

Math 676: Day 9

Symplectic Geometry

Def: A **symplectic manifold** is a manifold M together with a **closed**, nondegenerate 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$.

Closed means $d\omega = 0$.

Nondegenerate means that, at each $p \in M$, the map $\Phi_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M^*$ given by $\Phi_p(v)(u) = \omega_p(v, u)$ is an isomorphism (equivalently, $\ker(\Phi_p) = \{0\}$, since we already know $T_p M$ & $T_p M^*$ are the same dimension).

In general, a skew-symmetric bilinear map $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where V is a v.s. is called **symplectic** if $\Phi_\omega : V \rightarrow V^*$ is an isomorphism. The pair (V, ω) is called a **symplectic vector space**.

Ex: Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ & define $\omega : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\omega(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = (\bar{i}\bar{u}) \cdot \bar{v}$.

This nondegeneracy follows from Riesz representation & the fact that mult. by i is an isomorphism.

This is the origin of the term "symplectic": "the name 'complex group' formerly proposed by me... has become more and more embarrassing through collision with the word 'complex' in the connotation of complex numbers. I therefore propose to replace it by the corresponding Greek adjective 'symplectic'." — Hermann Weyl, Classical Groups, p. 165.
 [twining or plaiting together]

A symplectic v.s. can always be equipped w/ a **symplectic basis** $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ s.t. $\omega(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$

$$\text{& } \omega(e_i, e_j) = 0 = \omega(f_i, f_j).$$

w.r.t. this basis, ω has the form $\omega(u, v) = (-u-) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ v \end{bmatrix}$

(notice that $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \pi/2 & \sin \pi/2 \\ -\sin \pi/2 & \cos \pi/2 \end{bmatrix}$ is the std. rep. of i as an elt. of $SO(2)$).

In particular, my symplectic v.s. must be even-dimensional.

Of course, this also implies a symplectic manifold must be even-dim'l, since the symplectic form endows each tangent space a symplectic vector space.

Ex: Let $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ w/ coords. $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ & define

$$\omega := \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$$

Then at each $p \in M$, $\varphi_{wp}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = (\sum dx_i \wedge dy_i)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = 1$ & likewise $\varphi_{wp}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i})$, so φ_{wp} is

injective & so ω is nondegenerate.

then obviously $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\}$ is a symplectic basis for each tangent space

Also, $d\omega = d(\sum dx_i \wedge dy_i) = \sum [d(dx_i) \wedge dy_i - dx_i \wedge d(dy_i)] = 0$, so ω is a symplectic form.

Notice that ω itself is just the same "multiplication by i " form discussed above.

Ex: Let $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ w/ coords z_1, \dots, z_n & define

$$\omega := \frac{i}{2} \sum dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k$$

Then ω is symplectic... in fact, equals the ω from the previous ex. w/ $z_k = x_k + iy_k$.

Ex: $M = S^2$ w/ the form ω given by $\omega_p(u, v) = (u \times v) \cdot p$. $d\omega = 0$ b/c all 3-fans on a 2-mfd are zero, & we saw last time that ω is nondegenerate.

In fact, more generally, any oriented surface is a symplectic mfd, w/ the symplectic form given by the area form (which exists since the surface is oriented)

Thm: If (M^n, ω) is a symplectic mfd, then $\omega^n := \underbrace{\omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega}_{n \text{ times}}$ is a volume form.

Pf: Exercise.

Therefore, a symplectic mfd has a canonical orientation & the volume form $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ is called the Symplectic volume or Liouville volume of (M, ω) .

Ex: In our \mathbb{R}^{2n} example, $\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!} \left[(\sum dx_i \wedge dy_i) \wedge \dots \wedge (\sum dx_i \wedge dy_i) \right] = dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \wedge dy_n$, so

the Liouville volume on \mathbb{R}^{2n} induces Lebesgue measure.

Prop: Both ω & ω^n represent nontrivial de Rham cohomology classes on the compact symplectic mfd (M, ω) , meaning they are closed (obvious) & not exact.

We see that, in particular, no sphere S^n w/ $n > 1$ can be symplectic, since such spheres have no nontrivial 2-dim'l cohomology class.

We now have a list of obstructions to a compact mfd M being symplectic: it must be even-dimensional, orientable, & have nontrivial cohomology in all even degrees.