

A Nonlinear Parabolic Initial Boundary Value Problem

For $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, open, bounded with smooth boundary Γ , consider the IBVP

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(x,t) - \operatorname{div}(a(u(x,t))\nabla u(x,t)) &= f(x,t) && \text{in } U \times (0, T) \\ u(x,t) &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T) \\ u(x,0) &= u_0(x) && \text{in } U \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{belongs to } L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$0 < C_0 \leq a(s) \leq C_1 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $V = H_0^1(U) \subset H = L^2(U) \subset V' = H^{-1}(U)$

and define $a(u, v) = \int_U a(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$ for $u, v \in V$

Evidently, $|a(u, v)| \leq C_1 \left| \int_U \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx \right| \leq C_1 \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$

and it follows that $A(u)$ defined by

$$\langle A(u), v \rangle_{V' \times V} = a(u, v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in V$$

defines a nonlinear map from V into V' such that for all $u \in V$,

$$\|A(u)\|_{V'} \leq C_1 \|u\|_V$$

Then we define $u(t) \in L^2[0, T : V]$ to be a weak solution of the IBVP if

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u'(t), v)_H + a(u(t), v) = (f(t), v)_H \quad \text{for all } v \in V, \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Alternatively, $u(t)$ must satisfy

$$(2) \quad u'(t) + A(u(t)) = f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

Suppose $f \in L^2[0, T : H]$ and $u_0 \in H$. Then there exists a unique weak solution for the IBVP. This weak solution has the following additional smoothness,

$$u'(t) \in L^2[0, T : V'] \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) \in C[0, T : H]$$

Proof- Since the embedding of V in H is compact, it follows that there is an orthonormal basis for H , $\{w_k\}$, which is simultaneously an orthogonal basis for V ; i.e.,

$$(w_j, w_k)_H = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \\ \lambda_j(w_j, w_j)_V & \text{if } j = k \end{cases}$$

Then, for $N = 1, 2, \dots$ let

$$u_N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{k,N}(t) w_k$$

satisfy,

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u'_N(t), w_j)_H + a(u_N(t), w_j) = (f(t), w_j)_H \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N \\ (u_N(0) - u_0, w_j)_H = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right\}$$

This is a system of nonlinear ODE's for the coefficients $\{c_{j,N}(t)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c'_{j,N}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^N B_{j,k}(c_1, \dots, c_N) c_{k,N}(t) &= f_j(t) \\ c_{j,N}(0) &= (u_0, w_j)_H \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{j,k}(c_1, \dots, c_N) = \int_U a(u_N) \nabla w_j \cdot \nabla w_k \, dx$$

For each fixed N , it is well known that this nonlinear IVP has a unique solution on an interval $[0, T_N]$ with $T_N \leq T$. In order to have $T_N < T$ the solution would have to become infinite as t tends to T_N . However, the a-priori estimates we are about to prove will show that such unbounded behavior for $u_N(t)$ is not possible. Therefore, for each N , an approximate solution $u_N(t)$ exists on $[0, T]$.

a-priori estimates

It follows from (3) that

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u_N(t)\|_H^2 + 2a(u_N(t), u_N(t)) = 2(f(t), u_N(t))_H.$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_N(t)\|_H^2 + 2C_0 \|u_N(t)\|_V^2 \leq 2\|f(t)\|_H \|u_N(t)\|_H$$

$$\leq (1/C_0) \|f(t)\|_H^2 + C_0 \|u_N(t)\|_V^2$$

or,

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u_N(t)\|_H^2 + C_0 \|u_N(t)\|_V^2 \leq (1/C_0) \|f(t)\|_H^2$$

Then, clearly,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_N(t)\|_H^2 \leq (1/C_0) \|f(t)\|_H^2$$

Integrate this last expression from $t = 0$ to $t = \tau$, to get

$$\|u_N(\tau)\|_H^2 \leq \|u_N(0)\|_H^2 + (1/C_0) \int_0^\tau \|f(t)\|_H^2 dt \leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + \|f\|_{L^2[0,T,H]}^2$$

Since this holds for all $\tau \in [0, T]$ it follows that

$$(6) \quad \|u_N\|_{L^\infty[0,T,H]}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + \|f\|_{L^2[0,T,H]}^2 = M_1$$

This shows that the sequence of approximate solutions is a bounded infinite set in $L^\infty[0, T : H]$

Integrating (5) from 0 to T, leads to

$$\|u_N(T)\|_H^2 + C_0 \int_0^T \|u_N(t)\|_V^2 dt \leq \|u_N(0)\|_H^2 + (1/C_0) \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_H^2 dt$$

i.e.,

$$(7) \quad \|u_N\|_{L^2[0,T,V]}^2 \leq (1/C_0) \|u_0\|_H^2 + (1/C_0)^2 \|f\|_{L^2[0,T,H]}^2 = M_2$$

This shows that the sequence of approximate solutions is a bounded infinite set in $L^2[0, T : V]$.

Next, for each N, let $P_N : V \rightarrow V_N = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ denote the projection from V into V_N . Then it follows from (3) that for each N,

$$\langle u'_N(t) + A(u_N(t)) - f(t), P_N v \rangle_{V' \times V} = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

This is equivalent to

$$\langle P_N^\top \{u'_N(t) + A(u_N(t)) - f(t)\}, v \rangle_{V' \times V} = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

where $P_N^\top : V'_N \rightarrow V'$ But $P_N^\top \{u'_N(t) = u'_N(t)$, so

$$\|u'_N(t)\|_{L^2[0,T,V']} = \left(\int_0^T (\sup_{\|v\|_V=1} |\langle u'_N(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V}|)^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u'_N(t)\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} &= \|P_N^T\{A(u_N(t)) - f(t)\}\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} \\
&\leq \|A(u_N(t)) - f(t)\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} \\
&\leq \|A(u_N(\bullet))\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} + \|f\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} \\
&\leq C_1\|u_N\|_{L^2[0,T;V]} + \|f\|_{L^2[0,T;V]}; \quad \text{i.e.,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(8) \quad \|u'_N(t)\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} \leq M_1 C_1 + \|f\|_{L^2[0,T;V]} = M_3$$

This shows that the sequence of derivatives approximate solutions is a bounded infinite set in $L^2[0, T : V']$

passing to the limit

The a-priori estimates (7) and (8) imply the existence of a subsequence of $\{u_N(t)\}$ such that

$$(a) \quad u_N(t) \rightarrow u(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2[0, T : V]$$

$$(b) \quad u'_N(t) \rightarrow v(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2[0, T : V']$$

The usual distributional argument is used to show that $v(t) = u'(t)$. It follows from (a) and the fact that V is compactly embedded in H that there is a further subsequence (still denoted by $\{u_N(t)\}$) such that

$$(c) \quad u_N(t) \rightarrow u(t) \text{ strongly in } L^2[0, T : H]$$

It also follows from (7) and the assumptions on $a(\bullet)$, that

$$\|A(u_N(\bullet))\|_{L^2[0,T;V']} \leq C_1 M_2$$

which leads to

$$(d) \quad A(u_N(\bullet)) \rightarrow B_1 \text{ weakly in } L^2[0, T : V']$$

Now let

$$b(u) = \int_0^u a(s) ds;$$

i.e., $b'(u) = a(u)$, $b(0) = 0$, and $b(u)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Also,

$$C_0 |u| \leq |b(u)| \leq C_1 |u| \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since $\nabla b(u) = a(u)\nabla u$, it follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\|b(u_N(\bullet))\|_{L^2[0,T;V]} \leq C_1 M_1 \quad \forall N.$$

It now follows that

$$(e) \quad b(u_N(\bullet)) \rightarrow B_2 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2[0, T : V]$$

and the compactness of the embedding $V \subset H$ then implies that $b(u_N(\bullet)) \rightarrow B_2$ strongly in $L^2[0, T : H]$. But $b(\bullet)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} and $u_N(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ strongly in $L^2[0, T : H]$. Then it follows that $b(u_N(\bullet)) \rightarrow b(u)$ strongly in $L^2[0, T : H]$, hence $b(u) = B_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now} \quad a(u, v) &= \int_U a(u) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_U \nabla b(u) \cdot \nabla v \, dx \\ &= (b(u), v)_V - (b(u), v)_H \end{aligned}$$

hence for arbitrary $v \in V$

$$\int_0^T \langle A(u_N(t)), v \rangle_{V' \times V} \, dt = \int_0^T \{(b(u_N), v)_V - (b(u_N), v)_H\} \, dt.$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^T \{(b(u_N), v)_V - (b(u_N), v)_H\} \, dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \{(b(u), v)_V - (b(u), v)_H\} \, dt$$

$$\text{and} \quad \int_0^T \langle A(u_N(t)), v \rangle_{V' \times V} \, dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle B_1, v \rangle_{V' \times V} \, dt.$$

$$\text{Also} \quad \int_0^T \{(b(u), v)_V - (b(u), v)_H\} \, dt = \int_0^T a(u, v) \, dt = \int_0^T \langle A(u(t)), v \rangle_{V' \times V} \, dt$$

and together these results imply that $A(u(t)) = B_1$; i.e., $A(u_N(\bullet)) \rightarrow A(u(t))$, weakly in $L^2[0, T : V']$. Then we can pass to the limit in (3) to see that $u(t)$ is a weak solution of the partial differential equation. Then $u'(t) = f(t) - A(u(t))$ belongs to $L^2[0, T : V']$ and it follows that $u(t) \in L^2[0, T : V] \cap C[0, T : H]$. In addition, we can show that $u(0) = u_0$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that $u_1(t), u_2(t) \in L^2[0, T : V]$ are two weak solutions of (1). Then $w(t) = u_1(t) - u_2(t)$ solves

$$\langle \partial_t w(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V} + \langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), v \rangle_{V' \times V} = 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad w(0) = 0.$$

But
$$\langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), v \rangle_{V' \times V} = \int_U \nabla(b(u_1) - b(u_2)) \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

$$= (b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_V - (b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_H$$

and
$$(b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_V = \langle b(u_1) - b(u_2), Jv \rangle_{V \times V'} = (b(u_1) - b(u_2), Jv)_H$$

where J denotes the isomorphism that associates $v \in V$ with a unique element $Jv \in V'$ as prescribed by the fact that H is the pivot space between V and V' . If we choose $v \in V$ such that $Jv = w$, then

$$(b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_V = (b(u_1) - b(u_2), w)_H$$

and
$$\langle A(u_1) - A(u_2), v \rangle_{V' \times V} = (b(u_1) - b(u_2), w)_H - (b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_H.$$

It follows that

$$\langle \partial_t w(t), v \rangle_{V' \times V} + (b(u_1) - b(u_2), w)_H = (b(u_1) - b(u_2), v)_H.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_t w(t), J^{-1}w \rangle_{V' \times V} + C_0 \|w\|_H^2 &\leq C_1 \int_U |w(x)| |J^{-1}w(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} C_0 \|w\|_H^2 + C_2 \|J^{-1}w(x)\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note also, that
$$\langle \partial_t w(t), J^{-1}w \rangle_{V' \times V} = (\partial_t w(t), w)_{V'} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{V'}^2$$

and
$$\|J^{-1}w(x)\|_H^2 = (J^{-1}w(x), J^{-1}w(x))_H = (w, w)_{V'}.$$

Then from
$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{V'}^2 \leq 2C_2 \|w(t)\|_{V'}^2 \quad w(0) = 0,$$

it follows that
$$\|w(t)\|_{V'} = 0 \quad \text{so the solution is unique.}$$