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State of the Art
There are practical methods (GAP and Magma) for computing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Permutation</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order, Membership</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matrix Group Recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homorphisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centralizers, Normalizers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjugating Elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes of Elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomorphism Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Stabilizer Chain
- Backtrack
- Solvable Radical / Trivial Fitting
- Extend Solvable Radical Method
- Want!
Step 1: Matrix Group Recognition

Matrix Group Recognition finds actions and thus obtains a composition tree

\[ G \text{ with } \varphi \]

\[ N = \ker \varphi \leq G \quad \text{with } \psi \]
\[ \ker \psi \leq N \quad \text{Image}(\psi) \]

\[ F = \text{Image}(\varphi) \quad \text{with } \chi \]
\[ \ker \chi \leq F \quad \text{Image}(\chi) \]

At each node, we can evaluate the homomorphism (by acting on the objects of the underlying decomposition) and have generators for the kernel.
Each leaf of the tree is a simple group. We know its type and have an isomorphism to a natural representation. (Assume we know *everything* about the simple groups.) The tree thus represents a composition series of $G$. We know the subgroups in this series and for each subgroup the homomorphism on its simple quotient.
Step 2: Radical and its Quotient

To use the solvable radical method, we need to find $R = \text{Rad}(G) \triangleleft G$, the largest solvable normal subgroup, and an effective homomorphism $\varrho: G \to G/R$.

$\text{Soc}(G/R)$ is direct product of simple nonabelian groups and (up to isomorphism) $G/R \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Soc}(G/R))$.

So $\varrho$ should be the action of $G$ on this socle. But the socle factors are spread over the composition series.
Reconstructing the Socle Action

Let $C$ be a subgroup in the composition series, $C \rightarrow T$ simple nonabelian quotient in series. If $C$ is deepest in series, elements of $C$ represent a single factor of this socle.

Conjugation by $g \in G$ will map $C$ to $C^g$.

In chain, $C^g$ maps to quotient $A/B$ of same isomorphism type.

$A/B$ represents another socle factor.

We thus can act on $\text{Soc}(G/R)$.
Combining Actions

The $G$ on non-abelian composition factors of one type $T$ yields a homomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow (\text{Aut } T) \wr S_n$. Image is permutation group (or matrix group). Combine to $\varrho = \alpha_1 \times \ldots \times \alpha_m$ into direct product. This is the action of $G$ on $\text{Soc}(G/R)$. Thus $\ker \varrho = R$.

If the image is a permutation group, use existing methods for computation.
Layering the Radical

**Conjecture:** Solvable matrix group $R$ usually has a short orbit on vectors or submodules. If no large primes: $\max(12,n) \cdot (q^{(n/2)} + 1)$

Submodules for $R'$, $R''$, ... give candidates.

Algorithm by SIMS (solvable BSGS) finds series $G \triangleright R = R_0 \triangleright R_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \langle 1 \rangle$ with $R_i/R_{i+1}$ elementary abelian, coefficients in these vector spaces (PCGS).
Step 3: Working with Subgroups

To avoid evaluating $\varrho$ represent $U \leq G$ by:
- An induced PCGS (think: REF for matrix) for $U \cap R$.
- Generators $u_i \in U$ s.t. $U = \langle U \cap R, u_1, u_2, \ldots \rangle$
- Images $u_i^e$ as elements of $G/R \leq D$.

Element test in $U$ then first tests in $U^e$. Then divide off and test in $U \cap R$.

Analogously, for any $x \in G$ in the algorithm also maintain its image $x^e \in G/R$. 
Step 4: Lifting

We can now proceed essentially in the same way as for permutation groups:

Assume we know the result in $G/R = G/R_0$. (E.g. by permutation group methods, if this is a permutation group.)

Now go repeatedly from $G/R_i$ to $G/R_{i+1}$ until we reach $R_k = \langle 1 \rangle$.

Each step reduces to orbit calculations for an (affine) action on $R_i/R_{i+1}$. 
Orbit/Stabilizer Algorithm

When calculating orbit/stabilizer of $\delta$ under $U$ (this will be a basic operation)

- Calculate the orbit $\Delta$ of $\delta$ under $N = U \cap R \triangleleft U$ and the stabilizer $V \trianglelefteq U \cap R$ of $\delta$. $\Delta$ is a $U$-block.

- Calculate the orbit and stabilizer of $\Delta$ under $U$ by computing in $U_e$. (Represent $\Delta^u$ by single element.)

- Correct generators of $\text{Stab}_U(\Delta)$ to get $\text{Stab}_U(\delta)$ as complement.
Step 5: Implementations

New interface for solvable radical code in GAP 4.7. Used by new ConjugacyClasses/Centralizer/Canonical Conjugate routine. (MECKY/NEUBUESER, SOUVIGNIER/HOLT/CANNON, H.)

(Backtrack centralizer is often faster, but canonical element is nice.)

Experimental implementation of this interface for matrix groups, using recog package (NEUNHOEFFER, SERESS).

Applicable to matrix groups of considerable size.
Conjugacy Classes Runtimes
Times in Seconds on a 2.6GHz MacPro.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>deg</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>#Classes</th>
<th>t_Setup</th>
<th>t_Calc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(GL(_2)(5) &amp; S(_3)) \perp (L(_2)(11) &amp; S(_3))</td>
<td>19076854579200000000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1235200</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GL(_2)(5) &amp; S(_3)) \perp (L(_2)(11) &amp; S(_3))</td>
<td>57230563737600000000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>503808</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^9)+16.S(_8)(2)</td>
<td>1589728887019929600</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^1)+12.2Suz.2</td>
<td>2859230155080499200</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(^9): (GL(_3)(5) &amp; GL(_3)(5))</td>
<td>43245000000000000000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18464</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6.A(_5)) &amp; S(_5)</td>
<td>56422198149120000000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>526473</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^{15}): (M(_11) &amp; S(_3))</td>
<td>4277062690772889600</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^1)+22.Co(_2)</td>
<td>35488359566121369600</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>4790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2(^2)×3).U(_6)(2)) &amp; S(_2)</td>
<td>24359528244192686899200</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>77814</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11(^9): (SL(_3)(11) &amp; SL(_3)(11))</td>
<td>536407470703125000000000000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20759</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5(^3)×L(_3)(5)) &amp; S(_3)</td>
<td>603267750000000000000000000</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12200</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{44}): (M(_11)×(2(^4):(S(_3)×S(_3))))</td>
<td>2480816141360352083312640</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10759</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3(^{10}): (M(_11) &amp; 2)) \perp (J(_2) &amp; 2)</td>
<td>27096704238916730880000000000</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>127764</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(^{12}): (SL(_3)(7) &amp; SP(_4)(7))</td>
<td>21556715139427451384217600</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7701</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subgroup Normalizers

Experimental implementation of Subgroup Normalizer (following GLASBY/SLATTERY method for PcGroups.)

To normalize $H$ in $G$, when $M,N \triangleleft G$, normalize closures/intersections in order $A,B,C,$...

**Up step:** Stabilize complement (act on cohomology).

**Down Step:** Stabilize Subspace (Scarily long orbits, centralizer and induced automorphisms.)
Constructive Existence Proof of Implementation

gap> g:=AtlasSubgroup("J4",IsMatrixGroup,1); # 2^{11}M24
<matrix group of size 501397585920 with 2 generators>
gap> ff:=FittingFreeLiftSetup(g);; # very fast
gap> u:=Subgroup(g,[g.1,g.1^g.2]);;
gap> Size(u);
22

gap> NormalizerViaRadical(g,u);
<matrix group of size 220 with 4 generators>

gap> u:=HallViaRadical(g,[3]); # Joint work with EICK
[ <matrix group of size 27 with 3 generators> ]
gap> n:=NormalizerViaRadical(g,u[1]);time;
<matrix group of size 432 with 7 generators>
6583
Somewhat larger example

gap> g;  # Max in BM, dimension 813 over GF(2), 4ms/prod.
2^(2+10+20).(M22:2 x S3)
gap> Size(g);
22858846741463040
gap> ff:=FittingFreeLiftSetup(g);;  # ~10 minutes
#I  Used Base Points[ ...] Lengths [ 3, 2, 32768, 32, 4096 ]
gap> ff.radical;
<matrix group of size 25769803776 with 34 generators>
gap> ff.pcisom;
Pcgs([ <an immutable 813x813 matrix over GF2>, [...] <an immutable 813x813 matrix over GF2> ] ) -> Pcgs([ f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7, f8, f9, f10, f11, f12, f13, f14, f15, f16, f17, f18, f19, f20, f21, f22, f23, f24, f25, f26, f27, f28, f29, f30, f31, f32, f33, f34 ])
gap> u:=HallViaRadical(g,[3]);time;
[ <matrix group of size 27 with 3 generators> ]
36697
gap> n:=NormalizerViaRadical(g,u[1]);
#I  Radsize= 9 index 1
#I  abelian factor 2: 25769803776->12884901888 central:true
#I  down
#I  module of dimension 1 subspace 0
#I  up 0: on 2 cobounds:1
#I  abelian factor 3: 12884901888->4294967296 central:false
#I  down
#I  module of dimension 1 subspace 1
#I  abelian factor 4: 4294967296->4096 central:false
#I  down
#I  module of dimension 2 subspace 0
#I  module of dimension 18 subspace 0
#I  module of dimension 20 subspace 0
#I  up 2:3 on 1048576 cobounds:1048576
#I  up 0: on 1048576 cobounds:1


...continued more

#I abelian factor 5: 4096->4 central:false
#I down
#I module of dimension 1 subspace 0
#I module of dimension 2 subspace 0
#I module of dimension 10 subspace 0
#I up 2:3 on 1024 cobounds:1
#I up 0: on 1024 cobounds:1
#I abelian factor 6: 4->1 central:false
#I down
#I module of dimension 2 subspace 0
#I up 2:3 on 4 cobounds:4
#I up 0: on 4 cobounds:1

<matrix group of size 3456 with 9 generators>

<matrix group of size 3456 with 9 generators>
gap> time;  # about 50 minutes
2828467
gap> Size(g)/Size(last);
6614249635840