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- Let $\Gamma$ be an $n$-vertex graph.
- Eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n}$.


## Graphs with second largest eigenvalue at most -1

 Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph on $n \geqslant 2$ vertices with second largest eigenvalue at most -1 .- The 2 -vertex disconnected graph has spectrum $\left\{[0]^{2}\right\}$.
- Interlacing: $\lambda_{i} \geqslant \mu_{i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$
$\Longrightarrow$ every pair of vertices in $\Gamma$ must be adjacent.
- Hence $\Gamma$ must be complete.
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 Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph on $n \geqslant 2$ vertices with second largest eigenvalue at most -1 .- The 2 -vertex disconnected graph has spectrum $\left\{[0]^{2}\right\}$.
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Theorem (Smith 1970)
Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with second largest eigenvalue at most 0 . Then $\Gamma$ is complete multipartite.

## Graphs with small second largest eigenvalue

 Let $S(b)$ denote the set of connected graphs with second largest eigenvalue at most $b$.- Cao and Yuan 1993: $S(1 / 3)$.
- Petrović 1993: $S(\sqrt{2}-1)$.
- Cvetković and Simić 1995: $S((\sqrt{5}-1) / 2)$.
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## Graphs with small second largest eigenvalue

Partial characterisations for $S(1)$.

- Hong 1989, Shu 1998: Trees.
- Petrović 1991: Bipartite graphs.
- Xu 2004: Unicyclic graphs.
- Guo 2005: Bicyclic graphs.
- Li and Yang 2011: QuadTricyclic graphs.


## Plan

Classify graphs $\Gamma$ with second largest eigenvalue at most 1 such that $\Gamma$ has precisely three distinct eigenvalues.

- Graphs with three eigenvalues 101.
- Main theorem.
- A structural tool for the proof.
- Idea for the finite search.
- Closing remarks.


## Graphs with three eigenvalues

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph $(V, E)$ with eigenvalues $\theta_{0}>\theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$. Then

$$
\left(A-\theta_{1} I\right)\left(A-\theta_{2} I\right)=\alpha \alpha^{\top}
$$

## Graphs with three eigenvalues

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph $(V, E)$ with eigenvalues $\theta_{0}>\theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{2}=\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right) A-\theta_{1} \theta_{2} I+\alpha \alpha^{\top}, \quad A \alpha=\theta_{0} \alpha . \\
d_{x}=-\theta_{1} \theta_{2}+\alpha_{x}^{2} \\
v_{x, y}=\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right) A_{x, y}+\alpha_{x} \alpha_{y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Diameter of $\Gamma$ is 2 .
- $\theta_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $\theta_{2} \leqslant-\sqrt{2}$.


## Regular graphs

- Regular graphs with three eigenvalues.

Strongly regular graphs

- Regular graphs with second largest eigenvalue 1. Complement of graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 .
- Regular graphs with three eigenvalues and second largest eigenvalue 1.
Complement of strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 .
- Seidel 1968: classified strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2 .


## Nonregular graphs

Theorem
Let $\Gamma$ be a connected nonregular graph with three distinct eigenvalues $\theta_{0}>\theta_{1}>\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{1}=1$. Then $\theta_{2}=-2$, and $\Gamma$ is the Petersen cone or the Van Dam-Fano graph.


Petersen cone


Van Dam-Fano graph

## Main theorem

Theorem
Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with three distinct eigenvalues and second largest eigenvalue at most 1 . Then $\Gamma$ is one of the following graphs.
(a) A complete bipartite graph;
(b) The Petersen cone;
(c) The Van Dam-Fano graph;
(d) A complete multipartite regular graph;
(e) The complement of a Seidel SRG.

## Structure of the proof

Goal: find connected 3 -eigenvalue graphs $\Gamma$ with $\theta_{1} \leqslant 1$.

- Reduce to the case where $\Gamma$ has second largest eigenvalue precisely 1. $\Longrightarrow$ all eigenvalues are integers.
- Reduce to the case where $\Gamma$ has at least three distinct valencies.
- Regular case follows from Seidel (1968).
- Biregular case [Cheng, Gavrilyuk, GG, Koolen (2015+)].
- Reduce to the case where $\Gamma$ is not a cone.
- Reduce to the case where the smallest eigenvalue of $\Gamma$ is at least -29.


## A structural lemma

## Lemma

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with second largest eigenvalue 1 .
For $x \sim y$, let $\pi$ be a vertex partition with cells
$C_{1}=\{x, y\}, C_{2}=\left\{z \in V(\Gamma) \backslash C_{1} \mid z \sim x\right.$ or $\left.z \sim y\right\}$, and
$C_{3}=\{z \in V(\Gamma) \mid z \nsim x$ and $z \nsim y\}$. Then the induced subgraph on $C_{3}$ has maximum degree 1 .


## A bound for $n$

Lemma
Let $\Gamma$ be a connected $n$-vertex graph with three eigenvalues and second largest eigenvalue 1 . Let $m$ denote the multiplicity of the smallest eigenvalue of $\Gamma$. Suppose $x \sim y$. Then $n \leqslant d_{x}+d_{y}-v_{x, y}+2 m$.

## A bound for $n$

Lemma
Let $\Gamma$ be a connected $n$-vertex graph with three eigenvalues and second largest eigenvalue 1 . Let $m$ denote the multiplicity of the smallest eigenvalue of $\Gamma$. Suppose $x \sim y$. Then $n \leqslant d_{x}+d_{y}-v_{x, y}+2 m$.
Proof.


## Finite search

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected $n$-vertex graph with eigenvalues $s>1>-t$ and suppose $-t$ has multiplicity $m$. ( $\Gamma$ not a cone.)

- $n \leqslant f(t)$ for some rational function $f$.
- For each $t \in\{3, \ldots, 29\}$, we can enumerate parameters $(n, s, m)$. Denote their set by $\mathcal{S}(t)$.


## Finite search

Let $\Gamma$ be a connected $n$-vertex graph with eigenvalues
$s>1>-t$ and suppose $-t$ has multiplicity $m$. ( $\Gamma$ not a cone.)

- $n \leqslant f(t)$ for some rational function $f$.
- For each $t \in\{3, \ldots, 29\}$, we can enumerate parameters $(n, s, m)$. Denote their set by $\mathcal{S}(t)$.

| $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | - - | - - | $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | - - | - - | \| $t$ | $\|\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | - - | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 128 |  |  | 12 | 497 |  |  | 21 | 189 |  |  |
| 4 | 196 |  |  | 13 | 455 |  |  | 22 | 163 |  |  |
| 5 | 277 |  |  | 14 | 409 |  |  | 23 | 143 |  |  |
| 6 | 375 |  |  | 15 | 377 |  |  | 24 | 118 |  |  |
| 7 | 492 |  |  | 16 | 340 |  |  | 25 | 95 |  |  |
| 8 | 610 |  |  | 17 | 311 |  |  | 26 | 76 |  |  |
| 9 | 748 |  |  | 18 | 273 |  |  | 27 | 61 |  |  |
| 10 | 898 |  |  | 19 | 248 |  |  | 28 | 43 |  |  |
| 11 | 546 |  |  | 20 | 220 |  |  | \| 29 | 27 |  |  |

## Finite search

- $n \leqslant f(t)$ for some rational function $f$.
- For each $t \in\{3, \ldots, 29\}$, we can enumerate parameters $(n, s, m)$. Denote their set by $\mathcal{S}(t)$.
- For each $S \in \mathcal{S}(t)$, we can enumerate valencies $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}(t)$.

| $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | - - | $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | - - | $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 128 | 58 |  | 12 | 497 | 287 |  | 21 | 189 | 137 |  |
| 4 | 196 | 116 |  | 13 | 455 | 237 |  | 22 | 163 | 137 |  |
| 5 | 277 | 113 |  | 14 | 409 | 245 |  | 23 | 143 | 120 |  |
| 6 | 375 | 173 |  | 15 | 377 | 214 |  | 24 | 118 | 104 |  |
| 7 | 492 | 159 |  | 16 | 340 | 220 |  | 25 | 95 | 92 |  |
| 8 | 610 | 225 |  | 17 | 311 | 184 |  | 26 | 76 | 71 |  |
| 9 | 748 | 233 |  | 18 | 273 | 190 |  | 27 | 61 | 59 |  |
| 10 | 898 | 297 |  | 19 | 248 | 162 |  | 28 | 43 | 43 |  |
| 11 | 546 | 272 |  | 20 | 220 | 172 |  | 29 | 27 | 27 |  |

## Finite search

- For each $t \in\{3, \ldots, 29\}$, we can enumerate parameters $(n, s, m)$. Denote their set by $\mathcal{S}(t)$.
- For each $S \in \mathcal{S}(t)$, we can enumerate valencies $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}(t)$.
- For each $S \in \mathcal{S}(t)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(t)$, we can enumerate valency multiplicities $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(t)$.

| $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{M}(t)\|$ | $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{M}(t)\|$ | $t$ | $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{K}(t)\|$ | $\|\mathcal{M}(t)\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 128 | 58 | 0 | 12 | 497 | 287 | 0 | 21 | 189 | 137 | 0 |
| 4 | 196 | 116 | 1 | 13 | 455 | 237 | 0 | 22 | 163 | 137 | 0 |
| 5 | 277 | 113 | 2 | 14 | 409 | 245 | 0 | 23 | 143 | 120 | 0 |
| 6 | 375 | 173 | 0 | 15 | 377 | 214 | 0 | 24 | 118 | 104 | 0 |
| 7 | 492 | 159 | 1 | 16 | 340 | 220 | 0 | 25 | 95 | 92 | 0 |
| 8 | 610 | 225 | 0 | 17 | 311 | 184 | 0 | 26 | 76 | 71 | 0 |
| 9 | 748 | 233 | 0 | 18 | 273 | 190 | 0 | 27 | 61 | 59 | 0 |
| 10 | 898 | 297 | 0 | 19 | 248 | 162 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| 11 | 546 | 272 | 0 | 20 | 220 | 172 | 0 | 129 | 27 | 27 | 0 |

## Survivors

| $t$ | $(n, s, m)$ | $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)$ | $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $(31,15,9)$ | $(5,8,13,20)$ | $(5,10,5,11)$ |
| 5 | $(36,19,9)$ | $(7,13,23)$ | $(6,12,18)$ |
| 5 | $(45,28,12)$ | $(6,9,21,30)$ | $(6,3,3,33)$ |
| 7 | $(45,20,8)$ | $(11,16,23,32)$ | $(6,27,6,6)$ |

- Use ad-hoc methods to show nonexistence of graphs corresponding to each of the parameters in the table.


## Closing remarks

- D. de Caen: must graphs with three eigenvalues have at most three valencies?
- Regular: Strongly regular graphs.
- Bi-regular: Infinitely many examples.
- Tri-regular: Finitely many known examples.
- At least four valencies: No known examples.

