

Math 676: Day 17

We saw before that the $SO(3)$ action on (S^2, ω_{S^2}) can readily be said to be Hamiltonian & that

Hamiltonian $\Leftrightarrow V \in SO(3) \mapsto V^\#$ a Hamiltonian v.f. on $S^2 \Leftrightarrow$ *canonically* $u^*: SO(3) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^2)$ s.t. $u^*(V) = u^\#$ is Hamiltonian form for $V^\#$.

Now, let's explore a little deeper. Suppose $V_{(a,b,c)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c & b \\ c & 0 & -a \\ -b & a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in SO(3)$, w/ associated circle subgroup $\exp tV_{(a,b,c)}$.

Then $V_{(a,b,c)}^\#(x,y,z) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(tV_{(a,b,c)})(x,y,z) = \dots = (bz-cy, cx-az, ay-bx) = (bz-cy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (cx-az) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (ay-bx) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

Note, first of all, that this is just the vector $(a,b,c) \times (x,y,z)$, which is obviously in $T_{(x,y,z)} S^2$ since it's \perp to (x,y,z) .

In other words, $V^\#(p) = V \times p$, where we identify $V \in SO(3)$ as an elt. of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Now, what is the associated Hamiltonian form $u^\#$?

Well, we can write the sth. we want for ω given by $\omega_p(u,v) = \langle p, u \times v \rangle$ as

$$\omega_{(x,y,z)} = xdydz + ydzdx + zdx dy$$

Check: $(xdydz + ydzdx + zdx dy)(u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = x(u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2) + y(u_3 v_1 - u_1 v_3) + z(u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1) = \langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, u \times v \rangle$

So since $(V_{(a,b,c)}^\#)(x,y,z) = (bz-cy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (cx-az) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (ay-bx) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{V^\#} \omega)_{(x,y,z)} &= (xdydz + ydzdx + zdx dy) \left((bz-cy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (cx-az) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (ay-bx) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \cdot \right) \\ &= y(cy-bz) dz + z(bz-cy) dy + x(cx-az) dz + z(az-cx) dx + x(bx-ay) dy + y(ay-bx) dx \\ &= (az^2 - cxz + ay^2 - bxy) dx + (bz^2 - cyz + bx^2 - axy) dy + (cy^2 - byz + cx^2 - axz) dz \\ &= (a(y^2+z^2) - x(by+cz)) dx + (b(x^2+z^2) - y(ax+cz)) dy + (c(x^2+y^2) - z(ax+by)) dz \\ &= (a(1-x^2) - x(by+cz)) dx + (b(1-y^2) - y(ax+cz)) dy + (c(1-z^2) - z(ax+by)) dz \\ &= a dx - x(ax+by+cz) dx + b dy - y(ax+by+cz) dy + c dz - z(ax+by+cz) dz \\ &= a dx + b dy + c dz - (ax+by+cz)(x dx + y dy + z dz). \end{aligned}$$

But of course $xdx + ydy + zdz \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is not a 1-form on S^2 : it corresponds to the radial v.f. which is \perp to S^2 ,

so in fact

$$(L_{V^\#} \omega)_{(x,y,z)} = ax + by + cz.$$

So then what's the Hamiltonian form u^V s.t. $du^V = (L_{V^\#} \omega)_{(x,y,z)}$?

Clearly $u^V(x,y,z) = ax + by + cz = \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ or, by abuse of notation, $u^V(p) = \langle p, V \rangle$.

Notice, moreover, that for any $g \in SO(3)$, $u^{V(g(x))}(g(p)) = \langle g(p), \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \langle p, g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \rangle$

Now, the $SO(3)$ elt. corresponding to $g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ is the same as $g^{-1} V_{(a,b,c)} g \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$, so this is *equivariance* b/w the $SO(3)$ action on S^2 & the $SO(3)$ action on $\mathfrak{so}(3)$.

Now, of course in general there's no way to take a dot product b/w $p \in (M, \omega)$ & $V \in \mathfrak{g}$.

So the right thing to do is really to think of the $SO(3)$ action as defining a *moment map* $\mu: S^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(3)^*$

where $\mu(p)(V) = \langle p, V \rangle = u^V(p)$ is the Hamiltonian form for $V^\#$ & so that μ is equivariant w.r.t.

the $SO(3)$ action on S^2 & the *coadjoint* $SO(3)$ action on $\mathfrak{so}(3)^*$.

Df: Suppose (M, ω) is symplectic, G is a Lie group w/ Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , whose dual v.s. is \mathfrak{g}^* & $\Psi: G \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$

is a symplectic action. The action Ψ is *Hamiltonian* if $\exists \mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ s.t.

① $\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\mu^X: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\mu^X(p) := \langle \mu(p), X \rangle$ be the cogent of μ along X

and let $X^\# \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ be the v.f. generated by the one-parameter subgroup $\{\exp(tX) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \in G$.

Then $du^X = \iota_{X^\#} \omega$. In other words, μ^X is the Hamiltonian form for $X^\#$.

② μ is *equivariant* w.r.t. the action Ψ of G on M & the coadjoint action Ad^* of G on \mathfrak{g}^* :

$$\mu \circ \Psi_g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \mu \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Given such a Hamiltonian action, the quadruple (M, ω, G, μ) is called a *Hamiltonian G -space* & μ is a *moment map*.

When G is connected, we can equivalently define Hamilton actions in terms of the existence of a **comoment map**

$$\mu^*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

① $\mu^*(X) := \mu^\sharp$ is the Hamiltonian function for X^\sharp

② μ^* is a Lie alg. homomorphism: $\mu^*[X, Y] = \{\mu^*X, \mu^*Y\}$ where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the **Poisson bracket** on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$

given by $\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g)$, where X_f & X_g are the Hamiltonian vector fields associated to f & g .

Of course, we need to define some of these symbols & terms...