Consider the following differential operator acting on a bounded open set U in R^2 :

$$L[u(x,y)] = a(x,y)\nabla^2 u(x,y) + b(x,y) \partial_x u(x,y) + c(x,y) u(x,y)$$

with

$$U = \{(x,y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1, y > 0\} \cup \{(x,y) : |x| < 1, -1 < y \le 0\}$$

= $U_+ \cup U_-$.

and

$$\partial U = \partial U_+ \cup \partial U_- = \left\{ x^2 + y^2 = 1, \ y > 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ |x| = 1, \ -1 < y \le 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ |x| < 1, \ -1 = y \right\}$$

1. Is the boundary of *U* sufficiently smooth that the trace operators are defined? In other words, if $u \in H^1(U)$ is it possible to define restrictions to the boundary for u(x,y) and $\partial_A u(x,y) = a(x,y) \partial_N u(x,y) \cdot n(x,y)$ and to know that these belong to $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$, respectively?

Consider the following BVP's

(I)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in U$$
$$u(x,y) = 0 \quad (x,y) \in \partial U$$

(II)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in U$$
$$u(x,y) = g(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \partial U$$

(III)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in U$$
$$\partial_A u(x,y) = 0 \quad (x,y) \in \partial U$$

(IV)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y)$$
 $(x,y) \in U$
 $\partial_A u(x,y) = g(x,y)$ $(x,y) \in \partial U$

(V)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in U$$
$$u(x,y) = g(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \partial U_+$$
$$\partial_A u(x,y) = 0 \quad (x,y) \in \partial U_-$$

(VI)
$$L[u(x,y)] = f(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in U$$
$$u(x,y) = g(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \partial U_+$$
$$\partial_A u(x,y) = h(x,y) \quad (x,y) \in \partial U_-$$

- 1. Give the weak formulation for each problem, specifying what is the solution space, the bilinear form and the right hand side of the weak equation
- 2. Determine conditions on *a*, *b*, and *c* sufficient to imply that the bilinear form is coercive on the solution space. If the coefficients are assumed only to be, say continuous and positive on *U*, is the bilinear form still coercive? What can you conclude about the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the BVP? Is it possible to have more than one solution?
- **3**. In problems (*III*) and (*IV*), are the boundary conditions incorporated into the definition of the solution space? How do you show that the boundary conditions are satisfied?
- **4**. How is the boundary condition in (*II*) treated differently from the boundary condition in (*I*)? How do you know it is possible to find a function $G \in H^1(U)$ whose restriction to ∂U equals g?