

Math 676: Day 19

Remember that part of the definition of a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ was that it was equivariant w.r.t. the G action on (M, ω)

and the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* , which we haven't defined yet...

Suppose G is a Lie gp w/ Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , which we will identify w/ $T_e G$. For $g \in G$, let $L_g, R_g: G \rightarrow G$ be

left & right multiplication, respectively; i.e., $L_g(h) = g \cdot h$ & $R_g(h) = h \cdot g$.

A v.f. $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is called **left-** (or **right-**) **invariant** if $dL_g V = V$ (or $dR_g V = V$) $\forall g \in G$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is finally the collection of all left-invariant v.f.'s on G , but it turns out that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow T_e G \\ X &\mapsto X(e) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism w/ inverse given by $V \in T_e G \mapsto X$ where $X(g) = (dL_g)_e V$.

Now, G acts on itself by conjugation: $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(G)$

$$g \mapsto C_g$$

$$\text{where } C_g(h) = ghg^{-1}.$$

$$\text{But now consider } \begin{aligned} (dC_g)_e: T_e G &\rightarrow T_e G \\ \text{Ad} &: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

which is an invertible linear map since C_g is a diffeo. That maps e to e .

Now, as $g \in G$ varies we see that we get a map

$$\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$g \mapsto \text{Ad}_g$$

Called the **adjoint representation** or **adjoint action** of G on \mathfrak{g} .

Ex: If G is a matrix gp, $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{\exp tX} Y = [X, Y] \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Now, if we define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle \xi, v \rangle \mapsto \langle \xi, v \rangle := \xi(v),$$

then we can define $\text{Ad}_g^* \xi$ for $g \in G$ & $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \xi, X \rangle = \langle \xi, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X \rangle (= \xi(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X))$$

giving the **coadjoint representation** (or **action**) $\text{Ad}^*: G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

$$g \mapsto \text{Ad}_g^*$$

notice that choosing $\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \xi, X \rangle = \langle \xi, \text{Ad}_g X \rangle$

would not have given a gp homomorphism since

$$\text{then } \langle \text{Ad}_{gh}^* \xi, X \rangle = \langle \xi, \text{Ad}_{gh} X \rangle = \langle \xi, \text{Ad}_g(\text{Ad}_h X) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{Ad}_g^* \xi, \text{Ad}_h X \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{Ad}_h^* \text{Ad}_g^* \xi, X \rangle$$

Notice that this exactly matches w/ what we saw w/ the $SO(3)$ action on S^2 :

$$\mu(g(p))\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \langle g(p), \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \rangle = \langle p, g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \rangle = \mu(p)(g^{-1}V_{(1/2)}g) = \mu(p)(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(V_{(1/2)}))$$

for $g \in SO(3)$, so $\mu \circ g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \mu$.

Ex: We know $U(n)$ is the set of $n \times n$ skew-hermitian matrices... after multiplying by i , might as well identify both $U(n)$ & $U(n)^*$ with the Hermitian matrices & both the adjoint & coadjoint actions are the same.

Of course, each Hermitian matrix is conjugate by an element of $U(n)$ to a diagonal matrix, so we see that the orbits of the (co)adjoint action are determined by the spectrum $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$.