

Math 676: Day 18

Just to reiterate, an action of a Lie gp G on a symplectic mfd (M, ω) is Hamiltonian if \exists a momentum map

$$\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

s.t. $\mu(p)(v) = \langle \mu(p), v \rangle =: \mu^v(p)$, where μ^v is the Hamiltonian for $v^\#$

$$\& \mu \circ g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \mu \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Ex: As we've shown, when $G = \text{SO}(3)$ & $(M, \omega) = (S^2, \omega_{\text{std}})$, the map $\mu: S^2 \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by $\mu(p) = p$ under the obvious identification of $\text{SO}(3)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^3$.

$$(\text{more precisely}, \mu(p)(V_{(a,b,c)}) = p \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})$$

Ex: Consider the diagonal $\text{SO}(3)$ action on $(S^2)^n$. For $V = V_{(a,b,c)} \in \text{SO}(3)$, we know $\{\exp tV : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the subgroup which rotates each sphere around the axis $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so $V^\#(p_1, \dots, p_n) = ((\frac{1}{2})x_1 p_1, \dots, (\frac{1}{2})x_n p_n)$ and $L_{V^\#} \omega = L_{V^\#}(\pi_1^* \omega_{\text{std}} + \dots + \pi_n^* \omega_{\text{std}}) = \omega_{\text{std}}(V_1^\#, \cdot) + \dots + \omega_{\text{std}}(V_n^\#, \cdot) = adx_1 + bdy_1 + cdz_1 + \dots + adx_n + bdy_n + cdz_n$ so the Hamiltonian $\mu^v(p_1, \dots, p_n) = a(x_1 + \dots + x_n) + b(y_1 + \dots + y_n) + c(z_1 + \dots + z_n) = \langle p_1 + \dots + p_n, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$,

$$\& \text{so we see that } \mu(p_1, \dots, p_n) = p_1 + \dots + p_n$$

And now, finally, we've justified our interest in the map μ , which we saw before since the closed polygons are $\mu^{-1}(\vec{0})$.

Ex: Consider \mathbb{R}^3 acting on $(T^*\mathbb{R}^3, \omega) \cong (\mathbb{R}^6, \sum dx_i dy_i)$ by translation: $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \text{Symp}(\mathbb{R}^6, \omega)$

$$\vec{v} \mapsto \Psi_{\vec{v}}$$

where $\Psi_{\vec{v}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (\vec{x} + \vec{v}, \vec{y})$. Then the Lie alg. of \mathbb{R}^3 is just \mathbb{R}^3 w/ the trivial bracket, so $V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\rightsquigarrow V^\# = a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + c \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \text{ so } L_{V^\#} \omega = ady_1 + bdy_2 + cdy_3 = d(\langle V, \vec{y} \rangle)$$

& we have a momentum map $\mu: \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $\mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \vec{y}$, since

$$\mu^v(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \langle \mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), v \rangle = \langle \vec{y}, v \rangle$$

is the Hamiltonian for $V^\#$. Of course, \vec{y} is the linear momentum of the system.

Ex: Consider $SU(3)$ acting on $T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ by rotation. We've just seen that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto V_{\text{rot}, c} \in SO(3)$ & the associated v.f. $V^\#$ on \mathbb{R}^6 is $V^\#(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \times \vec{x}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \times \vec{y} \right)$

Then $\mu: \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \cong SO(3)^*$ given by $\mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \vec{x} \times \vec{y}$ is a moment up (& hence the action is Hamiltonian) since

$$\langle \mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \langle \vec{x} \times \vec{y}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{z} \end{pmatrix} \rangle$$

is the Hamilton form for $V_{\text{rot}, c}^\#$. Of course, $\vec{x} \times \vec{y} = \mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ is usually called the **angular momentum**.

These last 2 examples show where the terminology **momentum map** comes from.

When G is connected, we can equivalently define Hamilton actions in terms of the existence of a **conservation map**

$$\mu^*: g \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

① $\mu^*(x) := \mu^x$ is the Hamilton form for $x^\#$

② μ^* is a Lie alg. homomorphism: $\mu^*[x, y] = \{\mu^*x, \mu^*y\}$ where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(M)$

given by $\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g)$, where X_f & X_g are the Hamilton vector fields associated to f & g .

Of course, we still need to define, e.g., the coadjoint action of G on g^* ...
