENDING THE DISTINGUISHED MODEL AND DISTINGUISHED NORMAL FUNCTION

In light of Corollary 3.2, in order to prove Theorem 1, we only need to show that the distinguished model and distinguished normal function extend over the entire base $B$. We do this now.

Proof of Theorem 1. We use the notation from Theorem 1, and the partial result, Corollary 3.2. As mentioned above, we only need to show that the spread of the distinguished model $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U) \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U$ and distinguished normal function $\delta_{an} : U \to J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U)$ extend over the entire base $B$, to give algebraic objects over $F$. To begin, we switch to the algebraic setting, and let $J_{a,X_U/U}/U$ be the algebraic model of $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U)$, and let $\delta : U \to J_{a,X_U/U}^{2n+1}$ be the associated morphism of $F$-schemes.

First, we show that $J_{a,X_U/U}^{2n+1}$ extends to an abelian scheme $g : J_{a,X/B}^{2n+1} \to B$ over $B$. If $\dim B = 1$, we use the inclusion (3.3) and the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion as in [ACMV17, Lem. 6.1(a)]. If $\dim B \geq 2$, using the dimension 1 case we can extend over the generic points of divisors in the boundary $B - U$, and thus we can assume that $\text{codim}_B(B - U) \geq 2$. The assertion now follows from the Faltings–Chai Extension Theorem [FC90, Cor. 6.8, p.185].

Next we show that the relative algebraic complex torus $T_a^{2n+1}(X/B) := (J_{a,X/B}^{2n+1})_{an}$ induces an algebraic relative subtorus $J_a^{2n+1}(X/B) \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)$ extending $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U)$. For this we use the basic fact that any morphism of variations of Hodge structures extends over a codimension $\geq 2$ locus (see e.g., [PS08, Thm. 10.11, p.243] using $\pi_1(U,u) = \pi_1(B,u)$, or the proof of [Hai95, Lem. 6.3, p.117]), from which it follows that the inclusion $J_a^{2n+1}(X_U/U) \subseteq J^{2n+1}(X/B)|_U$ extends to a morphism

$$J_a^{2n+1}(X/B) \to J^{2n+1}(X/B)$$
with finite kernel, which *a priori* may be nontrivial only over \( B - U \). We define \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \subseteq J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \) to be the image of (4.1). Although it is not needed, we note that by Zariski’s Main Theorem the morphism of relative algebraic complex tori \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \to J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \) is an isomorphism.

The normal function \( \nu \) has image contained in \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \), since \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \) is separated, and \( \nu_{Z/U} \) has image contained in \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \), which is closed in \( J^{2n+1}_a(X/B) \). Finally, it is straightforward to check that \( \nu_Z \) is algebraic, and defined over \( F \), since \( \nu_Z|_U \) is algebraic and defined over \( F \). For completeness, we include this last assertion as Lemma 4.1 below.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( X, Y \) be schemes of finite type over \( F \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), with \( X \) reduced and \( Y \) separated, let \( U \subseteq X \) be a Zariski open subset, let \( f_U : U \to Y \) be a morphism of \( F \)-schemes, and assume that the associated morphism of analytic spaces \( (f_U)_an : U \to Y \) extends to a morphism \( f : X \to Y \). Then \( f_U \) extends to a morphism \( f : X \to Y \) over \( F \) with \( f_{an} = f \).

**Proof.** We may immediately reduce to the case with \( X \) integral, and \( Y \) reduced. Now consider the graph \( \Gamma_{f_U} \subseteq U \times_F Y \subseteq X \times_F Y \), which is closed in \( U \times_F Y \) since \( Y \) is separated. Let \( \Gamma \subseteq X \times_F Y \) be the closure of \( \Gamma_{f_U} \), which we observe is an integral subscheme. Now let \( \Gamma = \Gamma_{an} \subseteq X \times Y \) be the associated complex analytic space. We have by assumption that \( (\Gamma_{f_U})_{an} = (\Gamma_f)_{U \times_Y Y} \). Now \( \Gamma_f \) is the analytic closure of \( (\Gamma_f)|_{U \times Y} \) in \( X \times Y \). Since \( (\Gamma_{f_U})_{U \times_Y Y} \subseteq \Gamma \), we have \( \Gamma_f \) is equal to the analytic closure of \( (\Gamma_{f_U})_{an} \) in \( \Gamma \). But \( \Gamma_{f_U} \) is a Zariski open subset of an integral scheme \( \Gamma \) of finite type over \( F \), and so \( \Gamma_f = \Gamma \); see e.g. [Gro71, Exposé XII, Cor. 2.3].

Now we just need to conclude that \( \Gamma \) induces a morphism \( X \to Y \). It suffices to show that the second projection \( q_1 : \Gamma \to X \) is an isomorphism. But this follows from the fact that a morphism between the complex analytic spaces associated to two \( F \)-schemes descends to \( F \) if and only if it is \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/F) \)-equivariant (apply, e.g., [Voil3, §5.2] to its graph), and the fact that \( q_1 : \Gamma \to X \) is an isomorphism.
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