## Introduction to Voting Theory

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem
A method for determining election results that is democratic and always fair is a mathematical impossibility.

Ballots- how individual voters express opinions

* Top Choice Ballot- most familar, voter picks first choice only
* Preference Ballot- not the most common type, choices listed in order of preference

Example:
List the following fruits in order of preference:
Apple, Banana, Mango, Pear:
(1) Mango
(2) Banana
(3) Apple
(4) Pear

Two important voting properties to use with
Preference Ballots:

Transitivity of individual preferences if a voter prefers $\mathbf{A}$ to $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ to $\mathbf{C}$, then the voter prefers $\mathbf{A}$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

## Elimination of a Candidate

If a voter ranks candidates $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and candidate $\mathbf{B}$ drops out of the election, then the new rank is $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$. (i.e. relative preferences are preserved)

## Using preference ballots

Some sample ballots:

| Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1^{\text {st }}$ | B | $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1^{\text {st }}$ | B |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | A | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | P | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P |

Make a Preference Schedule
Step 1: combine identical ballots

| Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | B | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | B | $1^{\text {st }}$ | M |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | A |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | P |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B |

Step 2: Organize results in a table

| Preference Schedule: Favorite Fruit |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Number of voters | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| First choice | M | B | M |
| Second choice | P | M | A |
| Third choice | A | A | P |
| Fourth choice | B | P | B |

Consider another election: The Math Appreciation
Society is voting for president. The candidates are Alisha, Boris, Carmen, and Dave. 37 club members vote, using a preference ballot.

Summary of the 37 ballots:
Preference Schedule: MAS Election

| Number of voters | 14 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First choice | A | C | D | B | C |
| Second choice | B | B | C | D | D |
| Third choice | C | D | B | C | B |
| Fourth choice | D | A | A | A | A |

Plurality Method
Candidate with the most first place votes wins.
Plurality vs. Majority
Majority- more than half of the votes
Plurality- the most first place votes

## The Majority Criterion

If a choice receives a majority of the first-
place votes in an election, then that choice
should be the winner of the election.
Plurality method satisfies the majority criterion-

The marching band is deciding which bowl to play at
(Rose, Fiesta, Hula, Orange, Sugar). Here is the preference schedule summarizing the ballots.

| Preference Schedule: Which Bowl? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Number of voters | 49 | 48 | 3 |
| First choice | R | H | F |
| Second choice | H | S | H |
| Third choice | F | O | S |
| Fourth choice | O | F | O |
| Fifth choice | S | R | R |

## Condorcet Criterion

If there is a choice that in a head-to-head comparison is preferred by the voters over every other choice, then that choice should be the winner of the election.

Head-to-head comparison: Compare two candidates, then another two, until all candidates have been considered. Is there one candidate that is always preferred?

| Preference Schedule: Which Bowl? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Number of voters | 49 | 48 | 3 |
| First choice | R | H | F |
| Second choice | H | S | H |
| Third choice | F | O | S |
| Fourth choice | O | F | O |
| Fifth choice | S | R | R |

Call the Hula Bowl a Compromise Candidate

Insincere Voting- problem with plurality voting

## Borda Count

- looks at all positions, not just first place
- compromise candidate
- preference schedule

The Borda Count works by assigning points for places. Four places:
first place gets 4 points, second place gets 3 points, third place gets 2 points and fourth place gets 1 point.

Add up all the points for each candidate and the winner is the candidate with the most points.

## Example: Favorite Fruit

| Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  | Ballot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | B | $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1^{\text {st }}$ | M | $1^{\text {st }}$ | B |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | P | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | A | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | M |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | P | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | A |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | B | $4^{\text {th }}$ | P |

Let's add points for each fruit: Remember, 4 points for each first place vote, 3 for each second place, etc.

Mango: $4+3+4+4+3=18$ points
Banana: $1+4+1+1+4=11$ points
Apple: $2+2+2+3+2=11$ points
Pear: $3+1+3+2+1=10$ points
Winner is Mango.

How do we do the Borda Count if we only have a preference schedule?

Use (\#voters) $\times$ (points for the position) for each column and then add.

Use the Borda Count Method to determine the winner of the MAS Election.

| Preference Schedule: MAS Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of voters | 14 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| First choice | A | C | D | B | C |
| Second choice | B | B | C | D | D |
| Third choice | C | D | B | C | B |
| Fourth choice | D | A | A | A | A |

A: $14 \times 4+10 \times 1+8 \times 1+4 \times 1+1 \times 1=79$
B: $14 \times 3+10 \times 3+8 \times 2+4 \times 4+1 \times 2=106$
C: $14 \times 2+10 \times 4+8 \times 3+4 \times 2+1 \times 4=104$
D: $14 \times 1+10 \times 2+8 \times 4+4 \times 3+1 \times 3=81$
Boris is winner!

School Principal Example
A school needs to elect a new principal.
Candidates: Mrs. Amaro, Mr. Burr, Mr.
Castro, and Ms. Dunbar
Preference Schedule: Principal

| Number of voters | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First choice | A | B | C |
| Second choice | B | C | D |
| Third choice | C | D | B |
| Fourth choice | D | A | A |

Try it: Use the Borda Count to find the winner.

B, or Mr. Burr is winner.

## Summary

$\uparrow$ Two Ballot Types, Top Choice and Preference -Preference Schedule summarizes the ballots -Arrow's Impossibility Theorem: It is impossible to fairly and democratically pick a winner.
-Plurality Method for chosing winner picks the candidate with the most first place votes.
-The Plurality Method satisfies the Majority Criterion.
-The Plurality Method can violate the Condorcet Criterion.

- Insincere Voting
$\diamond$ Borda Count- In an election with $N$ candidates we give 1 point for last place, 2 points for second from last place,..., and $N$ points for first place.

The choice with the highest total wins.
$\diamond$ Can violate the Majority Criterion
$\diamond$ Can violate the Condorcet Criterion
$\diamond$ Finds the best compromise candidate.
$\diamond$ Used for the Heisman Award, American and National Baseball MVP, Country Music Vocalist of the Year

