

## Math 676: Day 11

Mantra: All (co) tangent bundles are symplectic & all manifolds are Lagrangians

If  $M^n$  is a manifold w/ local coords  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  near a point  $p \in M$  (meaning formally that  $(U, \varphi)$  is a coordinate chart containing  $p$  &  $x_i : \varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by  $x_i(\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_i$ ), then any  $\xi \in T_p^*M$  can be written as

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i dx_i$$

for  $\xi \in \varphi(U)$ . But then we get a map  $T^*\varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$   
 $(\xi, \xi) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$

then  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  give local coords on  $T^*\varphi(U)$ , & this makes  $T^*M$  into a manifold.

Even better, in local coords, we can define the 2-form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge d\xi_i$$

which is equal to  $-da$  where  $a = \sum \xi_i dx_i$ , & hence is closed. Moreover, it's obviously nondegenerate since it corresponds in each coord. patch to the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

The form  $\omega$  is called the **canonical symplectic form** on  $T^*M$  &  $a$  is the **tautological form** or **Liouville 1-form**. Of course, one has to check this is independent of the particular choice of local coords., but this is true. Rather than checking this, though, we define  $a$  &  $\omega$  in a coordinate-free way.

Let  $\begin{array}{ccc} T^*M & \xrightarrow{(p, \xi)} & \\ \pi \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ M & \xrightarrow{p} & \end{array}$  be the natural projection. We can define  $a$  pointwise by

$$a(p, \xi) = (d\pi_{(p, \xi)})^* \xi = \xi \circ d\pi_{(p, \xi)}$$

$$T_{(p, \xi)}(T^*M) \xrightarrow{d\pi_{(p, \xi)}} T_p M$$

$$T_{(p, \xi)}^*(T^*M) \xleftarrow{(d\pi_{(p, \xi)})^*} T_p^* M$$

In other words,  $a_{(p, \xi)}(v) = \xi((d\pi_{(p, \xi)})v)$  for any  $v \in T_{(p, \xi)} M$ .

Then, of course,  $\omega = -da$ .

Prop:  $\alpha$  is characterized by:  $\forall \mu \in \Omega^1(M)$ ,  $\mu^* \alpha = \mu$ .

What does this mean? Remember that 1-fms on  $M$  are smooth sections of  $\bigcup_{p \in M} \Omega^1(T_p^*M) = T^*M$ , so

$\begin{array}{c} T^*M \\ \pi \downarrow \\ M \end{array}$

But then,  $\mu$  is smooth w.p., so  $\mu^* : \Omega^1(T^*M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$   
 & so  $\mu^*(\alpha)$  is a 1-fm on  $M$ .

Proof: We can just prove this pointwise, so let  $p \in M$ ; the goal is to show  $(\mu^*(\alpha))_p(v) = \mu_p(v)$  for any  $v \in T_p M$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But now } (\mu^*(\alpha))_p(v) &= \alpha_{\mu(p)}(d\mu_p v) = \alpha_{(p, \mu_p)}(d\mu_p v) = \mu_p(d(\pi_{(p, \mu_p)}) d\mu_p v) \\ &= \mu_p(d(\pi \circ \mu)_p v) \\ &= \mu_p(d(1_M)_p v) \\ &= \mu_p(v). \end{aligned}$$



Now, the nifty thing is that, w/ this machinery, any diffeomorphism of manifolds tens into a canonical symplectomorphism of their cotangent bundles, as follows:

If  $M_1, M_2$  are  $n$ -manifolds w/ transversal 1-fms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  on  $T^*M_1, T^*M_2$  &  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is a diff., then the natural lift

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\#} : T^*M_1 &\rightarrow T^*M_2 \\ (p_1, \xi_1) &\mapsto (p_2, \xi_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } p_2 = f(p_1) \quad \& \quad \xi_2 = (df_{p_1})^* \xi_1 \quad (\text{or, equivalently, } \xi_2 = ((df_{p_1})^*)^{-1} \xi_1)$$

One can check that  $f_{\#}$  is really a diff.; the only annoying bit is convincing yourself that  $f_{\#}$  &  $f_{\#}^{-1}$  are smooth.