

Math 476: Dg 15

Recall from last time that, given a manifold M , there's a correspondence

$$\text{v.f. } X \longleftrightarrow \text{flow } \varphi_t \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}\text{-action } \varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M) \\ t \mapsto \varphi_t$$

If we have a symplectic \mathbb{R} -action $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M)$, then the corresponding vector field X is a **symplectic**

vector field, meaning that $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$ or, equivalently (by Cartan's Magic Formula) $\iota_X \omega$ is closed.

Ex: Consider the std. sphere $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$. This is an orientable surface, so can be made symplectic by choosing an area form... eg $\omega = d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2$

Now, consider the \mathbb{R} -action $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ given by $\varphi_t(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\theta_1 + t, \theta_2)$.

Then the corresponding v.f. X is given by $X_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)} = \left. \frac{d\varphi_t(\theta_1, \theta_2)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (\theta_1 + t, \theta_2) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}$.

Of course, $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d\iota_X \omega + \iota_X d\omega = d\iota_X \omega = d[(d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2)(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}, \cdot)] = d(d\theta_2) = 0$, so $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}$ is a

symplectic v.f. Likewise, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2}$ is symplectic.

Before, we saw that a smooth function $H: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has an associated **Hamiltonian** v.f. X_H s.t. $\iota_{X_H} \omega = dH$.

Of course, $\iota_{X_H} \omega = dH \Rightarrow d\iota_{X_H} \omega = ddH = 0$, so X_H is symplectic.

In fact, a symplectic v.f. X is **Hamiltonian** if $\iota_X \omega$ is exact, meaning \exists smooth $H: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\iota_X \omega = dH$; then

H is called the **Hamiltonian function** of X .

(Recall $JX_H = \nabla H$ on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{std})$, so symplectic is the analogue of curl-free & Hamiltonian is the analogue of being a potential field, where the Hamiltonian function is like the potential function)

Ex: Again, on $(S^2, d\theta \wedge dz)$, we have the \mathbb{R} -action given by rotating around the z -axis, which has associated v.f.

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$, which we already know is Hamiltonian w/ Hamiltonian function $H(\theta, z) = z$. Of course, this \mathbb{R} -action is 2π -periodic,

so descends to an S^1 action, which is symplectic & in fact Hamiltonian.

Def: A symplectic S^1 action Ψ on (M, ω) is **Hamiltonian** if the v.f. generated by Ψ is a Hamiltonian v.f.

Equivalently, an action Ψ of S^1 or \mathbb{R} on (M, ω) is **Hamiltonian** if \exists smooth $H: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ w/ $dH = \iota_X \omega$, where X is the v.f. generated by Ψ .

Of course, an action of $G = \underbrace{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}_n \times \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}}_n$ is **Hamiltonian** if the action of each factor is Hamiltonian.

Ex: Note that the previously-defined action of S^1 on $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ given by $\Psi_t(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\theta_1 + t, \theta_2)$ is **not** Hamiltonian since $\iota_X \omega = d\theta_1$, but θ_1 is not a smooth function on T^2 (& indeed $d\theta_1$ represents a nontrivial cohomology class of the torus).