

Homework 3
Due: Friday, February 13

1. [Associativity] Suppose $P, Q, R \in E(K)$; we wish to show that

$$(P + Q) + R = P + (Q + R),$$

where $S + T = (S * T) * \mathcal{O}$, and (thus) $S * T = -(S + T)$. Assume that P, Q and R are sufficiently general, in the sense that

$$\{P, Q, R, P + Q, P + R, Q + R\}$$

are all distinct.

- (a) Show that it suffices to prove that

$$(P + Q) * R = P * (Q + R).$$

- (b) Consider the lines

$$\overline{P + Q : R} \text{ and } \overline{P : Q + R}.$$

Show that it suffices to show

$$\overline{P + Q : R} \cap \overline{P : Q + R} \subset E.$$

- (c) Consider the following cubic curves, each of which is a union of three lines:

$$C_1 : \overline{Q : R} \cup \overline{P : Q + R} \cup \overline{\mathcal{O} : P + Q}$$

$$C_2 : \overline{P + Q : R} \cup \overline{Q + R : \mathcal{O}} \cup \overline{P : Q}$$

Compute, in terms of P, Q , and R , the nine points of intersection of C_1 and C_2 .

- (d) Finish by using the following result:

Cayley-Bacharach Theorem Let E, C_1 and C_2 be cubic curves, where no two contain a common component; let $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{P_1, \dots, P_9\}$. Suppose E contains $\{P_1, \dots, P_8\}$. Then $P_9 \in E$, too.

2. Sketch a proof of the Cayley-Bacharach theorem, by justifying the following claims:

- (a) The set Cubics of all cubic curves is a nine-dimensional space. (HINT: $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ and $\lambda F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ determine the same curve.)
 (b) Let P_1, \dots, P_8 be distinct points. Show that

$$\text{Cubics}(P_1, \dots, P_8) := \{C \in \text{Cubics} : \text{each } P_i \in C\}$$

is one-dimensional.

(c) Let $C_1 = \mathcal{V}_{F_1}$ and $C_2 = \mathcal{V}_{F_2}$. Show that

$$\text{Cubics}(P_1, \dots, P_8) = \{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_1 F_1 + \lambda_2 F_2} : \lambda_i \in K\}.$$

(d) Show that

$$\text{Cubics}(P_1, \dots, P_8) = \text{Cubics}(P_1, \dots, P_9).$$

3. Given elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, the (additive) subgroup they generate is:

$$G_{\alpha, \beta} = \{m\alpha + n\beta : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(a) Give an example where $G_{\alpha, \beta} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) Give an example where $G_{\alpha, \beta} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, but $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is *not* discrete.

4. Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a lattice, with ordered basis $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$. Let D be the (open) parallelogram $\{s\omega_1 + t\omega_2 : 0 < s, t < 1\}$, and let C be a simple, positive closed contour around the boundary of \overline{D} . (For example, C is the piecewise-linear contour which visits, successively, $0, \omega_1, \omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_2$, and back to 0 .)

Suppose that f is meromorphic, Λ -periodic, and has no poles along C . Show that $\int_C f(z) dz = 0$.

REMARK This, combined with the residue theorem, can be used to show that a nonconstant Λ -periodic meromorphic function has at least two poles.